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## Talbot Mackenzie \& Associates

Consulting Arborists

## Jobsite Property: 310-338 Michigan St and 333 Superior St, Victoria, BC

Date of Site Visits: January 16, 2020
Site Conditions: No ongoing construction activity.

## Summary:

- 72 trees will have to be removed as a result of the proposed development, 2 of which are bylaw protected.
- Based on discussions with the landscape architects, it is our understanding that excavation for construction of the walkways and main entranceway can be minimized where required and that these features will be constructed overtop the root systems of municipal trees \#836-844 to mitigate health impacts. Any excavation within their critical root zones (CRZs) should be supervised by the project arborist. Less invasive excavation methods (e.g. hydro-vac in combination with hand-digging) is recommended for select service installations. If our recommendations are followed, we do not anticipate the health of these trees will be significantly impacted.
- Any excavation for the proposed walkway along the northeast property line within the CRZs of neighbours' trees \#845-847, 849-851, 853, 848, and NT2 should be supervised by the project arborist. Based on discussions with the landscape architect, the walkway will be constructed overtop the trees' root systems. The stumps of some of the trees on the subject property will also have to be left in place or routed to grade to avoid root damage.


## Scope of Assignment:

- Inventory the existing bylaw protected trees and any trees on municipal or neighbouring properties that could potentially be impacted by construction or that are within three metres of the property line
- Review the proposal to demolish three of the existing four buildings, demolish and reconstruct a portion of the existing underground parkade, and construct two new four storey buildings
- Comment on how construction activity may impact existing trees
- Prepare a tree retention and construction damage mitigation plan for those trees deemed suitable to retain given the proposed impacts


## Methodology:

- We visually examined the trees on the property and prepared an inventory in the attached Tree Resource Spreadsheet.
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- All trees (including non-bylaw protected trees) on the subject property were identified using a numeric metal tag attached to its lower trunk. Most of the trees on the property, as well as some trees on municipal and neighbouring properties had been previously tagged. Where municipal trees and neighbours' trees were not previously tagged, they were assigned an identification number with the prefix, "NT" (No Tag).
- Information such as tree species, DBH ( 1.4 m ), crown spread, critical root zone (CRZ), health, structure, and relative tolerance to construction impacts were included in the inventory.
- The conclusions reached were based on the information provided within the attached site and building plans from de Hoog \& Kierulf architects (dated November 2019), site servicing plan from Gwaii Engineering (dated December 2019), and landscape plan from LADR Landscape Architects (udpated February 3, 2019).


## Limitations:

- No exploratory excavations have been conducted and thus the conclusions reached are based solely on critical root zone calculations and our best judgement using our experience and expertise. The location, size and density of roots are often difficult to predict without exploratory excavations and therefore the impacts to the trees may be more or less severe than we anticipate.
- Where trees were not surveyed on the plans provided, we have added their approximate locations. The accuracy of our estimated locations has not been verified by a professional surveyor.

Summary of Tree Resource: 112 trees were included in the inventory. There is a row of mostly native species growing along the northwest and northeast property lines; the remaining trees on the property are predominantly ornamental species. There are mature cherry and birch trees on the Michigan St boulevard.

2 of the 112 trees are by-law protected. Based on comments received from Victoria Parks, this proposal falls under Tree Preservation Bylaw No. 05-106 (consolidated June 1, 2015), since the permit application was received prior to October 24, 2019.

Trees to be Removed: The following 72 trees will likely have to be removed as a result of the proposed construction. 2 of these 72 trees are bylaw protected.

- Lawson Cypress \#195 ( $\mathbf{1 4 8} \mathbf{c m}$ at base): This bylaw protected tree is growing approximately 5 m from the existing building (" A ") and $1-1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ from the existing underground parkade wall, which will be retained. The proposed building is approximately 3 m from the base of the tree and the patio areas outside the building are $1-1.5 \mathrm{~m}$ from the tree. Assuming that excavation will be required 1 m outside the proposed building footprint, we anticipate that all roots will need to be cut 2 m from the base of this tree in the north quadrant of its CRZ (we have not completed an exploratory excavation). Depending on the final grade of the patio and whether excavation is required down to bearing soil, excavation may occur as near as $0.5-1 \mathrm{~m}$ from the tree. Given the size of the tree, the proximity of the cut, and that this species typically exhibits very poor tolerance to root loss and is highly susceptible to root rot caused by the fungus-like
plant pathogen Phytophthora lateralis, we anticipate its health will likely decline as a result of the required excavation. It may also be destabilized, as large roots are likely to be encountered in this area. Therefore, we recommend it be removed.
- Hawthorn \#283 ( $\mathbf{2 2 c m}$ DBH): This tree is in the location of a proposed metre for a fire department connection (see "Services" section below). This tree is not bylaw protected.
- Trees \#762-792: Based on the attached landscape plan, these trees will be removed and a new hedgerow of columnar hornbeam trees will be planted in their place. Only Western Red Cedar \#782 is bylaw protected. We recommend that the stumps of these trees be left in place or routed to grade where they are located within the CRZs of the neighbour's trees, which will be retained. Trees \#773 and 777 are likely shared with the neighbour. The neighbour must approve before these trees are removed, or be notified of the potential impacts if they do not approve of the removal of the trees.
- Trees \#794-797: Based on the attached landscape plans, these trees will be removed for construction of a new walkway and stairway. None of these trees are bylaw protected.
- Trees \#799 and 801-834: These trees are within or immediately adjacent to the footprint of the new buildings, patios, walkways, or will be impacted by the reconstruction of the underground parkade. None of these trees are bylaw protected.


## Potential Impacts on Trees to be Retained and Mitigation Measures

- Austrian Pine \#793 (51cm DBH): Based on the attached plans, it appears the existing walkway adjacent to this tree and the stairway to the southwest will remain in place. A new walkway will be constructed 3 m away. We anticipate small roots from this tree may be encountered if excavation is required down to bearing soil in this area but do not anticipate the health or structure of this tree will be impacted. We recommend the project arborist supervise any excavation within the CRZ of this tree and prune back any roots encountered to sound tissue. Depending on the number and size of roots encountered, the arborist may recommend the depth of excavation be minimized and the walkway constructed above the roots. Barrier fencing should be erected as indicated on the attached site survey.
- Neighbour's Hawthorn \#835 ( $\mathbf{2 9} \mathbf{c m}$ DBH): This tree is approximately 3 m from the fence. The attached plans indicate the southeast portion of the underground parkade will be removed and reconstructed. We anticipate excavation will occur up to the property line (up to the edge of the tree's CRZ). Small roots from this tree are likely to be encountered, but we do not anticipate its health or structural stability will be significantly impacted. We recommend the project arborist prune back any roots encountered to sound tissue and the neighbour notified of the potential impacts to their tree.
- Municipal trees \#836-844: These trees have the potential to be impacted during excavation for construction of the building, patios, walkways, main entranceway, other landscaping work, and the installation of underground service connections and kiosks/transformers (see "Services" section below). The buildings are, at the nearest 5.5 m from the municipal property
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line. The patios outside the buildings will encroach an additional 2 m towards the trees (at the nearest, about 6.5 m away from the trees). Concrete walkways are proposed to be constructed up to the existing sidewalk. The trees are approximately 2.5 m southwest from the property line on the municipal boulevard.

