I.1 Bylaws and Update Report for 1230 Grant Street, 1209, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 1235 Caledonia Avenue and 1211 Gladstone Avenue: Rezoning Application No. 00715, Associated OCP Amendment, and DP No. 000567

Mayor Helps withdrew from the meeting at 2:38 p.m. due to a pecuniary conflict of interest as she lives near the property in question.

Councillor Potts assumed the Chair at 2:39 p.m.

Moved By Councillor Alto Seconded By Councillor Loveday

That the following bylaws be given first and second readings:

- 1. Zoning Regulation Bylaw, Amendment Bylaw (No. 1234) No. 21-064
- Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2012, Amendment Bylaw (No. 36) No. 21-065

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Moved By Councillor Thornton-Joe Seconded By Councillor Alto

That the following bylaw be given first, second, and third readings:

- 1. Housing Agreement (1230 Grant Street, 1209-1215, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 1235 Caledonia Avenue and 1211 Gladstone Avenue) Bylaw (2021) No. 21-066
- Vining Street and North Park Street Road Closure and Dedication Removal Bylaw, 2021 No. 21-067

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Moved By Councillor Alto Seconded By Councillor Loveday

That Council direct staff to deliver notice of its intention to the following operators or utilities or transmission or distribution facilities or works that Council considers will be affected by the closure: Telus, BC Hydro, Shaw, and Fortis.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

Moved By Councillor Loveday Seconded By Councillor Alto

Development Permit Application No. 000567

That Council, after giving notice and allowing an opportunity for public comment at a meeting of Council, consider the following motion:

"That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit Application No. 000567 for 1230 Grant Street, 1209-1215, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North

Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 1235 Caledonia Avenue and 1211 Gladstone Avenue, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped May 28, 2021.
- 2. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY



Council Report For the Meeting of October 14, 2021

То:	Council	Date:	September 29, 2021
From:	Karen Hoese, Director, Sustainable Plannir	ng and Co	mmunity Development
Subject:	Update Report for Rezoning Application Community Plan Amendment and Devel No. 000567 for 1230 Grant Street, 1209-1 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 12 Gladstone Avenue	opment F 215, 1218	Permit Application 3, 1219, 1220 and 1226

RECOMMENDATION

- 1. That the following bylaws be given introductory readings:
 - i. Zoning Regulation Bylaw, Amendment Bylaw (No. 1234) No. 21-064
 - ii. Official Community Plan, Amendment Bylaw (No. 36) No. 21-065
 - Housing Agreement (1230 Grant Street, 1209, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 1235 Caledonia Avenue and 1211 Gladstone Avenue) Bylaw (2021) No. 21-066
 - iv. Vining Street and North Park Street Road Closure and Dedication Removal Bylaw No. 21-067.
- Subject to Council giving introductory readings to Vining Street and North Park Street Road Closure and Dedication Removal Bylaw No. 21-067, that Council direct staff to deliver notice of its intention to the following operators or utilities or transmission or distribution facilities or works that Council considers will be affected by the closure: Telus, BC Hydro, Shaw, and Fortis.

Development Permit Application No. 000567

That Council, after giving notice and allowing an opportunity for public comment at a meeting of Council, consider the following motion:

"That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit Application No. 000567 for 1230 Grant Street, 1209-1215, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 1235 Caledonia Avenue and 1211 Gladstone Avenue, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped May 28, 2021.
- 2. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present Council with an update regarding the Rezoning and Development Permit Applications for the properties located at 1230 Grant Street, 1209-1215, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 1235 Caledonia Avenue and 1211 Gladstone Avenue. The proposal is to rezone from the R-K Zone, Medium Density Attached Dwelling District, and R-2 Zone, Two-Family Dwelling District to a new residential rental tenure zone to increase the density and permit a multi-unit residential development consisting of approximately 158 affordable and below-market rental dwelling units within five buildings. An amendment to the *Official Community Plan* (OCP) from Public Facilities, Institutions, Parks and Open Space and Traditional Residential to Urban Residential is required to facilitate this development.

The application was considered by Council at the Committee of the Whole meeting on May 7, 2020, and it came before Council on May 14, 2020, and again on August 6, 2020, where the following resolutions were approved:

Council Motion - May 14, 2020

Rezoning Application No. 00715

- 1. That Council instruct the Director of Sustainable Planning and Community Development to prepare the necessary Official Community Plan Amendment Bylaw in accordance with Section 475 of the Local Government Act and the necessary Zoning Regulation Bylaw Amendments that would authorize the proposed development outlined in Rezoning Application No. 00715 for 1230 Grant Street, 1209, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 1235 Caledonia Avenue and 1211 Gladstone Avenue, and change the OCP designation from Public Facilities, Institutions, Parks and Open Space and Traditional Residential to Urban Residential.
- 2. That first and second reading of the Zoning Regulation Bylaw Amendments be considered by Council and a Public Hearing date be set once the following conditions are met:
 - a. Preparation and execution of the appropriate legal agreements executed by the applicant in order to secure the following:
 - i. a housing agreement to ensure the residential rental units remain affordable or below market in perpetuity in accordance with the City's definition of affordability and below market in the Victoria Housing Strategy 2016-2025 (Phase Two: 2019-2022)
 - *ii.* that the applicant provides a minimum of 14 three-bedroom, eight fourbedroom dwelling units, 15 accessible dwelling units in accordance with CAN/CSA-B651-95, the National Standard of Canada for barrier-free design, and private amenity space with a minimum floor area of 139m²
 - iii. a Statutory Right-of-Way of 3.928m on Grant Street and 1.90m on Vining Street be registered on title to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Public Works
 - *iv.* a Statutory Right-of-Way of 10.85m along the proposed driveway at Grant Street be registered on title to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Public Works
 - v. construction of a vehicle turnaround on Grant Street adjacent to the subject properties to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Public Works

