ATTACHMENT I



<u>Talbot Mackenzie & Associates</u> Consulting Arborists

Village Gardens Development Victoria, BC

Construction Impact Assessment &

Tree Preservation Plan

Prepared For:	Village Green Apartments Limited Partnership c/o Primex Investments Ltd. #200 - 1785 West 4th Avenue Vancouver, BC V6J 1M2
Prepared By:	Talbot, Mackenzie & Associates Michael Marcucci ISA Certified # ON-1943A TRAQ – Qualified
Date of Issuance: <i>Revised:</i>	June 12, 2020 December 9, 2020 (changes marked with a red asterisk *)

Box 48153 RPO - Uptown Victoria, BC V8Z 7H6 Ph: (250) 479-8733 Fax: (250) 479-7050 Email: tmtreehelp@gmail.com



Talbot Mackenzie & Associates

Consulting Arborists

Jobsite Property:	119 and 129 Croft St
	420, 450, and 456/458 Niagara St
	110 and 122 Menzies St

Date of Site Visit(s): October 17, 2019 (original inventory) and March-June, 2020

Site Conditions: No ongoing construction activity.

Summary:

- The proposal includes constructing a residential complex with underground parking.
- 12 bylaw protected trees will require removal due to the building and/or parkade footprint (in addition to non-bylaw protected trees and a few small potentially protected trees/hedges).
- A 45cm DBH Lawson Cypress, which is shared with the municipality, is proposed for removal due to excavations associated with the parkade excavation, retaining walls and pathways.
- The applicant is willing to use shoring for the parkade excavation where necessary to retain the Elm trees along the north-east property line (if retention is desired by the municipality).
- Elm #37 can be retained, but will require 40-50% of its live canopy be removed for building clearance.
- * The servicing plan has been updated with civil works requested by the city. The municipal Purple Leaf Plum trees (#21-27) are now proposed for removal due to the relocated sidewalk.

Scope of Assignment:

- Inventory all trees over 10cm in diameter and any trees on municipal or neighbouring properties that could potentially be impacted by construction or that are within three metres of the property line.
- Review the proposal to demolish the existing houses and residential buildings and construct Phase One of a residential complex, which includes constructing buildings up to 6 storeys high, an underground parkade and the installation of new services.
- Comment on how construction activity may impact existing trees in Phase One.
- Prepare a tree retention and construction damage mitigation plan for those trees deemed suitable to retain given the proposed impacts.

Methodology:

- We visually examined the trees on the property located in both Phase 1 and 2 and prepared an inventory in the attached Tree Resource Spreadsheet.
- Each tree was identified using a numeric metal tag attached to its lower trunk. Municipal trees and neighbours' trees were not tagged.
- Information such as tree species, DBH (1.4m), crown spread, critical root zone (CRZ), health, structure, and relative tolerance to construction impacts were included in the inventory.
- *The conclusions reached were based on the information provided within the attached architectural plans from Continuum Architecture (2020-05-04), Landscape Plan (Small and Rossell, December 1, 2020) and the conceptual servicing plan (November 25, 2020).
- *Tree protection fencing locations were added to the Landscape Plan.

Limitations:

• No exploratory excavations have been conducted and thus the conclusions reached are based solely on critical root zone calculations, observations of site conditions, and our best judgement using our experience and expertise. The location, size and density of roots are often difficult to predict without exploratory excavations and therefore the impacts to the trees may be more or less severe than we anticipate.

Trees to be Removed

Parkade and Buildings

The following trees will require removal due to being located within or in close proximity to the underground parkade and/or buildings:

#18 and 19 Leyland Cypress hedges #20 Laurel hedge Trees #1618 – 1633

*Relocated Sidewalk & Trees #21-27

The 6 municipal Purple Leaf Plum trees (#21, 22, 24-27) and the shared Lawson Cypress (#23) are proposed for removal, mostly due to the excavation for the relocated sidewalk, which would otherwise have to be raised above the root systems of all the trees and curve around their trunks. #21 has fair/poor structure, #26 has poor structure and #23 Lawson Cypress is a species of tree that is prone to infection and decline as a result of the *Phytophthora* pathogen, especially if there is disturbance within its root zone. As a result, we typically do not recommend taking extreme measures to retain this species of tree.

Considering the size, species and/or structural condition of these 7 trees, in our opinion, removal and replacement is a reasonable alternative to attempting to retain them.

Potential Impacts to Trees

Underground Parkade Excavation

The parkade slab elevation is 7.5m. This will result in a significant amount of over-excavation if a 1:1 cut-slope is used with no shoring. The applicant is willing to use shoring as necessary to limit impacts to elm trees #32-37 along the north-east property line. We recommend the project arborist supervise the excavations, including the removal of the existing building adjacent to the elm trees, and coordinate with the geotechnical engineer to determine where shoring is needed, based on the amount and size of roots observed during excavations. We do not anticipate significant health impacts to any of the trees as a result of the parkade excavation if this occurs.