We recommend any excavation that occurs within the CRZs of these trees be supervised by the project arborist. An effort should be made to minimize the extent of excavation outside the building and patio footprints towards the trees to minimize health impacts. We do not anticipate the health of the trees will be impacted if excavation is limited to 1 m outside the patio footprints. We recommend one of the methods in the "Minimizing Soil Compaction" section be used over the lawn areas north of the sidewalk if construction equipment or materials are to be operated in these areas during the demolition or construction phase.

Based on discussions with the landscape architect, it is our understanding that the walkways and main entranceway between the two buildings will be constructed overtop the root systems of the municipal trees. We recommend a geotextile fabric/grid layer, such as CombiGrid 30/30 be installed above the tree roots, and the base layers and surfacing materials installed overtop (see "Paved Surfaces Above Tree Roots" section below). The attached landscape plan indicates the entranceway will be surfaced using sawcut concrete. As concrete washout can be damaging to tree roots, we further recommend the washout be directed northward, away from the base of the trees. We have recommended permeable pavers be used to surface the entranceway, rather than sawcut concrete (it is our understanding that permeable pavers would be cost-prohibitive for this project). We do not anticipate any of the municipal trees will be significantly impacted by the proposed construction. Less than one-quarter of the root system of Cherry \#840 will be covered by an impermeable surface, but its root system will predominantly undisturbed. If the above recommendations are followed, we anticipate this tree will incur, at most, minor health impacts.

Trees \#836 and \#837 are also likely to be further impacted by the excavation required to remove and reconstruct the southeast portion of the underground parkade. Depending on the extent of excavation required to remove and reconstruct the southeast portion of the parkade, trees \#836 and \#837 may incur health impacts. If excavation can be limited to $2-3 \mathrm{~m}$ outside the parkade footprint, we anticipate the health impacts will be minor. Any roots encountered from building, patio, or parkade construction should be pruned back to sound tissue at the edge of excavation by the project arborist to encourage rapid wound compartmentalization and new root growth.

- Neighbour's trees \#845-847, 849-851, 853, 848 and NT2: A new walkway will be constructed along the northeast property line adjacent to these trees. Based on discussions with the landscape architect, excavation within the walkway footprint can be minimized and the walkway constructed overtop the any critical roots that are encountered from the neighbour's trees. Where the stumps of the trees to be removed on the subject property overlap with the CRZs of the neighbour's trees, we recommend they be left in place or routed to grade, rather than removed, to avoid possible root damage. If the methods and materials recommended in the "Paved Surfaces Above Tree Roots" section below are used, we do not anticipate these trees will be impacted as a result of walkway construction.
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Based on discussions with the applicant, it is our understanding that no excavation will be required outside the foundation walls to repair them. If perimeter drains outside the northeast side of the parkade wall need to be upgraded, we anticipate excavation will be minimal and that the trees will not be impacted given that this portion of the parkade is partially above existing grade. If any excavation occurs within the CRZs of these trees, the project arborist should be on site to supervise.

- Neighbour's Plum Trees \#NT7-9: Based on discussions with the applicant, the existing retaining wall west of these trees will be left in place and not extended northward (an earlier iteration of the landscape plan indicated it may be extended). We do not anticipate these trees will be impacted.
- Services: The attached servicing plan indicates that water, storm, sewer, gas, and fire department laterals will be connected to mains underneath Michigan St. Existing water and sewer services will be capped and abandoned. Underground hydro connections will also be made, likely to poles on the south side of Michigan St. Two hydro kiosks/transformers are shown on the site plans (there is an existing transformer in the location northeast of the existing building to be retained off Superior St ). Additional infrastructure may be required for rainwater management and hydro. We recommend the project arborist review these plans once available to review the potential impacts to trees to be retained.
- Water: The existing and proposed laterals are between trees Cherry \#842 and Birch \#843. They are more than 9 m from \#843. The proposed water lateral is approximately 6 m from \#842, at the edge of the tree's CRZ. We recommend an arborist be on site to supervise any excavation within 6 m of the tree and prune any roots back to sound tissue at the edge of excavation. We do not anticipate the health of either tree will be impacted.
- Storm: The proposed storm lateral is 5 m from Birch \#843, just inside the tree's CRZ $(6.0 \mathrm{~m})$. We anticipate small roots from this tree may be encountered. We recommend an arborist supervise any excavation within 6 m of the tree and prune any roots back to sound tissue at the edge of excavation. We do not anticipate the health of the tree will be impacted.
- Sewer: The proposed sewer lateral is 5 m from Cherry \#842 (within the tree's CRZ) and approximately 5.5 m from Maple \#841 (outside the tree's CRZ). Given that Cherry trees often have large roots that extend long distances, we anticipate roots larger than 3 cm in diameter may be encountered. We recommend an arborist supervise any excavation within 6 m of the tree and prune any roots back to sound tissue at the edge of excavation. If large roots are encountered, it may be necessary for the trench to be excavated using alternative excavation methods (e.g. a hydro-vac in combination with hand-digging). We do not anticipate the health of the tree will be impacted.
- Gas: The proposed gas lateral is 4.5 m from Cherry \#840. We recommend an arborist supervise the excavation and that a hydro-vac be used to excavate the trench, in
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combination with hand-digging. If these recommendations are followed, we do not anticipate the health of the tree will be impacted.

- Fire Department: This lateral is proposed to be installed directly underneath or adjacent to Maple \#841 (the tree is not shown on the attached site servicing plan). We recommend the lateral be installed 3 m from the base of the tree in either direction to avoid encountering roots. If the position of the lateral cannot be shifted, this tree may have to be removed.

Off Superior St, a second connection will be made, the attached plans show a second metre will be installed, approximately in the location of Hawthorn \#283 ( 22 cm DBH). We anticipate this tree will have to be removed (it is not bylaw protected). Assuming a connection will be made to the existing building, excavation will be required within the CRZ of Austrian Pine \#282 (44, 40cm DBH). Any excavation within the CRZ of this tree should be completed under arborist supervision and alternative excavation methods may be required (e.g. hydro-vac).