- vi. construction of community gardens or contribution of cash in lieu equivalent to the installation of such gardens within the 145m² road closure area on the north side of North Park Street in consultation with the Fernwood Community Association and the Compost Education Centre and to the satisfaction of the Director of Sustainable Planning and Community Development and Director of Engineering and Public Works
- vii. construction of an 8m wide greenway on the Victoria High lands adjacent to the development site in accordance with the plans dated April 6, 2020 to the satisfaction of the Director of Parks, Recreation and Facilities and the Director of Sustainable Planning and Community Development.
- 3. That adoption of the zoning bylaw amendment will not take place until all of the required legal agreements that are registrable in the Land Title Office have been so registered.
- 4. That the applicant provide a revised site plan and civil drawing showing a Grant Street turnaround to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Public Works and the Director of Parks, Recreation and Facilities.
- 5. That Council consider who is affected by the proposed changes to the Official Community Plan and determine, pursuant to Section 475(1) of the Local Government Act that the affected persons, organizations and authorities are those property owners and occupiers within a 200m radius of the subject properties.
- 6. That Council provide an opportunity for consultation pursuant to section 475 of the Local Government Act and direct the Director of Sustainable Planning and Community Development to:
 - *i.* mail a notice of the proposed OCP Amendment to the affected persons; and
 - *ii.* post a notice on the City's website inviting affected persons, organizations and authorities to ask questions of staff and provide written or verbal comments to Council for their consideration.
- 7. That Council specifically consider whether consultation is required under Section 475(2)(b) of the Local Government Act, and determine that no referrals are necessary with the Capital Regional District Board, Councils of Oak Bay, Esquimalt and Saanich, the Songhees and Esquimalt First Nations, the School District Board, and the provincial and federal governments and their agencies because the proposed OCP amendment does not affect them.
- 8. That Council direct the Director of Engineering and Public Works to bring forward for Council's consideration, a report and bylaws for road closures and necessary restructuring on Vining St and North Park St to accommodate the project.
- 9. That Recommendations 1 to 8 be adopted on the condition that they create no legal rights for the applicant or any other person, no obligation on the part of the City or its officials, and any expenditure of funds is at the risk of the person making the expenditure.

Development Permit Application No. 000567

That, subject to:

- 1. the preparation and execution of legal agreements to secure housing affordability, unit types, accessible dwelling units, and amenity space, Statutory Right-of-Ways, and the construction of a greenway, to the satisfaction of the Director of Community Planning and Sustainable Development and Direction of Engineering and Public Works.
- 2. revisions to the driveway and underground parkade entrance of the four-storey, multi-unit residential building on Grant Street to accommodate the Grant Street turnaround, to the satisfaction of the Director of Community Planning and Sustainable Development and Director of Engineering and Public Works.

That Council, after giving notice and allowing an opportunity for public comment at a meeting of Council, consider the following motion:

"That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit Application No. 000567 for 1230 Grant Street, 1209, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 1235 Caledonia Avenue and 1211 Gladstone Avenue, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped April 6, 2020.
- 2. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

Council Motion – August 6, 2020

Rezoning Application No. 00715

That Council amend condition #2.a.i in the May 14, 2020 Council resolution for the Rezoning Application No. 00715 for 1230 Grant Street, 1209, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 1235 Caledonia Avenue and 1211 Gladstone Avenue so that it reads:

i. a housing agreement to ensure the residential rental units remain affordable or belowmarket for sixty (60) years in accordance with the City's definition of affordability and below-market in the Victoria Housing Strategy 2016-2025 (Phase Two: 2019-2022).

COMMENTS

Community Input on Official Community Plan Amendment

On May 14, 2020, Council directed staff to consult with property owners and occupants within 200m of the subject properties through a mail-out and public notices on the City's website. To date, the City has received correspondence from 17 members of the public (attached). Additional comments received prior to the Public Hearing will be included in the Council Agenda package at that time.

Plan Revision

The average grade, site coverage and open site space calculations were incorrect on the original plans dated April 6, 2021. The applicant updated the data table in accordance with the *Zoning Regulation Bylaw*. There were no design changes to the proposal. For consistency purposes, the recommendation for Council's consideration includes the new date stamp of the revised plans.

Update on Tree Planting

In the Committee of the Whole report, it states that the applicant is proposing to plant 88 new trees with this development, which does not include the tree planting along the proposed Greenway. In fact, the applicant will be planting 121 new trees, which includes the following breakdown:

- 88 new trees, including four bylaw replacement trees and four municipal trees
- 33 new trees along the proposed greenway.

Grant Street Turnaround

In response to Council's motion, the applicant has provided a civil drawing showing a truck turnaround at the end of Grant Street to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Public

Works and the Director of Parks, Recreation and Facilities. To accommodate a truck turnaround area for larger trucks (e.g., garbage trucks, moving trucks, handy-dart, emergency vehicles, etc.), the applicant will remove the existing bollards at the entrance to Haegert Park, and install a new removable bollard in a different location to prevent trucks from driving into the park. If this work results in any disturbance to the existing landscaping and infrastructure, the applicant will return the area to its original condition to the satisfaction of the Director of Parks, Recreation and Facilities.

The applicant has also confirmed and indicated on the revised plans that the driveway access into the development on Grant Street, which forms part of the truck turnaround area, will have grades and vehicle clearances that comply with the requirements of the Highway Access Bylaw to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Public Works. The applicant is working on design solutions with the structural and mechanical engineers for the building permit drawings and confirmed that there would be no significant changes to the design of the four-storey multi-unit residential building.

Vining and North Park Street Road Closures

To facilitate this development, the closure and removal of highway dedication for the portions of Vining Street and North Park Street would be required as shown on the road closure plan attached to this report. The proposed closure of these portions of Vining and North Park Streets will have no impact on the neighbourhood transportation network and would allow for the expansion of community gardens and the Compost Education Centre within the closed portion of North Park Street to compensate for the loss of community gardens adjacent to Vining Street. The remaining portions of Vining and North Park Streets will continue to service all adjacent properties. A road closure bylaw has been prepared for Council's consideration should Council wish to proceed with advancing the application to a Public Hearing.

Section 40(3) and (4) of the Community Charter require Council to provide notice prior to adopting road closure and dedication removal bylaws:

- (3) Before adopting a bylaw under this section, the council must
 - (a) give notice of its intention in accordance with section 94 [public notice], and
 - (b) provide an opportunity for persons who consider they are affected by the bylaw to make representations to council.
- (4) In addition to the requirement under subsection (3), before adopting a bylaw under subsection (1) (a), the council must deliver notice of its intention to the operators of utilities whose transmission or distribution facilities or works the council considers will be affected by the closure.

Staff have prepared a public notice in accordance with section 40(3), which will also invite the public to make submissions to Council by those who consider they are affected by the bylaw. In addition, staff have identified that the following utilities have facilities or works in the proposed road closure areas and may be affected by the closure: Telus, BC Hydro, Shaw and Fortis. Should Council proceed to introductory readings of the road closure bylaw, staff are prepared to notify such utilities, so that reasonable accommodations can be made to the utilities if required.

