

Lacey Maxwell

From: Wayne & Celeste Dykshorn [REDACTED]
Sent: March 23, 2018 12:57 PM
To: Victoria Mayor and Council
Subject: 224 Superior Street, Victoria, BC: Redevelopment and Rezoning request

Dear Mayor Lisa Helps and Council,

I am writing regarding the application by Don Halton and Fernando Garcia for redevelopment and rezoning at 224 Superior Street in Victoria. I am Celeste Wood Dykshorn, great great granddaughter of Henry B. Young who built the home for his family in 1892. In 1920, the family sold the home and moved to California. Throughout my childhood, the family referenced this property "the Victoria Homestead" and we actually have pictures with them.

My family (Wood) is a very historical family in America, going back to the mid-1600s. Henry B. Young's daughter, Stella Henrietta Young Wood was a member of the Daughters of the American Revolution (DAR). Because of my historical interest, I too applied to the DAR in 2014. During completion of the application, we discovered that the house at 224 Superior Street in Victoria to be in fact our family's home.

In 2014, I contacted Don and Fernando to inquire about the house, the history if any etc. Don expressed that, sadly, when the family moved, most of the memories left with them. The one exception is the original photograph of the home which Don and Fernando have hanging in the dining room. I kindly offered more and there was silence of joy. From there we had formed a lasting bond with Don and Fernando. I had the amazing opportunity to stay in the bed and breakfast, in the actual bedroom of my great great grandparents! What a dream. After our first visit, experiencing the need of more historical information and heartfelt gratitude, I created a Pictorial book of the family for them to share with their guests. What a success.

Our last visit, Christmas, 2017, I was able to meet my long lost cousin from California who also stayed at the house. She too, very much a history buff, was enamored by what Don and Fernando have done with the house, the care they have taken in restoring it and we shared many memories. It was then we found out about the exciting project that Don and Fernando are planning for the property.

We realize that in the today's business, a big single family home like ours can no longer be sustainable in the heart of the city. Don and Fernando graciously took us through each of the project pieces and how it would affect the home, preserving the uniqueness and love this old home represents. As members of the Young family, we are very pleased with this project. Their caring nature and attention to detail has made a success of our story and their business. We have no doubt the project will be a success. We are in total support and sincerely hope that you too will support it.

With Prayerful consideration,

Celeste Wood Dykshorn

Pamela Martin

From: Norman MacMurchy [REDACTED]
Sent: July 3, 2018 12:47 PM
To: Public Hearings
Subject: Zoning Amendment Bylaw (No. 1141) No. 18-019

As resident (and owner) of 204 Superior Street, I have reviewed the proposed rezoning of the property with the civic address of 224 Superior Street. After viewing the proposed development plan, I fully support the proposal. I see the development to be in keeping with the nature of the neighbourhood. The addition of more permanent housing (as opposed to the use of the property as a B&B) is, in my view an additional positive feature.

Norman MacMurchy

Sent from my iPad

Pamela Martin

From: HELEN MURENBEELD [REDACTED]
Sent: July 5, 2018 1:39 PM
To: Public Hearings
Subject: Regarding the Amendment Bylaw (No.1141) No. 18-019 Civic Address 224 Superior Street

City Council,

As a neighbor to 224 Superior, we are opposed to the side yard (west) setback from 2.40m to 1.50m. Also, we are opposed to the Heritage Alteration Permit with Variances Application which proposes a further reduced west side yard from 3.65m to 1.20 m. The proposed setback leaves no room for landscaping along the west side yard fence, and gets too close to the neighboring property. It subtracts from the neighboring property's daylight.

Hopefully, the 2- year old roof that the tax payers of Victoria paid for, will remain in tact. I note this because, the old chimneys of the heritage building have already been removed! I am not sure if the removal of the chimneys were permitted.

Will the tax payers from Victoria be reimbursed if, the roof is scrapped?

Helen Murenbeeld
216 Superior St.