*Pathway through the root zones of the Elm trees

The permeable gravel pathway proposed through the root zones of the elm trees #32-37 (see Figure 3) should be constructed above existing grade in order to avoid root loss. The grading plan shows the plan at the same grade as existing (12.05m); in reality, the gravel may be slightly above existing if surface roots are encountered immediately. Any excavation should be supervised by the project arborist.

#32 and 33 Elms (111cm and 66cm DBH, respectively)

Minor clearance pruning is anticipated for the balconies. Root loss is anticipated as a result of the patios and retaining walls, which extend past the existing building foundations. Considering the remaining intact critical-root-zone, we anticipate the trees will recover. Working room for the retaining walls should be minimized as much as possible. It should be noted that #32 has fair/poor structure with rubbing stems and included bark at its base.

#37 Elm (72cm DBH)

We do not anticipate a significant impact as a result of the foundation or parkade excavations (the parkade is farther away from this tree than the other elms). However, approximately 40-50% of the live canopy of the tree will require removal if 1m of clearance from the building and balconies is desired (see photographs #1 and 2, and Figures #1 and 2 for close-ups of the landscape plan).

A codominant union exists at 6m above ground and the larger stem leans and conflicts with the proposed building façade and to a lesser extent the balconies. The main trunk of the stem will not require removal, but almost all of the horizontal limbs will have to be removed or reduced. Elms will typically sucker rapidly and therefore reducing some limbs to branch stubs may be preferable instead of removal wounds to the trunk (there does not appear to be many suitable laterals to cut back to as almost all of the live growth is at the ends of the limbs).

*This pruning will likely result in sucker growth from the pruning wounds and along the length of this stem. If an attempt is made to properly restructure the tree after building clearance pruning, it will likely require ongoing cyclical pruning in the long-term.

Arborist Supervision

All excavation occurring within the critical root zones of protected trees should be completed under the direction or supervision of the project arborist. This includes (but is not limited to) the following activities within CRZs:

- Demolition of the existing buildings: removal of foundations within the CRZ of elms #32-37
- Parkade and building foundation excavation: #32-37
- Gravel pathway through elm tree CRZs
- Installation of any underground services that cross the CRZs of trees to be retained

General Mitigation Measures

- **Pruning Roots:** Any severed roots must be pruned back to sound tissue to reduce wound surface area and encourage rapid compartmentalization of the wound. Backfilling the excavated area around the roots should be done as soon as possible to keep the roots moist and aid in root regeneration. Ideally, the area surrounding exposed roots should be watered; this is particularly important if excavation occurs or the roots are exposed during a period of drought. This can be accomplished in a number of ways, including wrapping the roots in burlap or installing a root curtain of wire mesh lined with burlap, and watering the area periodically throughout the construction process.
- **Barrier fencing:** The areas surrounding the trees to be retained should be isolated from the construction activity by erecting protective barrier fencing. Where possible, the fencing should be erected at the perimeter of the critical root zones.

The barrier fencing must be a minimum of 4 feet in height, of solid frame construction that is attached to wooden or metal posts. A solid board or rail must run between the posts at the top and the bottom of the fencing. This solid frame can then be covered with plywood, or flexible snow fencing. The fencing must be erected prior to the start of any construction activity on site (i.e. demolition, excavation, construction), and remain in place through completion of the project. Signs should be posted around the protection zone to declare it off limits to all construction related activity. The project arborist must be consulted before this fencing is removed or moved for any purpose.

- **Minimizing Soil Compaction:** In areas where construction traffic must encroach into the critical root zones of trees to be retained, efforts must be made to reduce soil compaction where possible by displacing the weight of machinery and foot traffic. This can be achieved by one or a combination of the following methods (depending on the size of machinery and the frequency of use):
 - Placing a layer of geogrid (such as Combigrid 30/30) over the area to be used and installing a layer of crushed rock to a depth of 15 cm over top or a layer of hog fuel or

coarse wood chips at least 30 cm in depth and maintaining it in good condition until construction is complete.

- Installing a layer of hog fuel or coarse wood chips at least 20 cm in depth and maintaining it in good condition until construction is complete.
- Placing two layers of 19mm plywood.
- Placing steel plates

• Demolition of the existing buildings

The demolition of the existing buildings and any services that must be removed or abandoned, must take the critical root zone of the trees to be retained into account. If any excavation or machine access is required within the critical root zones of trees to be retained, it must be completed under the supervision and direction of the project arborist. If temporarily removed for demolition, barrier fencing must be erected immediately after the supervised demolition.