Council Report

Public Hearing Conditions

With regard to the pre-conditions that Council set in relation to this application, the following legal agreements have been executed by the applicant:

- a Housing Agreement to ensure that the residential rental units remain affordable or below-market in perpetuity in accordance with the City's definition of affordability and below-market in the Victoria Housing Strategy 2016-2025 (Phase Two: 2019-2022)
- a 3.928m statutory right-of-way (SRW) on Grant Street, 10.85m SRW along the driveway at Grant Street, 1.90m SRW on Vining Street and 8m SRW along the greenway
- Section 219 covenants securing the following items:
 - a minimum of 14 three-bedroom and eight four-bedroom dwelling units; 15 accessible dwelling units in accordance with CAN/CSA-B651-95, the National Standard of Canada for barrier-free design; and private amenity space with a minimum floor area of 139m²
 - o construction of a vehicle turnaround on Grant Street
 - construction of community gardens or contribution of cash in lieu equivalent to the installation of such gardens within the 145m² road closure area on the north side of North Park Street in consultation with the Fernwood Community Association and the Compost Education Centre
 - construction of an 8m wide greenway on the Victoria High lands adjacent to the development site.

The recommendation provided for Council's consideration contains the appropriate language to advance this application to a Public Hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

Leanne Taylor Senior Planner Development Services Division Karen Hoese, Director Sustainable Planning and Community Development Department

Report accepted and recommended by the City Manager.

List of Attachments

- Attachment A: Updated plans dated May 28, 2021
- Attachment B: Grant Street turnaround drawing dated May 27, 2021
- Attachment C: Updated Letter to Mayor and Council dated November 10, 2020
- Attachment D: Road Closure Plan
- Attachment E: Correspondence regarding Official Community Plan amendment.

ATTACHMENT A

DRAWING INDEX

ARCHITECTURAL

A203 L1 PLAN

A204 L2 PLAN A205 L3 PLAN

A206 L4 PLAN

A207 L5 PLAN

A208 ROOF PLAN

A101 PARKADE PLAN

A001 PROJECT INFORMATION A002 SURVEY

A201 ARCHITECTURAL SITE PLAN A202ª AVERAGE GRADE CALCULATIONS A202b SITE COVERAGE CALCULATIONS A202c OPEN SPACE CALCULATIONS

A301 ELEVATIONS - APARTMENT 1

A302 ELEVATIONS - APARTMENT 2 A303 ELEVATIONS - APARTMENT 2

A303 ELEVATIONS - APARTMENT 2 A304 ELEVATIONS - TOWNHOUSE 1 A305 ELEVATIONS - TOWNHOUSE 3 A306 ELEVATIONS - TOWNHOUSE 3 A307 SHADOW STUDIES A308 VIEW ANALYSIS A309 VIEW ANALYSIS A310 VIEW ANALYSIS A310 VIEW ANALYSIS A310 PERSPECTIVE STUDIES A311 PERSPECTIVE STUDIES

A312 PERSPECTIVE STUDIES A313 SKYLINE ANALYSIS

A401 BUILDING SECTIONS

A402 BUILDING SECTIONS

A403 BUILDING SECTIONS

A404 BUILDING SECTIONS

A601 L1 PLAN - APARTMENTS

A602 12 PLAN - APARTMENTS

A603 13 PLAN - APARTMENTS

A603 L3 PLAN -APARTMENTS A604 L4 PLAN -APARTMENTS A605 L5 PLAN - APARTMENTS A606 TOWNHOUSE 1 A607 TOWNHOUSE 1 A608 TOWNHOUSE 2 A609 TOWNHOUSE 2 A610 TOWNHOUSE 3

CIVIL

LANDSCAPE

A701 APARTMENT UNIT PLANS A702 APARTMENT UNIT PLANS A703 TOWNHOUSE S1&2 UNIT PLANS A704 TOWNHOUSE J UNIT PLANS A705 AMENITY ROOM

1.01 LANDSCAPE OVERVIEW PLAN

L1.02 LANDSCAPE MATERIALS SOUTH

L1.03 LANDSCAPE MATERIALS NORTH L1.04 STORMWATER MANAGEMENT L1.05 TREE RETENTION & REMOVAL PLAN L3.01 PLANTING PLAN SOUTH L3.02 PLANTING PLAN NORTH L5.01 LANDSCAPE SECTIONS

19-028-REZONING CONCEPTUAL SERVICING



LEVEL 4

LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2

LEVEL 3

LEVEL 4

LEVEL 5 TOTAL

LEVEL 2 LEVEL 2 LEVEL 3

LEVEL 1 LEVEL 2

LEVEL 3 TOTAL

LEVEL 3

PARKADE

<u>30</u> 224



OCCUPANCY SEPARATIONS (TABLE 3.1.3.1) BELOW GRADE PARKADE CONSIDERED AS A SEPARATE BUILDING AND SEPARATED FROM THE FLOORS OF ALL BUILDINGS ABOVE BY A 2 HOUR FIRE SEPARATION IN ACCORDANCE WITH 3.2.1.2. BUILDING SIZE AND CONSTRUCTION RELATIVE TO OCCUPANCY 3.2.2.78 - GROUP F, DIVISION 3 - ANY HEIGHT, ANY AREA, SPRINKLERED FIRE SUPPRESSION - FULL SPRINKLERED ALLOWABLE HEIGHT - ANY HEIGHT

ALLOWABLE AREA - ANY AREA CONSTRUCTION - NON COMBUSTIBLE SUPPORTING WALLS AND STRUCTURE - 2 HOUR

UAL SIZE AND CONSTRUCTION FIRE SUPPRESSION - FULL SPRINKLERED HEIGHT - 1 STOREY AREA - 3805 SM CONSTRUCTION - NON COMBUSTIBLE FLOOR ASSEMBLY - 2 HOUR SUPPORTING WALLS AND STRUCTURE - 2 HOUR

APARTMENT 1 OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATIONS (TABLE 3.1.2.1) GROUP C - RESIDENTIAL

OCCUPANCY SEPARATIONS (TABLE 3.1.3.1)

CODE ANALYSIS

BUILDING SIZE AND CONSTRUCTION RELATIVE TO OCCUPANCY 3.2.2.51 - GROUP C, UP TO 4 STOREYS, SPRINKLERED FIRE SUPPRESSION - FULLY SPRINKLERED ALLOWABLE HEIGHT - 4 STOREYS ALLOWABLE HEIGHT - 4 STOREYS ALLOWABLE AREA - 1800 SM (BASED ON FOUR STOREYS) CONSTRUCTION - COMBUSTIBLE OR NON COMBUSTIBLE FLOOR ASSEMBLIES - 1 HOUR SUPPORTING WALLS AND STRUCTURE - 1 HOUR ROOF ASSEMBLY - NONE