- Hydro: The lateral is proposed to be installed between Cherry \#836 and Birch \#837, approximately 4.5 m from both. As this is within the CRZs of both trees, we recommend the excavation be completed using a hydro-vac and that an arborist be on site to supervise the excavation. If these recommendations are followed, we do not anticipate the health of the tree will be impacted. If any additional excavation is required to install the kiosk/transformer at the south corner of the property, these trees, as well as the neighbour's Hawthorn tree (\#835) may be impacted. We recommend that the project arborist supervise review the final site servicing plan once available.
- Arborist Supervision: All excavation occurring within the critical root zones of municipal and neighbours' trees, and any non-bylaw protected trees that the property owner wishes to retain, should be completed under supervision by the project arborist. This includes (but is not limited to) the following activities:
- Any excavation within the CRZ of Austrian Pine \#793 for construction of the walkway along the northeast property line
- Any excavation within the CRZs of municipal trees \#836-844 for construction of the building, patios, walkways, main entranceway, other landscaping work, and the installation of underground service connections and kiosks/transformers
- Any excavation within the CRZs of neighbour's trees \#845-847, 849-851, 853, 848 and NT2 for construction of the walkway along the northeast property line, as well as the removal of any stumps
- Pruning Roots: Any severed roots must be pruned back to sound tissue to reduce wound surface area and encourage rapid compartmentalization of the wound. Backfilling the excavated area around the roots should be done as soon as possible to keep the roots moist and aid in root regeneration. Exposed roots should be kept moist until the area is backfilled, especially if excavation occurs during a period of drought. This can be accomplished in a
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number of ways, including wrapping the roots in burlap or installing a root curtain of wire mesh lined with burlap, and keeping the area moist throughout the construction process.

- Barrier Fencing: The areas surrounding the trees to be retained should be isolated from the construction activity by erecting protective barrier fencing. Where possible, the fencing should be erected at the perimeter of the critical root zones.

The barrier fencing must be a minimum of 4 feet in height, of solid frame construction that is attached to wooden or metal posts. A solid board or rail must run between the posts at the top and the bottom of the fencing. This solid frame can then be covered with plywood, or flexible snow fencing. The fencing must be erected prior to the start of any construction activity on site (i.e. demolition, excavation, construction), and remain in place through completion of the project. Signs should be posted around the protection zone to declare it off limits to all construction related activity. The project arborist must be consulted before this fencing is removed or moved for any purpose.

- Minimizing Soil Compaction: In areas where construction traffic must encroach into the critical root zones of trees to be retained, efforts must be made to reduce soil compaction where possible by displacing the weight of machinery and foot traffic. This can be achieved by one of the following methods:
- Installing a layer of hog fuel or coarse wood chips at least 20 cm in depth and maintaining it in good condition until construction is complete.
- Placing medium weight geotextile cloth over the area to be used and installing a layer of crushed rock to a depth of 15 cm over top.
- Placing two layers of 19 mm plywood.
- Placing steel plates.
- Demolition of the Existing Buildings: The demolition of the existing house and any services that must be removed or abandoned, must take the critical root zone of the trees to be retained into account. If any excavation or machine access is required within the critical root zones of trees to be retained, it must be completed under the supervision and direction of the project arborist. If temporarily removed for demolition, barrier fencing must be erected immediately after the supervised demolition.


## - Paved Surfaces Above Tree Roots:

If the new paved surfaces within the CRZs of trees to be retained require excavation down to bearing soil and roots are encountered in this area, their health or stability could be impacted. If tree retention is desired, a raised and permeable paved surface should be constructed in the areas within the critical root zone of the trees. The "paved surfaces above root systems" diagram and specifications is attached.

The objective is to avoid root loss and to instead raise the paved surface and its base layer above the roots. This may result in the grade of the paved surface being raised above the existing grade (the amount depending on how close roots are to the surface and the depth of
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the paving material and base layers). Final grading plans should take this potential change into account. This may also result in soils which are high in organic content being left intact below the paved area.

To allow water to drain into the root systems below, we also recommend that the surface be made of a permeable material (instead of conventional asphalt or concrete) such as permeable asphalt, paving stones, or other porous paving materials and designs such as those utilized by Grasspave, Gravelpave, Grasscrete and open-grid systems.

- Mulching: Mulching can be an important proactive step in maintaining the health of trees and mitigating construction related impacts and overall stress. Mulch should be made from a natural material such as wood chips or bark pieces and be $5-8 \mathrm{~cm}$ deep. No mulch should be touching the trunk of the tree. See "methods to avoid soil compaction" if the area is to have heavy traffic.
- Scaffolding: This assessment has not included impacts from potential scaffolding including canopy clearance pruning requirements. If scaffolding is necessary and this will require clearance pruning of retained trees, the project arborist should be consulted. Depending on the extent of pruning required, the project arborist may recommend that alternatives to full scaffolding be considered such as hydraulic lifts, ladders or platforms. Methods to avoid soil compaction may also be recommended (see "Minimizing Soil Compaction" section).
- Landscaping and Irrigation Systems: The planting of new trees and shrubs should not damage the roots of retained trees. The installation of any in-ground irrigation system must take into account the critical root zones of the trees to be retained. Prior to installation, we recommend the irrigation technician consult with the project arborist about the most suitable locations for the irrigation lines and how best to mitigate the impacts on the trees to be retained. This may require the project arborist supervise the excavations associated with installing the irrigation system. Excessive frequent irrigation and irrigation which wets the trunks of trees can have a detrimental impact on tree health and can lead to root and trunk decay.
- Arborist Role: It is the responsibility of the client or his/her representative to contact the project arborist for the purpose of:
- Locating the barrier fencing
- Reviewing the report with the project foreman or site supervisor
- Locating work zones, where required
- Supervising any excavation within the critical root zones of trees to be retained
- Reviewing and advising of any pruning requirements for machine clearances
- Review and Site Meeting: Once the project receives approval, it is important that the project arborist meet with the principals involved in the project to review the information contained herein. It is also important that the arborist meet with the site foreman or supervisor before any site clearing, tree removal, demolition, or other construction activity occurs and to confirm the locations of the tree protection barrier fencing.

Please do not hesitate to call us at (250) 479-8733 should you have any further questions.
Thank you,

Noaliforgon-
Noah Borges
ISA Certified \#PN-8409A
TRAQ - Qualified
Talbot Mackenzie \& Associates
ISA Certified Consulting Arborists
Encl. 7-page tree resource spreadsheet; 1-page site survey, 29-page site, servicing, and landscape plans; 1-page "Paved Surfaces Above Tree Roots"; 1-page barrier fencing specifications; 2-page tree resource spreadsheet methodology and definitions

## Disclosure Statemen

The tree inventory attached to the Tree Preservation Plan can be characterized as a limited visual assessment from the ground and should not be interpreted as a "risk assessment" of the trees included.

Arborists are professionals who examine trees and use their training, knowledge and experience to recommend techniques and procedures that will improve their health and structure or to mitigate associated risks.