*The necessity of tree protection fencing and arborist supervision around all the existing bylaw protected trees during demolition of the existing buildings will depend on whether removal permits have been granted prior to demolition or whether all the trees need to be protected until building permits are issued. Regardless, a pre-demolition site meeting should take place between the supervising contractor and the project arborist, in order to determine which trees require protection, site access routes, areas for materials storage, etc.

Some large trees (e.g. #1627 and 1631) are very close to the existing building foundations (<2m) and therefore if these trees must be retained, the supervising arborist may recommend parts of the existing foundations be retained in order to avoid significantly impacting the health and/or structure of the trees. Whether this will be necessary will depend on the depths of the existing foundations/slabs and whether roots encountered during their removal.

- **Mulching**: Mulching can be an important proactive step in maintaining the health of trees and mitigating construction related impacts and overall stress. Mulch should be made from a natural material such as wood chips or bark pieces (not dyed) and be 5-8cm deep. No mulch should be touching the trunk of the tree. See "methods to avoid soil compaction" if the area is to have heavy traffic.
- **Blasting:** Care must be taken to ensure that the area of blasting does not extend beyond the necessary footprints and into the critical root zones of surrounding trees. The use of small low-concussion charges and multiple small charges designed to pre-shear the rock face will reduce fracturing, ground vibration, and overall impact on the surrounding environment. Only explosives of low phytotoxicity and techniques that minimize tree damage should be used. Provisions must be made to ensure that blasted rock and debris are stored away from the critical root zones of trees.
- **Scaffolding:** This assessment has not included impacts from potential scaffolding including canopy clearance pruning requirements. If scaffolding is necessary and this will require clearance pruning of retained trees, the project arborist should be consulted. Depending on the extent of pruning required, the project arborist may recommend that alternatives to full

scaffolding be considered such as hydraulic lifts, ladders or platforms. Methods to avoid soil compaction may also be recommended (see "Minimizing Soil Compaction" section).

- Landscaping and Irrigation Systems: The planting of new trees and shrubs should not damage the roots of retained trees. The installation of any in-ground irrigation system must take into account the critical root zones of the trees to be retained. Prior to installation, we recommend the irrigation technician consult with the project arborist about the most suitable locations for the irrigation lines and how best to mitigate the impacts on the trees to be retained. This may require the project arborist supervise the excavations associated with installing the irrigation system. Excessive frequent irrigation and irrigation which wets the trunks of trees can have a detrimental impact on tree health and can lead to root and trunk decay.
- **Arborist Role:** It is the responsibility of the client or his/her representative to contact the project arborist for the purpose of:
 - Locating the barrier fencing
 - Reviewing the report with the project foreman or site supervisor
 - Locating work zones, where required
 - Supervising any excavation within the critical root zones of trees to be retained
 - Reviewing and advising of any pruning requirements for machine clearances
 - *Project arborist to coordinate with the geotechnical engineer to determine shoring needs in the critical root zones of trees to be retained.
- **Review and site meeting**: Once the project receives approval, it is important that the project arborist meet with the principals involved in the project to review the information contained herein. It is also important that the arborist meet with the site foreman or supervisor before any site clearing, tree removal, demolition, or other construction activity occurs and to confirm the locations of the tree protection barrier fencing.

Please do not hesitate to call us at (250) 479-8733 should you have any further questions.

Thank you,

Midul Maun-

Michael Marcucci ISA Certified # ON-1943A TRAQ – Qualified

Talbot Mackenzie & Associates ISA Certified Consulting Arborists

Attached:

3-pages photographs and figures
4-page tree resource spreadsheet
1-page landscape plan with arborist information added (building extents, fencing)
1-page landscape grading plan
1-page servicing plan (contains trees to be removed)
1-page existing site map of trees
10-page building plans
1-page barrier fencing specifications
2-page tree resource spreadsheet methodology and definitions

Disclosure Statement

This arboricultural field review report was prepared by Talbot Mackenzie & Associates for the exclusive use of the Client and may not be reproduced, used or relied upon, in whole or in part, by a party other than the Client without the prior written consent of Talbot Mackenzie & Associates. Any unauthorized use of this report, or any part hereof, by a third party, or any reliance on or decisions to be made based on it, are at the sole risk of such third parties. Talbot Mackenzie & Associates accepts no responsibility for damages, if any, suffered by any third party as a result of decisions made or actions based on this report, in whole or in part.

Arborists are professionals who examine trees and use their training, knowledge, and experience to recommend techniques and procedures that will improve a tree's health and structure or to mitigate associated risks. Trees are living organisms whose health and structure change and are influenced by age, continued growth, climate, weather conditions, and insect and disease pathogens. Indicators of structural weakness and disease are often hidden within the tree structure or beneath the ground. The arborist's review is limited to a visual examination of tree health and structural condition, without excavation, probing, resistance drilling, increment coring, or aerial examination. There are inherent limitations to this type of investigation, including, without limitation, that some tree conditions will inadvertently go undetected. The arborist's review followed the standard of care expected of arborists undertaking similar work in British Columbia under similar conditions. No warranties, either express or implied, are made as to the services provided and included in this report.