ACTUAL SIZE AND CONSTRUCTION FIRE SUPPRESSION - FULLY SPRINKLERED HEIGHT - 4 STOREYS AREA - 872 SM AREA - 8/2 SM CONSTRUCTION - COMBUSTIBLE FLOOR ASSEMBLY - 1 HOUR SUPPORTING WALLS AND STRUCTURE - 1 HOUR ROOF ASSEMBLY - NONE

APARTMENT 2 OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATIONS (TABLE 3.1.2.1) GROUP C - RESIDENTIAL

OCCUPANCY SEPARATIONS (TABLE 3.1.3.1)

BUILDING SIZE AND CONSTRUCTION RELATIVE TO OCCUPANCY 3.2.250 - GROUP C, UP TO 6 STOREYS, SPRINKLERED FIRE SUPPRESSION - FULLY SPRINKLERED ALLOWABLE HEIGHT - 6 STOREYS & 18 M ALLOWABLE AREA - 1800 SM (BASED ON FIVE STOREYS) CONSTRUCTION - COMBUSTIBLE OR NON COMBUSTIBLE FLORD ASSEMBLIES - 1 HOUR FLOOR ASSEMBLIES - 1 HOUR SUPPORTING WALLS AND STRUCTURE - 1 HOUR ROOF ASSEMBLY - 1 HOUR

ACTUAL SIZE AND CONSTRUCTION FIRE SUPPRESSION - FULLY SPRINKLERED HEIGHT - 5 STOREYS, 14.96 M CONSTRUCTION - COMBUSTIBLE FLOOR ASSEMBLY - 1 HOUR SUPPORTING WALLS AND STRUCTURE - 1 HOUR ROOF ASSEMBLY - 1 HOUR

State Barriel

ANI.

1 Location Plan N A001 NTS

CODE ANALYSIS CONTINUED TOWNHOUSE 1 OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATIONS (TABLE 3.1.2.1) GROUP C - RESIDENTIAL

OCCUPANCY SEPARATIONS (TABLE 3.1.3.1)

BUILDING SIZE AND CONSTRUCTION RELATIVE TO OCCUPANCY 3.2.5.4 - GROUP C, UP TO 3 STOREYS, SPRINKLERED FIRE SUPPRESSION - FULLY SPRINKLERED ALLOWABLE HEIGHT - 3 STOREYS ALLOWABLE AREA - 1000 SM (BASED ON THREE STOREYS) CONSTRUCTION - COMBUSTIBLE OR NON COMBUSTIBLE FLOOR ASSEMBLIES - 45 MIN. SUPPORTING WALLS AND STRUCTURE - 45 MIN. ROOF - NONE

ACTUAL SIZE AND CONSTRUCTION FIRE SUPPRESSION - FULLY SPRINKLERED HEIGHT - 3 STOREYS WITH BASEMENT AREA - 645 SM AREA - 645 SM CONSTRUCTION - COMBUSTIBLE FLOOR ASSEMBLY - 45 MIN. SUPPORTING WALLS AND STRUCTURE - 45 MIN. ROOF ASSEMBLY - NONE

TOWNHOUSE 2 OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATIONS (TABLE 3.1.2.1) GROUP C - RESIDENTIAL

OCCUPANCY SEPARATIONS (TABLE 3.1.3.1)

BUILDING SIZE AND CONSTRUCTION RELATIVE TO OCCUPANCY 3.2.2.54 - GROUP C, UP TO 3 STOREYS, SPRINKLERED FIRE SUPPRESSION - FULLY SPRINKLERED ALLOWABLE HEIGHT - 3 STOREYS ALLOWABLE AREA - 1800 SM (BASED ON THREE STOREYS CONSTRUCTION - COMBUSTIBLE OR NON COMBUSTIBLE FLOOR ASSEMBLIES - 45 MIN SUPPORTING WALLS AND STRUCTURE - 45 MIN. ROOF - NONE

ACTUAL SIZE AND CONSTRUCTION FIRE SUPPRESSION - FULLY SPRINKLERED THE SUPPRESSION - FULLY SPRINKLERED CONSTRUCTION - COMBUSTIBLE FLOOR ASSEMELY - A SUMN, SUPPORTING WALLS AND STRUCTURE - 45 MIN, ROOF ASSEMELY - NOW

TOWNHOUSE 3 OCCUPANCY CLASSIFICATIONS (TABLE 9,10,2,1) GROUP C - RESIDENTIAL

FIRE-RESISTANCE RATINGS (TABLE 9.10.8.1) USE - RESIDENTIAL (GROUP C MAXIMUM HEIGHT - 3 STOREYS MAXIMUM AREA - 600 SM MAXIMUM ANEA - 600 SM CONSTRUCTION - COMBUSTIBLE OR NON COMBUSTIBLE FLOOR ASSEMBLIES - 45 MIN. SUPPORTING WALLS AND STRUCTURE - 45 MIN. ROOF ASSEMBLY - NONE

ACTUAL SIZE AND CONSTRUCTION FIRE SUPPRESSION - FULLY SPRINKLERED HEIGHT - 3 STOREYS WITH BASEMENT AREA - 253 SM CONSTRUCTION - COMBUSTIBLE FLOOR ASSEMBLY - 45 MIN. SUPPORTING WALLS AND STRUCTURE - 45 MIN. ROOF ASSEMBLY - NONE



	UNING
d-Ka	dHKarchite
VETAMA OFFICI STT Frit Street Victoria BC VEV SHO T 1-150-658-1961	NAMARO OFFICE 103-5170 Dualin Nataine BC VO T 1-200-585-58
Caledonia	
Victoria. BC	

COMPART RELEVES, THEE FLAT NO. COMPARE FLAT NO. COMPARE AND NO. NO. ALL BRED FROM THE AND THE AND THE ADDRESS OF THE PROJECT DESCENT UND AND NOT THE ADDRESS OF THE PROJECT DESCENT UND AND NOT THE ADDRESS OF THE ADDRE

5





1 Parkade & LO Plan 5000 1000 mm

VEHICLE PARKING (CALCULATION	F
UNITS < 45 SM UNITS 45-70 SM UNITS >70 SM SUBTOTAL VISITOR TOTAL REQUIRED PROVIDED	14 X .2 = 2.8 58 X .5 = 19 86 X .75 = 64,5 96.3 (96) <u>158 X .1 = 15.8 (16)</u> 112 117 (5 SURFACE)	
LONG TERM BIKE P. UNITS < 45 SM UNITS > 45 SM TOTAL REQUIRED PROVIDED	ARKING CALCULATION 14 X 1 = 14 144 X 1,25 = 180 194 194	1. A.