Trees are living organisms, whose health and structure change, and are influenced by age, continued growth, climate, weather conditions, and insect and disease pathogens. Indicators of structural weakness and disease are often hidden within the tree structure or beneath the ground. It is not possible for an Arborist to identify every flaw or condition that could result in failure or can he/she guarantee that the tree will remain healthy and free of risk.

Remedial care and mitigation measures recommended are based on the visible and detectable indicators present at the time of the examination and cannot be guaranteed to alleviate all symptoms or to mitigate all risk posed.

| Tree is) | Common Name | Latin Name | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DBH (cm) } \\ \sim \substack{\text { approxtmate } \\ \text { fover ivy }} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Crown } \\ \text { Spread (m) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | CRZ (m) | Relative Tolerance | Heath | Strueture | Remarks and Recomnnendations | Location | Bylaw <br> Protected | Retention Suitability | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Retention } \\ \text { Status } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Reason for Removal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 194 | Japanesc Maple | Acer palmatum | $\begin{gathered} 12,9,8,7,6,4, \\ 3,3 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 5 | 2.5 | Moderate | Good | Good |  | Subiect property | N | Suiable | Rectain | . |
| 195 | Luwson Cypress | Chanaecypats lanasontiama | 148 al base | 12 | 12.0 | Poor | Ginod | Fair | 6 codominant stems, asymuciric crown due io building | Subject properiy | $Y$ | Suiable | x | Building construction |
| 196 | Japanese Muple | Acer paltuaum | 10.8 | 2 | 2.0 | Muderate | Good | Fair | In plimiter | Subicet property | N | Suilable | Relain | . |
| 197 | lapanese Maple | Acer palmatum | 6, 5, 4, 4 | 2 | 1.5 | Moderate | Good | Fair | In plauter | Subigect property | N | Suilable | Relain | . |
| 198 | Japanese Maple | Acer putmatum | 10, $8,7,4$ | 2 | 2.5 | Maderale | Gond | Fair | In plamer | Subject propeny | N | Suiable | Relain | . |
| (9) | Japanese Maple | Acer palmatum | 7,7 | 2 | 1.5 | Maderate | Good | Fair | In planter | Subject pmperty | N | Switable | Relain | . |
| 282 | Ausurian Pinc | Pimus migra | 44,40 | 12 | 7.0 | Giokd | Fair | Fair | Dichack, one stem leans over neightour's propery | Subject properry | N | Suitable | Retain* | . |
| 283 | Hawhhrn | Crutaegus spm. | 22 | 5 | 2.0 | Gond | Fair | Fair |  | Subiect properiy | N | Suitable | x | Fire Department Conncetion |
| 284 | Hawhom | Crataegus spp | 19 | 4 | 2.0 | Gioad | Fair | Fair | . | Subject property | N | Suitable | Relain | . |
| 285 | Austrian Pine | Pinus migru | 53 | 8 | 5.5 | Good | Fair | Fair | Codominant lcaders | Subject properity | N | Suiable | Retain | . |
| 286 | Maple | far spp. | 13 | 2 | 1.5 | Maderate | Fair | Fair |  | Subject properity | N | Suilable | Retain | . |
| 287 | Magnolia | Auproulius sp. | 8,7 | 3 | 1.5 | Muderate | Fair | Fair |  | Subject properiy | N | Suilable | Relain | . |
| 288 | Magmilia | Magmalia spp. | 110,7 | 3 | 1.5 | Madcrate | Fair | Fair |  | Subject propeny | N | Suilable | Rectuin | - |
| 289 | Maenolia | Mugnolia spp | 13 | 4 | 1.5 | Moderale | Giood | Fair |  | Subject property | N | Suilable | Relain |  |
| 290 | Ash | franmus spp | 34 | 5 | 4.0 | Maderale | Fair | Fair | Codominam leaders | Subject property | N | Sutable | Relain | . |
| 762 | Douelas-fit | Pseudusuga menzicia | 39 | 7 | 6.0 | Poor | Fairrpoor | Fair | Dieback | Subject properiy | N | Suirable | X | landscaping |
| 763 | Nunvay Maple | Acer mhatheides | 13 | 4 | 1.5 | Moderate | Good | Good |  | Subject properiy | N | Suitable | X | Northeast walkway/ landscaping |
| 764 | Westerm Hemlock | Tsuga heterophyl/a | 31 | 0 | 4.5 | Poor | Fair | Grood | Some dietback | Subject property | N | Suitable | X | Norlheast walkway/ landscuping |
| 765 | Westem IIemlock | Tsumsa hererophylia | 23 | $s$ | 3.5 | Poor | Farr | Fair | Lower crown dichack, narrow crown duc to compection | Subject property | N | Suluable | x | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Northeast walkway } \\ \text { landscaping }\end{array}$ |
| 766 | Western Hemlock | Tuwa heteroplivta | 16 | 4 | 25 | Poor | Far | Fair | Diehack, suppressed | Subject properiy | N | Suiuble | $x$ | landscaping |
| 76.7 | Wcsiern Hemleerk | Tsuga hetcrophylla | 31 | 0 | 4.5 | Poor | Fair | Good |  | Subject propeny | N | Suwable | X | landscaping |
| 76.8 | Lawson Cypress | Chamaecypans lansomiama | 35 | 6 | 5.5 | Poor | Fair | Good | Sume dieback | Subject property | N | Suitable | X | Nonheast walkway/ landscuping |
| 769 | Lawson Cypress | Chumurecyparis <br> lansonagna | 34 | 0 | 5.0 | Poor | Goud | Good |  | Subject properiy | N | Suitable | X | Northeast walkway ' landscaping |
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| Tree II) | Common Name | Latin Name | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DBH (cme } \\ \text { ~approximate } \\ \text { * over iny } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Crown Spread (m) | CRZ (m) | Relative Tolerance | Health | Structure | Remarks and Recommendations | Location | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Byluw } \\ \text { Protected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retention Suitability | $\begin{gathered} \text { Retention } \\ \text { Stutus } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Reason for Removal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 776 | Plum | Prumus syp. | 12 | 3 | 1.5 | Moderate | Poor | Poor | Heavily pruned, suppressed | Subject property | N | Not suitable | x | Northeast walkway/ landscaping |
| 771 | Lawson Cypress | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Chumaecypants } \\ & \text { fawsomima } \end{aligned}$ | 22 | 4 | 3.5 | Poor | Fiir | Fair | Narow eruwn due to competition | Subject properiy | N | Suitable | x | Northeast walkway/ landscuping |
| 772 | Westem Hemlock | Tsuga heteropmurlia | 30 | 7 | 4.5 | Poor | Fair | Gioud |  | Subiect properiy | N | Suitable | x | Northeast walkway/ landscuping |
| 773 | Hawithom | Crataegus spp. | 29* | 4 | 3.10 | Giond | Fair | Fair | Shared iree, covered in ivy | Shard (with 415 Superor Si) | N | Suitable | X | Northeast walkway/ landscaping |
| 774 | Westem Hemlock | Tsuga heterophysta | 15 | 3 | 2.5 | Poor | Fair | Fair | Asymmetric crown | Subiject property | N | Suitable | X | Northeast walkway/ landscaping |
| 775 | Japanese Maple | Acer palimam, | 4, 4, 4, 5 | 2 | 1.0 | Moderate | Good | Finr |  | Subject properiy | N | Suliable | X | Nonheast walkway/ <br> landscaping |
| 776 | Japanese Maple | Her palmumim | 7,6 | 2 | 1.5 | Moderate | Good | Fair |  | Subject properry | N | Suirable | X | Northectst walkway/ landscaping |
| 777 | Norway Maple | Acer phtamamaides | 21 | 5 | 2.5 | Moderate | Good | Fair | Shared Ifee | Shared (with 415 Superior Si) | N | Suituble | X | Northeast walkway/ landscaping |
| 778 | Westem Hemlock | Tsuga helerophyla | 10 | 4 | 1.5 | Pror | Fair | Giood | Girowing through crown of 779 | Subject properiy | N | Suitable | x | Northeast walkway / landscapng. |
| 779 | Plum | Prmus spp | 53 | 110 | 6.5 | Moderate | Farr | Fair |  | Subicet property | N | Suiuable | $x$ | Northeast walkway/ landscaping |
| 780 | Western Hembek | Tousge hecteruphylla | 4 | 2 | 0.5 | Pour | Fairpoor | Prour |  | Subject property | N | Nor suitable | x | Northeast walkway / landscaping |
| 781 | Westem Hemlock | Tsuga heremphrilla | 5 | 2 | 1.0 | Poor | Fair | Fair |  | Subject properry | N | Suirable | x | Northenst walkway/ landscaping |
| 782 | Western Red Ccdar | Thuja plicata | 55,31 | 8 | 11.0 | Poor | Good | Fair | Asymmerric crown due to building. | Subject properiy | Y | Suitable | X | Northeast walkway / landscaping |
| 783 | Lawson Cypriso | Chumaectyars lansoniuma | 42 | 4 | 6.5 | Puor | Fairpoor | Fuir | Dicback, sparse upper crown | Subject properry | N | Suiluble | X | Northeast walkway/ landscaping |
| 784 | Westem Hemhork | Tsuge hererophylla | 11 | 4 | 1.5 | Pour | Ginod | Fair | Asymmetric crown due to comperition | Subject properiy | N | Suiliable | x | Northeast wulkway/ lundscaping |
| 785 | Serviceberry | Amelanchier syp | 4 | 2 | 0.5 | Moderate | Fair | Fair | Possibly serviceberry, 17) when leaves our | Subject property | N | Suitable | x | Northeast walkway/ landscaping |
| 786 | Servicelerry | Anclanchier spp | 4, 2 | 2 | 0.5 | Moderate | Fair | Fair | Possibly serviceberry, II) when ieaves out | Subject property | N | Suiable | X | Northeast walkway / landscaping |
| 787 | Douglas-fir | Pscudotsuga mimzicsii | 38 | 6 | 5.5 | Poor | Fair | Good | Dieback | Subject property | N | Suitable | X | Northeast walkway $/$ landscaping |
| 788 | Weeping Birch cullivar | Becmla pershuta | 14 | 3 | 2.0 | Poor | Guod | Fair | Trunk covered in iny | Subject properiy | N | Suitable | x | Northeast walkway/ landscaping |
| 789 | Weeping Birch | Betula perndula | 10 | 2 | 1.5 | Poor | Giowd | Goud |  | Subijet property | N | Suitable | x | Northeast wulkway / landscaping |
| 790 | Weepung Birch cultivar | Bemla peridula | 14 | 2 | 2.0 | Poor | Goxid | $G$ Gioud |  | Subject property | N | Suituble | x | Northeast walkway $/$ landscaping. |
| 791 | Honeylacus | Gleditisiu tracuntior | 11 | 4 | 1.0 | Ciond | Gored | Fair |  | Subject property | N | Suulable | x | Northeast walkway/ landscaping |
| 792 | Honcylocus | Giledisia macaurtios | 9 | 3 | 1.0 | Good | Good | Far |  | Subject properry | N | Sumable | x | Northeast walkway/ landscaping |