The findings and opinions expressed in this report are based on the conditions that were observed on the noted date of the field review only. The Client recognizes that passage of time, natural occurrences, and direct or indirect human intervention at or near the trees may substantially alter discovered conditions and that Talbot Mackenzie & Associates cannot report on, or accurately predict, events that may change the condition of trees after the described investigation was completed.

It is not possible for an Arborist to identify every flaw or condition that could result in failure nor can he/she guarantee that the tree will remain healthy and free of risk. The only way to eliminate tree risk entirely is to remove the entire tree. All trees retained should be monitored on a regular basis. Remedial care and mitigation measures recommended are based on the visible and detectable indicators present at the time of the examination and cannot be guaranteed to alleviate all symptoms or to mitigate all risk posed.

Immediately following land clearing, grade changes or severe weather events, all trees retained should be reviewed for any evidence of soil heaving, cracking, lifting or other indicators of root plate instability. If new information is discovered in the future during such events or other activities, Talbot Mackenzie & Associates should be requested to re-evaluate the conclusions of this report and to provide amendments as required prior to any reliance upon the information presented herein.



Talbot Mackenzie & Associates

Consulting Arborists

Village Gardens – Photographs



Figure 1: Close-up of building extents in relation to elm #37. The red rectangle is an existing paved picnic table area.



Figure 2 (right): Farther view of same plan.



Figure 3: Grading plan for the gravel pathway within the CRZs of retained elms trees.



Photographs #1 (left photo, facing west) and #2 (right photo, facing east towards Menzies St): The red lines indicate the approximate location of the closest building corner to elm #37. The orange lines indicate the approximate location where minimum pruning cuts would be to allow 1m of clearance from the building and balconies.

Niagara St Development (between Croft and Menzies St), Victoria Tree Resource Spreadsheet