& REZONING

dHKarchitects

NAMABOOFFICE 103-5170 Dublin Way Namatris BC V9T 2KE T 1-250-585-5810

5

d-Ka

VICTAMA OFFICI 9TT Folt Street Victoria BC VSV SHO T 1-150-658-1961

Caledonia Victoria BC Parkade & LO Plan





BUILDING 1 - AVERAGE GRADE CALCULATION

INCLUDES SUM OF ALL PORTION OF EUILDINGS OVER PARKADE

1.) APARTMENT 1 2.) APARTMENT 2

	WN	

3.) TOWNHOUSE 1 4.) TOWNHOUSE 3 5.) PORTION OF PARKADE ABOVE NATURAL GRADE (>33.2 m)

	corner	+ corner	1.1	average grade	X length of	TOTAL	ZZ&AAA	33.4	33.2	2	33.3	1.8	61.3
side	grade point	grade point	12	per side	side	TOTAL	AAA&BBB	33.4	33.2	2	33.3	5.6	187.4
A&B	32.5	32.6	2	32.6	1.8	57.0	BBB&CCC	33.2	33.2	2	33.2	5.6	184.3
B&C	32.6	32.6	2	32.6	10.3	335.8	CCC&DDD	33.2	33.2	2	33.2	5,6	189.3
CAD	32.6	32.0	2	32.0	1.5	49.0	DOD&EEE	33.2	33.2	2	33.2	9.1	303.
D&E	32.7	32.8	2	32.8	44.9	1470.5	EEE&FFF	33.2	33.8	2	33.5	9.5	318.
E&F	32.8	32.6	2	32.8	3.2	104.8	FFF&GGG	33.2	33.5	2	33.6	1.8	60.8
FåG	32.6	32.8	2	32.8	14.2	465.1	GGG&HHH	33.7	33.9	2	33.8	3.4	114.3
G&H	32.8	32.9	2	32.0	3.2	105.1	HHAIII	33.9	34.0	2	34.0	1.8	61,1
BAL	32.0	32.8	2	32.8	27.3	894.1	IIISJJJ	34.0	34,0	2	34.0	3.0	101.
nai I&J	32.9	32.6	2	32.6	3.8	123.9	JJJ&KKK	33.9	33.9	2	33.9	1.8	61.0
JAK	32,6	32,6	2	32,6	3,8	58.7	KKK&LLL	33.9	33.9	2	33.8	1.6	338.
KåL	32.6	32.6	2	32.6	1.8	58.7	LLL&MMM	33.7	33.8 33.8	2	33.8 33.8	3.8	128.
L&M	32.6	32.8	2	32.7	9.2	300.8	MMM8 NNN	33,8		2		1,8	60,8
M&N	32,8	32,8	2	32,8	1,8	59,0	NNN&OOO	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	7.8	263.
N&O	32.8	32.8	2	32.8	3.6	118.1	OOO&PPP	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	1.8	60.8
O&P	32.8	32.8	2	32.8	1.8	59.0	PPP&QQQ	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	3.4	114.3
P&Q	32.8	33.1	2	33.0	9.2	303.1	QQQ&RRR	33,8	33,8	2	33,8	1,8	60,8
Q&R	33.1	33.0	2	33.1	1.8	59.5	RRR&SSS	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	7.4	250.
RåS	33,0	33,0	2	33,D	1,8	59,4	SSS&TTT	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	1.8	60.8
S&T	33.0	33.1	2	33.1	3.8	125.6	TTT&UUU	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	3.0	101.
T&U	33.1	33.1	2	33.1	3.9	129.1	VVV&UUU	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	1.8	60.8
U8V	33.1	33.1	2	33.1	5.0	165.5	W/W8W/W	33,8	33,8	2	33,8	7,4	250.
V&W	33.1	30.5	2	31.8	0.0	0.0	XXX.B/WW	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	1.8	60.8
WB.X	30,5	30,5	2	30,5	7,4	225,7	XXX&YYY	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	3.0	101.
X&Y	30.5	33.1	2	31.8	0.0	0.0	YYY&ZZZ	33.8	33.6	2	33.7	11.6	389.
Y&Z	33.1	33.1	2	33.1	5.0	165.5	ZZZ&A4	33.6	33.7	2	33.7	2.6	88.8
Z&AA	33.1	32.9	2	33.0	8.3	273.9	A48B4	33,7	33,5	2	33,6	3,6	122,
AA&BB	32,9	32,8	2	32,9	17,8	584,7	B4&C4	33.5	33.6	2	33.6	3.0	100.
68ACC	32.8	33.0	2	32.9	16.6	546.1	C48D4	33.6	33.5	2	33.6	1.8	60.4
CCADD	33.0	32.7	2	32.9	6.0	197.1	D48E4	33.5	33.5	2	33.5	3.4	113.3
DO&EE	32.7	32.7	2	32.7	0.9	29.4	E4&F4	33,5	33,6	2	33,6	1,8	60,4
EE&FF	32.7	32.8	2	32.8	9.6	314.4	F4&G4	33.6	33.6	2	33.6	3.0	100.
FF&GG	32.8	32.8	2	32.8	4.6	150.9	G48H4	33.6	33.6	2	33.6	1.8	60.5
GG&HH	32.8	32.8	2	32.8	2.0	64.3	H4814	33.6	33.6	2	33.6	3.4	114.
HBII	32.8	32.8	2	32.8	1.8	59.0	148,14	33,6	33.6	2	33.6	1.8	60.5
II&JJ	32.8	32.8	2	32.8	2.0	64.3	J48K4	33,6	33,6	2	33,6	3.0	100.
JJ&KK	32,8	32,8	2	32,8	4,6	150,9	K48L4	33.6	33.6	2	33.6	1.8	60.5
KKALL	32.8	32.8	2	32.8	2.0	64.3	L48.V/4	33.6	33.6	2	33.6	1.6	53.8
LLSMM	32.8	32.8	2	32.8	3.6	116.1	M48.N4	33.6	33.2	2	33.4	8.3	276.
MM&NN	32.8	32.8	2	32.8	2.0	64.3	N4804	33,2	33,2	2	33,2	24,5	811.
NN&OD	32.8	32.9	2	32.9	9.2	302.2	O48P4	33.2	33.2	2	33.2	13.8	458
OOSPP	32.9	32.8	2	32.9	2.0	64.4	P4&Q4	33.2	33.2	2	33.2	13.9	460.
PPAOD	32.8	33.0	2	32.9	3.6	118.4	Q48R4	33.2	33.3	2	33.3	11	35.3
QQ&RR	33.0	33.0	2	33.0	2.0	64.7	R48S4	33.3	33.3	2	33.3	1.8	59.9
RRASS	33.0	33.0	2	33.1	9.2	304.5	S48T4	33.3	33.8	2	33.6	5.4	181,
SS&TT	33.2	33.2	2	33.2	2.0	65.1	148U4	33.8	33.6	2	33.7	3.4	101.
TT&UU	33.2	33.3	2	33.3	3.6	119.7	U48V4	33.6	33.5	2	33.6	1.8	60.4
UUSVV	33.3	33,3	2	33.3	2.0	65.3	V48/W4	33.5	33.5	2	33.6	3.0	100.
VVAVVV	33.3	33.3	2	33.4	4.6	153.4	V48.V4	33.5	33.4	2	33.5	1.8	60.2
							X48Y4	33.4		2	33.5	1.8	
XX&W	33.4	33.6	2	33.5	14.4	482.4			33.4				113.
XX&YY	33,6	33,5	2	33,6	4,6	154,3	Y48Z4	33.4	33.4	2	33.4	1.8	60.º
YY&ZZ	33.5	33.4	2	33.5	2.0	65.6	Z4&A5	33.4	33.4	2	33.4	3.0	1 1