Prepared by:
Falbot Mackenze \& Associates
5 A Certitied and Consutting Arborists
Phone: (250) 479-873
fax: 2501 479.7050


| Tree II | Common Name | Latio Name | $\underset{\substack{\text { DRH (cmin) } \\ \sim \text { approximate } \\ \text { over iny }}}{\substack{\text { on }}}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Crown } \\ \text { Spread }(\mathrm{m}) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | CRZ. (m) | Relative Tolerance | Health | Structure | Remarks and Recommendations | Location | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Bylaw } \\ \text { Protected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retention Suitability | $\begin{array}{\|c} \text { Retention } \\ \text { Status } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Reason for Removal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 793 | Alstrian Pine | Pitus migra | 51 | 8 | 5.0 | Good | Good | Fair | Asymmerric crown due to building, sone dieback | Subject property | N | Suitable | Rectain* | - |
| 794 | Japanese Maple | Acer paln | $\begin{gathered} 12,11,11,9,7, \\ 7 \end{gathered}$ | 2 | 3.0 | Moderate | Fair | Fair | Dead cambium al base | Subject property | N | Suituble | x | Walkway and slairway construction |
| 795 | Magnolia | Augnatia spp. | 14. 14 | 4 | 2.5 | Muderate | Gioud | Guod |  | Subiect property | N | Suitable | x | Walkway and stairway construction |
| 796 | Furupcan Hombeam | Campinus hetulus | 37 | 8 | 4.5 | Moderate | Giond | Fairpoer | Narrow unions between stems | Subject property | N | Suitable | $x$ | Walkway and stairway construction |
| 797 | European Hounbean | Carpimus hemlus | 43 | 8 | 5.0 | Moderate | Good | Fair | Narrow unions between stems | Subject property | N | Suitable | x | Walkway and stairway constriction |
| 799 | Sapanese Maplc | Acer paluctum | 8.6 | 2 | 1.5 | Moderate | Good | Fair |  | Subject property | N | Sutrable | x | Buildings / patios/ walkways / parkade |
| 801 | Japauese Maple | Acer palmumun | $\begin{gathered} 19.14,14,13, \\ 11 \end{gathered}$ | 6 | 45 | Moderate | Giod | Good |  | Subject property | N | Suitable | x | Buildings / patios / walkways/parkade |
| 802 | Japanese Maple | Acer puamantu' | 12, 12, 10, 10 | 4 | 3.0 | Moderale | Giond | Good |  | Subject properiy | N | Suitable | X | Buildings/patios/ walkwuys / parkade |
| 803 | Sertian Spruce | Picea onurika | 15 | 3 | $2.1)$ | Maderate | Giowd | Giood |  | Subject property | N | Suitable | x | Buildings / patios / walkways / parkade |
| 804 | Serban Sonce | Piceit omorika | 15 | 3 | 2.0 | Maderate | Gimal | Gioud |  | Subject property | N | Suutable | x | Buildings / patios/ walkways / parknde |
| к05 | Settuan Spruce | Picea omurika | 17 | 3 | 2.11 | Mosterate | Giond | Good |  | Subject property | N | Suiable | x | Buildings / patios / walkways / parkade |
| 806 | Magnolia | Mrgenolia spp | 13, 10,6 | 4 | 2.5 | Maderate | Giood | Good |  | Subject property | N | Sutable | x | Buildings / patios / walkways/parkade |
| 807 | Maynolia | Maguoliar sp. | 15,15,14 | 5 | 4.11 | Maderate | Giood | Good |  | Subject properity | N | Suituble | x | Buildings / patios/ walkways/parkade |
| 808 | Dugwoed | Cormes sp. | 5.4, 3, 3 | 3 | 1.0 | Moderate | Givod | Guad |  | Subiect properiy | N | Suitabl: | x | Buildings / patios / walkwnys / parkadc |
| \%09 | Magmolia | Magnolia spp. | 20, 18, 13, 12 | 5 | 4.5 | Maderate | Giond | Ginod |  | Subject properiy | N | Suituble | x | Buildings / patios / walkways / parkade |
| 810 | Mapnolia | Mapnolia spp | 17, 15, 15, 10 | 4 | 4.10 | Maderate | Good | Goud |  | Subject properiy | N | Suitable | X | Buildings / patios / walkways / parkade |
| 811 | Sembian Spruce | Picea omorikn | 16 | 3 | 2.1 | Muxtrate | Gaxa | Giowd |  | Subject properiy | N | Suitable | x | Buildings/ patios/ walkways / parkade |
| 812 | Serbian Spruce | Picea omorika | 21 | 4 | 2.5 | Moderate | Good | Good |  | Subject propent | N | Suitable | X | Buildings / patios/ walkways / parkade |
| 81.3 | Sctijal Spruce | Preea amurika | 16 | 3 | 2.0 | Moderate | Gixal | Good |  | Subject property | N | Suituble | X | Buildings / patios walkways / parkade |
| 814 | Serbian Sprues | $P_{\text {icea omorika }}$ | 15 | 3 | 2.0 | Moderate | Goral | Giord |  | Subicet property | N | Suilable | X | $\begin{array}{\|l} \begin{array}{l} \text { Buildings / patios } \\ \text { walkways / pukkade } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 815 | Serbian Spruce | Pircea omoriko | 14 | 4 | 1.5 | Moderate | (gaxal | (iond |  | Subject propeny | N | Sutuble | X | Buildings / patios walkways / parkade |
| 816 | Sebban Spmice | Picrea omorika | 13 | 5 | 1.5 | Moderate | Gosal | Giosd |  | Subject property | N | Suilable | X | Buildings/ patios/ walkways / parkade |
| 817 | Cherv | Prumus spp. | 6 | 2 | 0.5 | Moderate | Good | Farr | In planier | Subject propeny | N | Sutable | X | Buildings / patios / walkways/parkade |