Tree ID (#1-39 have no tag)	Common Name	Latin Name	DBH (cm) ~ approximate	Crown Spread (diameter in metres)	CRZ (radius in metres)	Relative Tolerance (good, moderate, poor)	Health	Structure	Remarks and Recommendations	Ownership (blank - on subject property)	Bylaw Protected	Retention Status	Impacts
1	Purple Leaf Plum	Prunus cerasifera	20.0	6.0	2.5	М	Fair	Fair	Municipal ID 13801. Growing underneath pine canopy	Municipal	No	Phase 2	
2	Purple Leaf Plum	Prunus cerasifera	39.0	8.0	4.5	М	Fair	Fair	Municipal ID 13802. Growing underneath pine canopy. 20cm wide pruning wound on trunk	Municipal	Protected	Phase 2	
3	Purple Leaf Plum	Prunus cerasifera	38.0	8.0	4.5	М	Fair	Fair	Municipal ID 13803	Municipal	Protected	Phase 2	
4	Purple Leaf Plum	Prunus cerasifera	37.0	8.0	4.5	М	Fair	Fair	Municipal ID 13804. Small Ganoderma fruiting body (4cm wide) on SE	Municipal	Protected	Phase 2	
5	Purple Leaf Plum	Prunus cerasifera	28.0	7.0	3.5	м	Fair	Fair	side of trunk at base. Municipal ID 13805 Leaning Pruning wounds	Municipal	No	Phase 2	
	Paul La Chian	D	25.0	(0	4.0		Fair	Friedrand	Municipal ID 13806. Decay in scaffold limb. Crossing branches. 20cm wide	Maniaipat	Postaria	Phase 2	
6	Purple Leaf Plum	Prunus cerasifera	35.0	6.0	4.0	м	Fair	Fair/poor	pruning wound on trunk	Municipal	Protected	Phase 2	
7	Sycamore Maple	Acer pseudoplatanus	32.0	10.0	4.0	М	Fair	Fair	Somewhat small foliage and stunted growth form. Codominant unions at 2m	Neighbour's	Protected	Phase 2	
8	Fig tree	Ficus species	<15 multistem	5.0	~2	G	Fair	Fair	Growing against fence. Wire girdling largest trunk	Neighbour's	No	Phase 2	
9	Cherry Samian Samaa	Prunus species	~8, 8	5.0	1.5	M	Good	Fair	Codominant at base	Neighbour's	No	Phase 2	
10	Weeping Willow	Picea omorika Salix habylonica	~15	2.0	~2	G	Fair	Good	Likely neighbour's Growing against fence. Flat top at 2m tall	Neighbour's	No	Phase 2 Phase 2	
12	Douglas-fir	Pseudotsuga menziesii	~45	10	7.0	P	Fair	N/A	~5m from fence	Neighbour's	Protected	Phase 2	
13	Hedge Maple	Acer campestre	~12	3	~2	G	Fair/poor	Fair	Suppressed by canopy of 1613 ash	Neighbour's	No	Phase 2	
14	Variegated Western Red	Thuia nlicata 'Zebrina'	~35	7.0	~5.5	Р	Good	N/A	On neighbour's side of fence, but survey shows on subject property. Crown		Protected	Phase 2	
	Cedar Variagated Wastern Pad	ringa pricata "Ecornia		7.0	5.5		0000		raised.		Tiotettea	Thate 2	
15	Cedar	Thuja plicata 'Zebrina'	~35	7.0	~5.5	Р	Good	N/A	On property line. Crown raised	Shared	Protected	Phase 2	
16	Variegated Western Red Cedar	Thuja plicata 'Zebrina'	~35	7.0	~5.5	Р	Good	N/A	Neighbour's. Crown raised	Neighbour's	Protected	Phase 2	
17	Variegated Western Red Cedar	Thuja plicata 'Zebrina'	~25, 15	7.0	~5	Р	Good	N/A	Neighbour's. Crown raised	Neighbour's	Potentially Protected	Phase 2	
18	Leyland Cypress hedge	Cupressus x levlandii	<26	4	2.5	G	Good	Fair	8m tall pruned hedge. Largest single stem is 26cm DBH: twin stem at end is ~20, 15		No	Removal	Within parkade
19	Leyland Cypress hedge	Cupressus x levlandii	<20~	4	2.0	G	Fair	Fair	West half of hedge topped at 4m; east half 7m tall pruned hedge		No	Removal	Within parkade
20	English Laurel hedge	Prunus laurocerasus	8-30cm	3	< 3	G	Fair	Fair	5m tall pruned hedge. Backyard of 456/458 Niagara St. * Some stems may add up to 30cm cumulative DBH, but if so, in our opinion, as part of a trimmed hedge they should not be considered bylaw protected.		No * (in our opinion)	Removal	Within parkade
21	Purple Leaf Plum	Prunus cerasifera	40	8	5.0	М	Fair	Fair/poor	Municipal, ID 13780. <i>Ganoderma</i> fruiting body on west side of tree at 2.5m within union.	Municipal	Municipal	Removal	Sidewalk excavation (+parkade, patio 2.1m from tree)
22	Purple Leaf Plum	Prunus cerasifera	25	7	3.0	М	Fair	Fair	Municipal, ID 13781. Small 2cm wide <i>Ganoderma</i> fruiting body on south side near base. Crossing limb	Municipal	Municipal	Removal	Sidewalk excavation (+parkade)
23	Lawson Cypress	Chamaecyparis lawsoniana	46	9	7.0	Р	Fair	Fair	Municipal, ID 13782. Tridominant at 3m. Crown raised historically	Shared	Municipal	Removal	Within sidewalk (+ parkade, retaining walls, pathways)
24	Purple Leaf Plum	Prunus cerasifera	20	7	2.5	М	Fair	Fair	Municipal, ID 13783. Leaning. Trunk wound	Municipal	Municipal	Removal	Sidewalk excavation (+parkade)
25	Purple Leaf Plum	Prunus cerasifera	26	9	3.0	М	Fair	Fair	Municipal, ID 13784. Early leaf drop or dieback in upper canopy	Municipal	Municipal	Removal	Sidewalk excavation (+parkade, 20% canopy loss from balconies)

Prepared by: Talbot Mackenzie & Associates ISA Certified and Consulting Arborists Phone: (250) 479-8733 Fax: (250) 479-7050 email: tmtreehelp@gmail.com Inventory date: October 17, 2019 All trees over 10cm in diameter at DBH inventoried

Niagara St Development (between Croft and Menzies St), Victoria Tree Resource Spreadsheet