ZZ&AAA	33.4	33.2	2	33.3	1.8	61.3
AAA&BBB	33.2	33.2	2	33.2	5.6	187.4
BBB&CCC	33.2	33.2	2	33.2	5.6	184.8
CCC&DDD	33,2	33.2	2	33.2	5.7	189.2
COCGEEE	33.2	33.2	2	33.2	9.1	303.1
FFF&FFF	33.2	33.8	2	33.5	9.5	318.3
EFFAGGG	33.8	33.7	2	33.8	1.8	60.8
GGGAHHH	33.7	33.9	2	33.8	3.4	114.9
HHAIII	33.9	34.0	2	34.0	1.8	61.1
UIAJJJ	34.0	33.9	2	34.0	3.0	101.9
JJJ&KKK	33.9	33.9	2	33.9	1.8	61.0
KKK&LLL	33.9	33.7	2	33.8	10.0	338.0
LLIAMMM	33.7	33.8	2	33,8	3.8	128.3
MMM8 NNN	33,8	33,8	2	33,8	1,8	60.8
NNROOO	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	7.8	263.6
OOD&PPP	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	1.6	60.8
PPP&QQQ	33.8	33.8	2	33,8	3.4	114.9
OODARR	33,8	33.8	2	33,8	1.8	60.8
RRR&SSS	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	7.4	250.1
SSSATTT	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	1.8	50.8
TTT&UUU	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	3.0	101.4
WV8UUU	33.8	33.8	2	33,8	1.8	60.8
VVVSWAW	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	7.4	250.1
WWWBXXX	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	1.8	60.8
XXXaYYYY	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	3.0	101.4
YYY8777	33.8	33.6	2	33.7	11.6	389.7
7778.84	33.6	33.7	2	33.7	2.6	88.8
A48B4	33.6	33.5	2	33.6	3.6	122.1
84&C4	33.5	33.6	2	33.6	3.0	100.7
C48D4	33.6	33.5	2	33.6	1.8	60.4
D48E4	33.5	33.5	2	33.6	3.4	113.9
E48F4	33,5	33.6	2	33,6	1,8	60.4
F4&G4		33.6			3.0	100.8
F48.G4 G48.H4	33.6 33.6	33.6	2	33.6 33.6	1.8	60.5
G46194	33.6	33.6	2	33.6	3.4	114.2
148,14						
148.J4 J48.K4	33.6 33.6	33.6 33.6	2	33.6 33.6	1.8	60.5 100.8
			2		3.0	
K48L4	33.6	33.6	2	33.6	1.8	60.5
L48.M4 M48.N4	33.6	33.6	2	33.6	1.6	53.8
	33.6	33.2	2	33.4	8.3	276.7
N4804	33.2	33.2	2	33,2	24.5	811.9
048P4	33,2	33.2	2	33,2	13.8	458,5
P4&Q4	33.2	33.2	2	33.2	13.9	460.5
Q48R4	33.2	33.3	2	33.3	1.1	35.2
R4&S4	33.3	33.3	2	33.3	1.8	59.9
S4&T4	33,3	33.8	2	33,6	5,4	181.2
T4&U4	33.8	33.6	2	33.7	3.4	114.6
U4&V4	33.6	33.5	2	33.6	1.8	60,4
V48W4	33.5	33.4	2	33.5	3.0	100.4
W48X4	33.4	33.5	2	33.5	1.8	60.2

61.3	A58.B5	33,4	33.4	2	33,4	1,8	60,1
187.4	B5&C5	33.4	33.4	2	33.4	3.4	113.6
184,8	C5&D5	33.4	33.3	2	33.4	1.8	60.0
189,2	D5&E5	33.3	33.3	2	33.3	3.0	99,9
303.1	E5&F5	33.3	33.5	2	33.4	3.6	121.4
318.3	F58.G5	33,5	33,5	2	33,5	2,6	88,4
60.8	G5& H5	33.5	33.8	2	33.7	11.7	392.2
114,9	H5&I5	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	3.0	101.4
61,1	15&J5	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	1.8	60.8
101.9	J58K5	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	7.4	250.1
61.0	K5&L5	33,8	33.8	2	33,8	1,8	60,8
338.0	L58.M5	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	3.0	101.4
128.3	M58.N5	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	1.8	60.8
60,8	N5&O5	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	7.4	250.1
263.6	O58 P5	33,8	33,8	2	33,8	1,8	60,8
60.8	P58Q5	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	3.4	114.9
114.9	Q58.R5	33.8	33.8	2	33.8	1.8	60.8
60,8	R5&S5	33,8	33.9	2	33,9	7.8	264.0
250.1	S5&T5	33.9	33.9	2	33.9	1.8	61.0
60.8	T5&U5	33,9	33,9	2	33,9	3,8	128,8
01.4	U58.V5	33.9	34.0	2	34.0	10.0	339.5
60.8	V58/W5	34.0	34.2	2	34.1	1.8	61.4
50,1	W58X5	34.2	34.2	2	34.2	3.0	102.6
60.8	X5&Y5	34,2	34,0	2	34,1	1,8	61,4
101.4	Y58.25	34,0	34,0	2	34,0	6,8	231,2
389.7	Z58.A6	34.0	34.0	2	34.0	1.8	61.2
88.8	A68.B6	34.0	33.0	2	33.5	6.4	214,4
122,1	B6&O5	33.0	32.9	2	33.0	6.2	204.3
100.7	C7&D6	32,9	30,5	2	31,7	0,0	0,0
60.4	D68.E6	30.5	30.5	2	30.5	6.0	183.0
113.9	E6&F6	30.5	32.6	2	31.6	0.0	0.0
60,4	F68.G6	32.6	32.5	2	32.6	6.2	201.8
100.8	G6&H6	32.5	32.6	2	32.6	6.2	201.8
60.5	H6&16	32,6	32,5	2	32,6	1,7	55,3
114.2	16&A	32.5	32.5		32.5	4.2	136.5