| Tree il) | Common Nume | Latin Name | DBH (cm) <br> ~approximate * over iny | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Crown } \\ \text { Spread (ni) } \end{array}$ | CRZ. (m) | Relative <br> Tolerance | Health | Structure | Remarks und Recommendations | Location | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bylaw } \\ \text { Protected } \end{gathered}$ | Retention Suitubility | Retention Stutus | Resson far Removal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 818 | Hawthom | Crattegus spy | 7 | 2 | 0.5 | Good | Good | Farr | In planter | Subject property | N | Suitable | X | Buildings / patios/ walkways/parkade |
| 819 | Dogw | Corn | 5.5 | 3 | 1.0 | Moderate | Giowd | Good |  | Subject properry | N | Suituble | $x$ | Buildings / patios / walkways/parkade |
| 820 | Dogword | Cormus spp. | 7,6, 5, 4, 4 | 2 | 1.5 | Muderate | Good | Goord |  | Subjecı propery | N | Suituble | X | Buildings / putios / walkways / parkade |
| K21 | Katsura | Cercidiplivlunu japonacum | 20, 13, 3x10 | 5 | 4.0 | Moderate | (iomad | Fair | Namrow unions | Subject property | N | Sutable | X | Buildings / patios / walkways / parkade |
| 822 | Kalsura | Cerciditiphylum haporicum | 21, 14, 11, 11 | 4 | 4.5 | Muderate | Fair | Fair | Narrow umions | Subject property | N | Suitable | X | Buildings / patios / walkways/ parkade |
| 823 | Dogwood | Cormus spp | $3 \times 11$ | 3 | 3.0 | Maderate | Good | Fair | Lcaning | Subject propery | N | Suitable | x | Buildings / patios/ walkways / parkade |
| 824 | Paperbark Maple | teer griseum | 6,4 | 2 | 1.0 | Moderate | Fair | Fair |  | Subject propeny | N | Suitable | X | Buildings / patios / walkways / parkade |
| 82.5 | Paperbark Muple | Acergrisum | 10 | 3 | 1.0 | Moderale | Giord | Fair |  | Subject propeny | N | Suiluble | x | Buildings / patios / walkways / parkade |
| 826 | Paperbark Maple | Acer griseum | 4 | 2 | 0.5 | Moderute | Giond | Fuir |  | Subject properiy | N | Suitable | X | Buildings / patios ! walkways/parkade |
| 827 | Maple | Acers.sp | 20 | 5 | 2.5 | Moderalc | Gordi | Fair | Costominami leaders | Subject propeny | N | Suituble | $x$ | Buildings / patios / walkways / parkade |
| 828 | Furrypean Hombeam | Carpinus terthus | 33 | s | 4.9 | Moderate | Good | Fair | Asymmetric crown | Subject property | N | Suitable | $x$ | Buildings / patios / walkways / parkade |
| 829 | Eiropean Hombsam | Carpimus beetus | 18.16,14 | 5 | 4.4 | Moderatc | Gord | Fair | Asymmetric crown | Subject propeny | N | Suinable | x | Buildings / patios/ walkways/parkade |
| 830 | Eurepean Honnleam | Curpinus berutus | 27,18 | 5 | 4.5 | Moderate | Giood | Fair | Asymmetric crown | Subject properiy | N | Suitahte | $x$ | Buildings / patios / walkways / parkade |
| 831 | Eurupan Hornbeam | Carymuas bettilus | 16, 15 | 4 | 3.0 | Muderate | Geod | Fuir | Asymuctric crown | Subicel propery | N | Suitable | $X$ | Buildings / patios/ walkwins/parkade |
| 832 | European Hombeam | Carpmus mentus | 22,16, 16,15 | 5 | 5.10 | Moderate | (iownd | Fair | Asmmectric crown | Subjecl properiy | N | Suitable | $x$ | Buildings/patios walhways/parkade |
| 833 | European Hombeam |  | 25,21, 15, 11 | 6 | 5.5 | Moderate | Good | Fair |  | Subject propery | N | Suitable | X | Buildings / putios / walkways/parkade |
| 834 | Furopean Ilormbatin | Carpinius hetutus | 34, 26, 25, 24 | 10 | 8.0 | Moderate | Good | Fair | Narrow stem unions | Subject properry | N | Sutable | x | Buildings / patios / walkways/parkade |
| 835 | Hawhom | Crauterus spp. | 29 | 4 | 3.0 | Good | Good | Good | Neighbour's, 3 m from fence | Offsite (443 Superior St) | N | Suitable | Retain* | - |
| 836 | Cherry | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Prumus serrulata } \\ & \text { 'Kwanzan' } \end{aligned}$ | 53 | 10 | 6.5 | Moderate | Fair | Fair | Municipul (ID: 14978), pruned for hydro lines | Michigan St Boulevard | N | Suiluble | Remain* | - |
| 837 | White Birch | Bettula pupurieru | 45 | 10 | 7.0 | Poor | Fair | Fuir | Muncipul (ID: 14977), pruned for hydro lines | Michigan St Boulcvard | N | Suituble | Retain* | - |
| 838 | Cherry | ${ }^{\text {Promus serrulata }}$ 'Kivautan' | 65 | 10 | 8.0 | Menderate | Fair | Fair ${ }^{\text {pener }}$ | Municicpal (ID: I4976), pruned for hydro lines, crossing limbs, mulliple Gianoderma fruiting bodies at hase | Michigan St Boulevard | N | Suilable | Relain* | - |
| 839 | Whate Birch | Betula paprijera | 46 | 10 | 7.0 | Poor | Farr | Fair | Municipal (ID: 14975), pruned for hytro lines, epicormic and growth | Michigan St Boulevard | N | Suiable | Relain* | . |
| 840 | Chery | Prumus sertuilatu 'Kwanan' | 60 | 10 | 7.0 | Moderate | Far | Fair | Municipal (11): 14973), pruned fiur hydro lines, crossing limbs | Michigan St Boulevard | N | Suitable | Reclain* | . |