Tree ID (#1-39 have no tag)	Common Name	Latin Name	DBH (cm) ~ approximate	Crown Spread (diameter in metres)	CRZ (radius in metres)	Relative Tolerance (good, moderate, poor)	Health	Structure	Remarks and Recommendations	Ownership (blank - on subject property)	Bylaw Protected	Retention Status	Impacts
26	Purple Leaf Plum	Prunus cerasifera	34	9	4.0	М	Fair	Poor	Municipal, ID 13785. Large <i>Ganoderma</i> fruiting body (20cm wide) at ground level on southwest side of tree.	Municipal	Municipal	Removal	Sidewalk excavation (+parkade, 25% canopy loss from balconies)
27	Purple Leaf Plum	Prunus cerasifera	33	9	4.0	М	Fair	Fair	Municipal, ID 13786	Municipal	Municipal	Removal	Sidewalk excavation (+parkade, pathway)
28	Colorado Blue Spruce	Picea pungens	~20	4	~2	М	Fair	Good	Retaining wall near or at property line	Neighbour's	No	Retain	
29	Pyramidal Cedar	<i>Thuja occidentalis</i> 'Pyramidalis'	15.0	2.0	2.0	М	Fair	Good	Located on subject property according to survey		No	Retain if desired	
30	Pyramidal Cedar	<i>Thuja</i> occidentalis 'Pyramidalis'	13.0	2.0	1.5	М	Fair	Good	Located on subject property according to survey		No	Retain if desired	
31	Hawthorn	<i>Crataegus</i> species	14, 11	4.0	2.0	G	Fair	Fair	Located on subject property according to survey. Suppressed. Historical stem removal at union. Crossing limbs		No	Retain if desired	
32	Elm	Ulmus species	111.0	20.0	11.0	G	Good	Fair/poor	Two stems; possibly two trees with the lower 4m of their trunks pressed together. Stems crossing at ~8m above ground with wound. Large pruning wounds.	Neighbour's	Protected	Retain	Parkade, retaining walls, pathway
33	Elm	Ulmus species	66.0	17.0	6.5	G	Good	Fair	Neighbour's or potentially shared ownership if base crosses property line.	Neighbour's or shared	Protected	Retain	Parkade, retaining walls, pathway
34	Elm	Ulmus species	28.0	12.0	3.0	G	Fair	Fair	Somewhat suppressed	Neighbour's or shared	No	Retain	Parkade, pathway
35	Elm	Ulmus species	58.0	12.0	6.0	G	Good	Fair		Neighbour's	Protected	Retain	Parkade
36	Elm	Ulmus species	57.0	13.0	5.5	G	Good	Fair	Potentially shared if base crosses property line.	Subject property or potentially shared	Protected	Retain	Parkade
37	Elm	Ulmus species	72.0	20.0	7.0	G	Good	Fair	Located on subject property according to survey. Engulfing fence ends		Protected	Retain with canopy loss	Parkade excavation, canopy loss
38	Elm	Ulmus species	78.0	20.0	8.0	G	Good	Fair		Subject property or potentially shared	Protected	Retain	
39	Hawthorn	Crataegus species	~20	5.0	~2	G	Fair	N/A	Fence obstructing view of base	Shared	No	Retain	
1600	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	52	11	6.0	M	Fair	Good	Growing among boulders and west of retaining wall		Protected	Phase 2	
1001	Scots Pine	r inus sylvestris	35	9	4.0	M	rair	Good	Growing among boulders and west of retaining wall Growing among boulders and west of retaining wall. Codominant unions		Protected	Dead; to be	
1602	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	48	12	6.0	M	Fair	Fair	throughout		Protected	removed	
1603	Scots Pine Scots Pine	r inus sylvestris Pinus sylvestris	38 39	10	4.5	M	Fair	Fair	Growing among boulders and west of retaining wall. Asymmetric canopy Growing among boulders and west of retaining wall. Curving leader		Protected	Phase 2 Phase 2	
1605	Holly	Ilex aquifolium	17, 12	5	2.5	G	Fair	Fair	4m tall		No	Phase 2	
1606	Corkscrew Willow	Satix matsudana	15, 13	7	2.5	G	Fair	Fair	Asymmetric canopy	1	No	Phase 2	L

Prepared by:

Talbot Mackenzie & Associates ISA Certified and Consulting Arborists Phone: (250) 479-8733 Fax: (250) 479-7050 email: tmtreehelp@gmail.com Inventory date: October 17, 2019 All trees over 10cm in diameter at DBH inventoried

Niagara St Development (between Croft and Menzies St), Victoria Tree Resource Spreadsheet