GRADE = TOTAL/Perimeter 33.2



AVERAGE GRADE FOR BUILDING 2

X length of side TOTAL GRADE = TOTAL/Perimeter Perimeter 160.4 5230.0 32.6



ISSUED FOR DP & REZONING



BUILDING 2 - AVERAGE GRADE CALCULATION

INCLUDES: 1.) TOWNHOUSE 2



SITE COVERAGE - CALCULATION

SUM OF GROSS AREA OF LOT OCCUPIED BY ANY STRUCTURE INCLUDING:

1.) APARTMENT 1 2.) APARTMENT 2 3.) TOWNHOUSE 1 4.) TOWNHOUSE 3 5.) PORTINO OF PARKADE ABOVE NATURAL GRADE (>33.2 m)

<u>CURRENT:</u> BUILDING AREA 4,957 m²/LOT 8681.14 m² = 57%



d-Ka dHKarchitects VICTANN OFFICE BTT Folt Street Victoria BC VEV SHO T 1-250-658-296T NAMBEO OFFICE 102-51YO DUELIN WAY Name the BC VOT 2418 T 1-250-595-5910 Caledonia Victoria BC

Site Coverage Calculations 5



OPEN SITE SPACE - CALCULATION

PORTION OF THAT'S LANDSCAPED AND NOT OCCUPIED OR OBSTRUCTED LOT OCCUPIED BY ANY BUILDING OR PORTION OF BUILDING.

AREA INCLUDES

1.) APARTMENT 1 2.) APARTMENT 2 3.) TOWNHOUSE 1 4.) TOWNHOUSE 3 5.) PORTION OF PARKADE ABOVE NATURAL GRADE (>33.2 m)

CURRENT: LOT 8,681.1m² - BUILDINGS, DRIVEWAYS & SURFACE PARKING 5,225 m² = 3,456.1 m²/8681.1 = 40%



Open Site Space Calculations Conversi service, new statement service and service an

dHKarchitects

NAMARO OFFICE 103-5170 Dublin Way Natalitis BC V9T3KE T 1-250-585-5810

d-Ka

VICTANN OFFICE BTT Folt Street Victoria BC VEV SHO T 1-250-658-296T

Caledonia Victoria BC













E A decenter of the model of the second of t

dHKarchitects

NAMEDO OFFICE 103-53100 Dualin Way Natainto B.C. V973H 8 T.1-200-585-5810

5

d-Ka

VICTORIA OFFICI NTT Frit Street Victoria BC VEV DHO T 1-250-658-2961

And the second s





Apartment 1 - East A301 Scale: 1:100



Apartment 1 - South A301 Scale: 1:100



Apartment 1 - West A301 Scale: 1:100

COLOUR & MATERIALS LEGEND

(12) SOFFIT - Warm White

(13) FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING - Medium Blue-Grey

0	BRICK VENEER - Red - Apartment 1 Only	14	FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING - White
2	FIBRE CEMENT PANE Teal	15	VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - Dark Grey
3	VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - Teal	16	PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD & FRAME- Dark Grey
4	PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD, FRAME & PICKETS - Teal		METAL FLASHING - Dark Grey
5	METAL FLASHING - Teal	18)	ASPHALT SHINGLES - Warm Grey
٩	ACCENT PAINT COLOJR - Rust	19	FIBRE CEMENT SHINGLES - Warm Grey
\bigcirc	FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING - Soft Brown	20	VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - White
۷	FIBRE CEMENT PANE Warm Grey - Apartment 2 Only	21	METAL DOWNSPOUT & FLASHING - Light Warm Grey
۲	SOFFIT - Warm Grey - Apartment 2 Only	22	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Dark Grey
10	PAINTED CONCRETE - Warm Grey	23	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Light Gray
1	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Warm White	24)	ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Bright Orange

 1
 200860

 4
 2009313

 5
 2009364

 2009315
 2009364

 1001200
 1001316

 1001200
 Jane
 ISSUED FOR DP & REZONING



INFUED FOR COT

8444 1947

25 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Bright Blue 20 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Chartreuse



Apartment 2 - North A302 Scale: 1:100



COLOUR & MATERIALS LEGEND

\odot	BRICK VENEER - Red - Apartment 1 Only	14	FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING - White
2	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Teal	15	VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - Dark Grey
3	VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - Teal	15	PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD & FRAME - Dark Grey
٩	PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD, FRAME & PICKETS - Teal	1	METAL FLASHING - Dark Grey
5	METAL FLASHING - Teal	18)	ASPHALT SHINGLES - Warm Grey
٩	ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Rust	19	FIBRE CEMENT SHINGLES - Warm Grey
\odot	FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING - Soft Brown	20	VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - White
۷	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Warm Grey - Apartment 2 July	21	METAL DOWNSPOUT & FLASHING - Light Warm Grey
۲	SOFFIT - Warm Grey - Apartment 2 Only	22)	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Dark Grey
10	PAINTED CONCRETE - Warm Grey	23	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Light Grey
1	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Warm White	24	ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Bright Grange
12	SOFFIT - Warm White	25	ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Bright Blue

(13) FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING - Medium Blue-Grey

26 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Chartreuse



。 •



Apartment 2 - East A303 Scale: 1:100



COLOUR & MATERIALS LEGEND

0	BRICK VENEER - Red - Apartment 1 Only	14	FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING - White
2	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Teal	15	VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - Dark Grey
3	VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - Teel	16	PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD & FRAME - Dark Grey
٩	PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD, FRAME & PICKETS - Teal	Ť	METAL FLASHING - Dark Grey
5	METAL FLASHING - Teal	18)	ASPHALT SHINGLES - Warm Grey
٩	ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Rust	19	FIBRE CEMENT SHINGLES - Warm Grey
\bigcirc	FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING - Soft Brown	20	VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - White
۲	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Warm Grey - Apartment 2 July	21	METAL DOWNSPOUT & FLASHING - Light Warm Grey
۲	SOFFIT - Warm Grey - Apartment 2 Only	22	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Dark Grey
10	PAINTED CONCRETE - Warm Grey	23	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Light Grey
1	FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Warm White	24	ACCENT PAINT COLCUR - Bright Grange
12	SOFFIT - Warm White	25	ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Bright Blue