prepared by
Talbot Mackenzile \& Associates
SA Certilied and Consulting Arborrss
Phone: (250) 479-8733
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| Tree 1D | Common Name | Latin Name | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { DBH (cm) } \\ \sim \begin{array}{c} \text { approximate } \\ \text { * over wy } \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Crown } \\ \text { Spread }(m) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | CRZ (m) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \text { Relutive } \\ \text { Tolerance } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Health | Structure | Remarks and Recommendations | Location | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \text { Bylaw } \\ \text { Protected } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Retention Sutuability | $\begin{gathered} \text { Retention } \\ \text { Status } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Reason for Removal |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 841 | Red Maple | Acer ruburum | 11 | 3 | 1.5 | Modcrate | Giood | Good | Municipal (ID: 14971) | Michigan St Boulcvard | N | Suitable | Retain* | - |
| 842 | Cherry | $\begin{array}{\|l} \text { Prumus sertulata } \\ \text { 'Kurumzun' } \end{array}$ | 50 | 110 | 6.0 | Moderate | Fair | Fair | Municipal (II): 14970), pruned for hydro line+16(5s | Michigan St Boulevard | N | Suitable | Retain* | - |
| 843 | White Birch | Bettla papzrifera | 41 | 10 | 6.0 | Puor | Fair | Fair | Municipal (ID: 14969). pruned for hydro lines | Michigan St Boulevard | N | Suiluble | Relain* |  |
| 844 | Cherry | Prumus servitata 'Kwanzan' | 48 | 12 | 6.10 | Moderate | Fair | Fair | Municipal (ID): 14967), pruned for hydro lines | Michigan St Houlcvard | N | Sutable | Rectain* | - |
| 845 | Laurel | Prumus spp. | -25 | 5 | 2.5 | Good | Gond | Giond | Neightour's, 3 m from fence, leaning | Offsite (415 <br> Superior St) | N | Suitable | Retain* | - |
| 846 | Douglas fir | Psentoosuga menziesij | -50 | 10 | 7.5 | Poor | Good | Fair | Nciefthour's, 2 m from fence, mulliple trumk bends | Offsite (415 Superior St) | N | Suitable | Retain* | - |
| 847 | Western Red Cedar | Thupa plicata | -45 | 6 | 7.0 | Poor | Giood | Fair | Neighthour's, 2 m firom fence, codominanl leaders | Offsite (415 Superior St) | N | Suitable | Retain* | - |
| 848 | Deuglas-fir | Psemiostuga menziesii | $-60$ | 12 | 9.0 | Poor | Girad | Fair | Neighbour's, next to fence, limb failure, topped historically. Tag 4848 on north side of trunk | Offsite (415 <br> Superior St) | $\begin{array}{\|c\|} \hline \begin{array}{c} Y \\ \text { (Possibly) } \\ \hline \end{array} \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Suitable | Relain* | - |
| 849 | Douglas-fir | Psendorsuga menzicsio | -50 | 8 | 7.5 | Pior | Giosod | Fairpoor | Neighbour's, 2m from fence, lopped historically, two leaders | Ofrite (415 Superior SI) | N | Suitable | Retain* | - |
| 850 | Laurel | Prumus sip | -25,12 | 5 | 3.0 | Good | (ional | Fair | Nciphthour's 2.5 mm from fence | Offsite (415 Superior S0) | N | Suitable | Relain* | - |
| 851 | Hawthorn | Crotacgur spp | -211, 211 | 6 | 3.0 | Giond | Fair | Fair | Neiphthour's, 2 m from fence, leaning | Offite (415 Superior SI) | N | Suitable | Retain* | - |
| 853 | Cherry | Prumus spp. | -25 | 4 | 3.0 | Moderate | Fair | Far | Neighthour's, 0.5 m from tence, leans away | Oflisite (415 <br> Superior SII | N | Suitable | Retain* |  |
| NT2 | Holly | Hos.apr | $-20,20$ | 4 | 3.0 | Good | (iond | Fair | Neighthour's, adjacent to fence | Offsite (423 <br> Superior St) | N | Suitable | Retain* | . |
| NT3 | English Oak | Duercus rotur | 27 | 5 | 2.5 | Good | Fair | Fuirrpoer | Municipal (ID: 15016), pruned heavily fiom hydro pole | Superior St Boulcvard | N | Suituble | Retuin | . |
| NT4 | Chamsecypans | Chamutecgraris spp | -25,20 | 5 | 4.5 | Moderate | Fair | Fair | Neightrour's, next to fence, overilangx 3 m , wime dictuack | Offsite (443 Superior S1) | N | Suituble | Retuin | - |
| NT5 | Spruce | Picearsy. | -25 | 4 | 4.0 | Proor | Fair | Fair | Neightrour's, 0.5 mm from fence, lower crown diehack | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Offsite (443 } \\ & \text { Superior St) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N | Suitable | Reaain | . |
| NT6 | Norway Maple | tere platunoides | -310, 30, 20 | 6 | 7.1 | Mosterate | Giand | Fair | Neighbour's, next to fence, trunk partially grows under fence (possibly shared) | Offsite (443 <br> Superior St) | N | Suitable | Retain | - |
| NT7 | Plum | Prumus sp, | -35 | 10 | 4.0 | Moderate | Fair | Fair | Neyghbour's, next to fence, severe lean | Offitic (4.43 <br> Superior St) | N | Suitable | Retaili* | - |
| NT8 | Plum | Prumus spp | -40, 30, 30 | 8 | 9.0 | Moderate | Giosd | Fair | Ncighlibour's, next to lentice | Offsite (443 Superior SII | N | Suitable | Retain* | - |
| NT9 | Plum | Prumus.pp, | -41), 41 | 8 | 7.5 | Modstate | Gisud | Fur | Neiphbour's, next io fence, ganoderna an buse | Onfsite (443 <br> Superior Si) | N | Suituble | Retain* | - |