Tree ID (#1-39 have no tag)	Common Name	Latin Name	DBH (cm) ~ approximate	Crown Spread (diameter in metres)	CRZ (radius in metres)	Relative Tolerance (good, moderate, poor)	Health	Structure	Remarks and Recommendations	Ownership (blank - on subject property)	Bylaw Protected	Retention Status	Impacts
1607	European Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	12	5	~2	G	Good	Fair	Growing against fence		No	Phase 2	
1608	Palm	Trachycarpus fortunei	13, 12, 12	4	3.0	M	Good	Fair	Beside retaining wall. Potentially 3 separate trees		No	Phase 2	
1609	Palm	Trachycarpus fortunei	12	1	1.5	M	Good	Good			No	Phase 2	
1010	raini	Trachycurpus joriunei	12	1	1.5	IVI	0000	Good	Surface roots with wounds and unheaving walkway. Tearout injury and large		INO	Fliase 2	
1611	Cherry	Prunus species	47	6	5.5	М	Fair	Fair/poor	pruning wound		Protected	Phase 2	
1612	Cherry	Prunus species	54	8	6.5	М	Fair	Fair	Pruning wounds throughout canopy. Surface roots		Protected	Phase 2	
1613	European Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	58	15	6.0	G	Fair	Fair	Some limb dieback in upper canopy. Deadwood. Base growing against fence		Protected	Phase 2	
1614	English Laurel	Prunus laurocerasus	17, 15, 15, + 7x 10- 15cm stems	6	~2	G	Good	Fair	Trimmed. This tree is technically bylaw protected if all the stems at DBH are added, but in our opinion it should not be considered bylaw protected.		Possibly Protected*	Phase 2	
1615	Sycamore Maple	Acer pseudoplatanus	22	9	2.5	М	Fair	Fair/poor	Measured below union at DBH which has included bark with reaction growth and seam forming already		No	Phase 2	
1616	Portuguese Laurel	Prunus lusitanica	21, 19	9	3.5	G	Fair	Fair/poor	Possibly considered two separate trees. One stem dying with split leader. Remaining stem with decay at base and included bark and rope wrapped around trunk		Protected	Phase 2	
1617	Scots Pine	Pinus sylvestris	51	13	6.0	М	Fair	Fair	Flat top. Downspout attached to trunk with wire wrapped around; removal of wire recommended if retained. Surface roots		Protected	Phase 2	
1618	Mountain Ash	Sorbus species	25	5	4.0	Р	Good	Fair	Located in front yard of 450 Niagara St. Crossing limbs	Potentially shared with neighbour	No	Removal	Within parkade
1619	Pine	Pinus species	31	6	3.5	М	Fair	Fair	White pine species.		Protected	Removal	Parkade/ building excavation
1620	Sycamore Maple	Acer pseudoplatanus	33, 31, 29, 27	14	8.5	М	Fair	Fair/poor	Codominant unions with included bark. Large stem removal wound on one stem. Pruned for hydro on one side. Base growing against and engulfing walkway.		Protected	Removal	Parkade/ building excavation
1621	Fig tree	Ficus species	17, 13, 13, ~12	7	~2	G	Good	Fair	Located in backyard of 450 Niagara St. * None of the stems appear to connect above ground and therefore this tree should not be considered bylaw protected in our opinion.		No *	Removal	Within parkade
1622	Laburnum	Laburnum x watereri	22	6	2.5	М	Good	Good	Located in backyard of 450 Niagara St.		No	Removal	Within parkade
1623	European Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	11, 11, 11, 9, 7	7	3*	G	Good	Poor	Located in backyard of 450 Niagara St. Crossing limbs and included bark. *Protected if all stems are calculated cumulatively, but considering the base is 31cm in diameter at ground level, it should not be considered protected in our opinion.		No * (in our opinion)	Removal	Within parkade
1624	Laburnum	Laburnum x watereri	22, 17	8	3.5	М	Fair	Fair			Protected	Removal	Within parkade
1625	Cherry	Prunus species	33, 28	12	6.0	М	Fair	Fair	Codominant union		Protected	Removal	Within parkade
1626	Cherry	Prunus species	43	11	5.0	М	Fair	Fair	Crossing limbs.		Protected	Removal	2m from building
1627	Lombardy Poplar	<i>Populus nigra</i> 'Italica'	176	20	17.5	G	Fair	Poor	Stems fused at DBH; weak union with sap stains from included bark. Less than 3m from existing building foundation		Protected	Removal	Within parkade

Prepared by: Talbot Mackenzie & Associates ISA Certified and Consulting Arborists Phone: (250) 479-8733 Fax: (250) 479-7050 email: tmtreehelp@gmail.com

Page 3 of 4

Niagara St Development (between Croft and Menzies St), Victoria Tree Resource Spreadsheet

Tree ID (#1-39 have no tag)	Common Name	Latin Name	DBH (cm) ~ approximate	Crown Spread (diameter in metres)	CRZ (radius in metres)	Relative Tolerance (good, moderate, poor)	Health	Structure	Remarks and Recommendations	Ownership (blank - on subject property)	Bylaw Protected	Retention Status	Impacts
1628	Elm	Ulmus species	102	22	10.0	G	Good	Fair	Endweighted limbs.		Protected	Removal	Within parkade
1629	Elm	Ulmus species	87.0	20.0	8.5	G	Good	Fair	Endweighted limbs. ~2m from building foundation		Protected	Removal	Within parkade
1630	European Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	80.0	19.0	8.0	G	Fair	Fair	Dieback and dead limbs in upper canopy		Protected	Removal	Within parkade
1631	Elm	Ulmus species	101.0	26.0	10.0	G	Fair	Fair/poor	~2m from building foundation. Historical pruning wounds. Recent large ~50cm scaffold limb failure from trunk (December 8, 2020)		Protected	Removal	Within parkade
1632	European Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	71.0	16.0	7.0	G	Fair	Fair/poor	Large historical limb removal wounds; cavity. Asymmetric canopy twig dieback in upper canopy		Protected	Removal	Within parkade
1633	European Ash	Fraxinus excelsior	59.0	15.0	6.0	G	Fair	Poor	Large historical limb removal wounds; cavity at 1m		Protected	Removal	Within parkade