Prepared by:
Talbot Mackenie $\&$ Associates
15A Certified and Consulting Arborist:
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# Talbot Mackenzie \& Associates 

Consulting Arborists

## Diagram - Site Specific Driveway, Parking and Walkway



## Specifications for Paved Surfaces Above Tree Roots (Driveway, Parking and Walkway Areas)

1. Excavation for construction of the driveway/parking/walkway areas must remove only the top layer of sod and not result in root loss
2. A layer of medium weight felted Geotextile fabric (Nilex 4535, or similar) is to be installed over the entire area of the critical root zone that is to be covered by the paved surface. Cover this Geotextile fabric with a layer of woven Amoco 2002 or Tensar BX 1200. Each piece of fabric must overlap the adjoining piece by approximately $30-\mathrm{cm}$.
3. A 10 cm layer of torpedo rock or $20-\mathrm{mm}$ clean crushed drain rock, is to be used to cover the Geotextile fabric (depth dependent on desired finished grade).
4. A layer of felted filter fabric is to be installed over the crushed rock layer to prevent fine particles of sand and soil from infiltrating this layer
5. The bedding or base layer and permeable surfacing can be installed directly on top of the Geotextile fabric.
6. Two-dimensional (such as CombiGrid $30 / 30$ or similar) or three-dimensional geo-grid reinforcements can be installed in combination with, or instead of, the geotextile fabric specified in the attached diagram.
7. Ultimately, a geotechnical engineer should be consulted and in consultation with the project arborist may specify their own materials and methods that are specific to the site's soil conditions and requirements, while also avoiding root loss and reducing compaction to the sub-grade.


# Talbot Mackenzie \& Associates Consulting Arborists 

Box 48153 RPO - Uptown Victoria, BC V8Z 7H6<br>Ph: (250) 479-8733<br>Fax: (250) 479-7050<br>Email: tmtreehelp@gmail.com

## Tree Resource Spreadsheet Methodology and Definitions

Tag: Tree identification number on a metal tag attached to tree with nail or wire, generally at eye level. Trees on municipal or neighboring properties are not tagged.

NT: No tag due to inaccessibility or ownership by municipality or neighbour.
DBH: Diameter at breast height - diameter of trunk, measured in centimetres at 1.4 m above ground level. For trees on a slope, it is taken at the average point between the high and low side of the slope.

* Measured over ivy
$\sim$ Approximate due to inaccessibility or on neighbouring property
Crown Spread: Indicates the diameter of the crown spread measured in metres to the dripline of the longest limbs.

Relative Tolerance Rating: Relative tolerance of the tree species to construction related impacts such as root pruning, crown pruning, soil compaction, hydrology changes, grade changes, and other soil disturbance. This rating does not take into account individual tree characteristics, such as health and vigour. Three ratings are assigned based on our knowledge and experience with the tree species: Poor (P), Moderate (M) or Good (G).

Critical Root Zone: A calculated radial measurement in metres from the trunk of the tree. It is the optimal size of tree protection zone and is calculated by multiplying the DBH of the tree by 10,12 or 15 depending on the tree's Relative Tolerance Rating. This methodology is based on the methodology used by Nelda Matheny and James R. Clark in their book "Trees and Development: A Technical Guide to Preservation of Trees During Land Development."

- $15 \times$ DBH $=$ Poor Tolerance of Construction
- $12 \times \mathrm{DBH}=$ Moderate
- $10 \times \mathrm{DBH}=$ Good

To calculate the critical root zone, the DBH of multiple stems is considered the sum of $100 \%$ of the diameter of the largest stem and $60 \%$ of the diameter of the next two largest stems. It should be noted that these measures are solely mathematical calculations that do not consider factors such as restricted root growth, limited soil volumes, age, crown spread, health, or structure (such as a lean).

## Talbot Mackenzie \& Associates

## Health Condition:

- Poor - significant signs of visible stress and/or decline that threaten the long-term survival of the specimen
- Fair - signs of stress
- Good - no visible signs of significant stress and/or only minor aesthetic issues


## Structural Condition:

- Poor - Structural defects that have been in place for a long period of time to the point that mitigation measures are limited
- Fair - Structural concerns that are possible to mitigate through pruning
- Good - No visible or only minor structural flaws that require no to very little pruning


## Retention Status:

- X - Not possible to retain given proposed construction plans
- Retain - It is possible to retain this tree in the long-term given the proposed plans and information available. This is assuming our recommended mitigation measures are followed
- Retain * - See report for more information regarding potential impacts
- TBD (To Be Determined) - The impacts on the tree could be significant. However, in the absence of exploratory excavations and in an effort to retain as many trees as possible, we recommend that the final determination be made by the supervising project arborist at the time of excavation. The tree might be possible to retain depending on the location of roots and the resulting impacts, but concerned parties should be aware that the tree may require removal.
- NS - Not suitable to retain due to health or structural concerns