Prepared by: Talbot Mackenzie & Associates ISA Certified and Consulting Arborists Phone: (250) 479-8733 Fax: (250) 479-7050 email: tmtreehelp@gmail.com





LANDSCAPE ARCHITECTS

t: 250-642-6967

design@smallandrossell.com www.smallandrossell.com





















TREE PROTECTION FENCING

- FENCE WILL BE CONSTRUCTED USING 38 mm X 89mm WOOD FRAME: TOP, BOTTOM AND POSTS * USE ORANGE SNOW-FENCING MESH AND SECURE THE WOOD FRAME WITH"ZIP" TIES OR GALVANIZED STAPLES.
- ATTACH A 500mm X 500mm SIGN WITH THE FOLLOWING WORDING: WARNING- TREE PROTECTION AREA. THIS SIGN MUST BE AFFIXED ON EVERY FENCE OR AT LEAST EVERY 10 LINEAR METERS.
- * IN ROCKY AREAS, METAL POSTS (T-BAR OR REBAR) DRILLED INTO ROCK WILL BE ACCEPTED

TREE PROTECTION FENCING AND SIGNAGE DETAIL

REVISIONS DRAWING NUMBER:





Box 48153 RPO - Uptown Victoria, BC V8Z 7H6 Ph: (250) 479-8733 Fax: (250) 479-7050 Email: tmtreehelp@gmail.com

Tree Resource Spreadsheet Methodology and Definitions

Revised November 28, 2019

Tag: Tree identification number on a metal tag attached to tree with nail or wire, generally at eye level. Trees on municipal or neighboring properties are generally not tagged ("NT #").

<u>DBH</u>: Diameter at breast height – diameter of trunk, measured in centimetres at 1.4m above ground level. For trees on a slope, it is taken at the average point between the high and low side of the slope.

~ Approximate due to inaccessibility or on neighbouring property

<u>**Crown Spread**</u>: Indicates the <u>diameter</u> of the crown spread measured in metres to the dripline of the longest limbs.

<u>Relative Tolerance Rating</u>: Relative tolerance of the tree species to construction related impacts such as root pruning, crown pruning, soil compaction, hydrology changes, grade changes, and other soil disturbance. This rating does not take into account individual tree characteristics, such as health and vigour. Three ratings are assigned based on our knowledge and local experience with the tree species: Poor (P), Moderate (M) or Good (G).

<u>**Critical Root Zone:**</u> A calculated <u>radial</u> measurement in metres from the trunk of the tree. It is the optimal size of tree protection zone and is calculated by multiplying the DBH of the tree by 10, 12 or 15 depending on the tree's Relative Tolerance Rating. This methodology is based on the methodology used by Nelda Matheny and James R. Clark in their book "Trees and Development: A Technical Guide to Preservation of Trees During Land Development."

- 15 x DBH = Poor Tolerance of Construction
- $12 \times DBH = Moderate$
- $10 \times DBH = Good$

This method is solely a mathematical calculation that does not consider factors such as restricted root growth, limited soil volumes, age, crown spread, health, or structure (such as a lean). To calculate the critical root zone of trees with multiple stems below 1.4m, the diameter is considered the sum of 100% of the diameter of the largest stem and 60% of the diameter of the next two largest stems. This however can result in multi-stem trees having exaggerated CRZs. Where noted, sometimes the CRZ for trees with multiple stems will be calculated using the diameter of the trunk below the unions. In specific cases, some CRZs will be approximate (~).

Note that in most cases, our inventories include a Level 1 Limited Visual Assessment, which only comprises a brief assessment to identify obvious defects and conditions. The inspection may have only been completed from one-side of the tree, depending on the defined scope of work, property lines and/or site conditions.

Health Condition:

- Poor Tree is weak, under significant stress and/or declining
- Fair Tree has average vigour for its species and site conditions
- Good Tree is growing well and appears to be free of significant health stress

Structural Condition:

- Poor Significant structural defects observed
- Fair Moderate to minor structural concerns; mitigation measures likely feasible
- Good No visible or only minor structural concerns

<u>Retention Status</u>:

- Removal (or "X)- Not possible to retain given proposed construction plans
- Retain It is possible to retain this tree in the long-term given the proposed plans and information available. This is assuming our **recommended mitigation measures are followed**
- Retain * See report for more information regarding potential impacts
- TBD (To Be Determined) The impacts on the tree could be significant. However, in the absence of exploratory excavations and in an effort to retain as many trees as possible, we recommend that the final determination be made by the supervising project arborist at the time of excavation. The tree might be possible to retain depending on the location of roots and the resulting impacts, but concerned parties should be aware that the tree may require removal.