13 FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING - Medium Blue-Grey

25 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Bright Blue

26 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Chartreuse

ISSUED FOR A 19/12/18 1987.2 A200 Films Jan ALC MARKED 84W ISSUED FOR DP & REZONING — анка dHKarchitects VICTORIA OPPICE STT FOIT BLIGHT VICTORIA DC VEV 2K3 T 1-210-618-2251 NOMINO OPPIDE 192-8180 Dublis Way Nonzino EC VETIKE 7 1-250-588-5810 Caledonia Victoria BC

•

Elevations - Apartment 2 COPYREM PELEVIC, SHEE NAKE HA DEBUG HAL HA TAL THE NAKE HA PARAMET BALACENET FOR LEDAR TO THE PARAMET BALACENE WITH THE ADDRESS OF HALL

5





2 Townhouse 1 - East A304 Scale: 1:100



3 Townhouse 1 - South A304 Scale: 1:100



4 Townhouse 1 - West A304 Scale: 1:100

COLOUR & MATERIALS LEGEND

- DRICK VENEER Red Apartment 1 Only FIBRE CEMENT PANE. - Teal 3 VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - Test
- PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD, FRAME & PICKETS Teal
- 5 METAL FLASHING Teel
- ACCENT PAINT COLOJR Rust TIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING - Soft Brown
- BIRE CEMENT PANE. Warm Grey Aper ent 2 On
- (9) SOFFIT Warm Grey Apartment 2 Only
- 10 PAINTED CONCRETE Warm Gray
- 1 FIBRE CEMENT PANEL Warm White
- 12 SOFFIT Warm White
- (13) FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING Medium Blue-Grey

1:100

1987.2 A200 Films year 84W

5000mm

ISSUED FOR DP & REZONING

dHKarchitects VETOTALOPPICE STT FOIL SLIPPI Victoris DC VEV-9K9 T 1-229-5228-2257 NONING SPREE 192-8155 Dublis Way Nonzino BC V812K9 T 1-250-588-5810 Caledonia

5

- Victoria BC Elevations - Townhouse 1

(14) FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING - White

17 METAL FLASHING - Dark Grey

(18) ASPHALT SHINGLES - Warm Grey

19 FIBRE CEMENT SHINGLES - Warm Grey

2 METAL DOWNSPOUT & FLASHING - Light Warm Gray

20 VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - White

22 FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Dark Grey

23 FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Light Gray

24 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Bright Orange

25 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Bright Blue

26 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Chartreuse

15 VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - Dark Grey

(16) PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD & FRAME- Dark Grey

— анка





1 Townhouse 2 - North A305 Scale: 1:100

2 Townhouse 2 - East A305 Scale: 1:100



3 Townhouse 2 - South A305 Scale: 1:100



4 Townhouse 2 - West A305 Scale: 1:100

COLOUR & MATERIALS LEGEND

10 PAINTED CONCRETE - Warm Grey

1 FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Warm White

(13) FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIGING - Medium Blue-Grey

12 SOFFIT - Warm White

- BRICK VENEER Red Apartment 1 Only 14 FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING - WHITE FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Teal 3 VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - Teal PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD, FRAME & PICKETS - Teal 5 METAL FLASHING - Teal ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Rust TIBRE CEMENT LAP SICING - Soft Brown 20 VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - White BIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Warm Grey - Apr 21 METAL DOWNSPOUT & FLASHING - Light Warm Grey (9) SOFFIT - Warm Grey - Apartment 2 Only 22 FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Dark Grey 23 FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Light Gray
 - 15 VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS Dark Grey (16) PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD & FRAME - Dark Grey 17 METAL FLASHING - Dark Grey 18 ASPHALT SHINGLES - Warm Grey

24 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Bright Orange

25 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Bright Blue

26 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Chartreuse

- 19 FIBRE CEMENT SHINGLES Warm Grey
 - - VICTORIA OFFICE STT FOIT BLIGHT VICTORIA DC VEV 9K9 T 1-210-618-2261 NAMENO OPPICE 102×5150 DUDIE WAY NAMENO EC VITIKE T 1200-EE-5510 Caledonia Victoria BC Elevations - Townhouse 2

ISSUED FOR DP

& REZONING

RWN TMT

dHKarchitects

5

•

A305









3 Townhouse 3 - West A306 Scale: 1:100

3 7 9 9 2 18) **1**6 (13) 24 26) md ?? A. ×14 .

COLOUR & MATERIALS LEGEND

- DRICK VENEER Red Apartment 1 Only
- FIBRE CEMENT PANE. Teal 3 VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - Teal
- PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD, FRAME & PICKETS Teal

(14) FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING - White

17 METAL FLASHING - Dark Grey

(18) ASPHALT SHINGLES - Warm Grey

19 FIBRE CEMENT SHINGLES - Warm Grey

21 METAL DOWNSPOUT & FLASHING - Light Warm Gray

20 VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS - White

22 FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Dark Grey

23 FIBRE CEMENT PANEL - Light Gray

24 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Bright Orange

25 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Bright Blue

26 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR - Chartreuse

UNYL WINDOWS & DOORS - Dark Grey

(16) PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD & FRAME- Dark Grey

- 5 METAL FLASHING Teel
- ACCENT PAINT COLOJR Rust
 FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING Soft Brown
- BIBRE CEMENT PANE. Warm Grey Apar ent 2 Only
- (9) SOFFIT Warm Grey Apartment 2 Only
- 10 PAINTED CONCRETE Warm Gray
- 1 FIBRE CEMENT PANEL Warm White
- (12) SOFFIT Warm White
- (13) FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING Medium Blue-Grey

•

1987.2 A 200 Films and 84W

ISSUED FOR DP & REZONING

dHKarchitects VETOTALOPPICE STT FOIL SLIPPI Victoris DC VEV-9K9 T 1-229-5228-2257 NONING SPREE 192-8155 Dublis Way Nonzino BC V812K9 T 1-250-588-5810 Caledonia

5

- Victoria BC Elevations - Townhouse 3

— анка









4:00PM - SEPTEMBER 21ST







12:00PM - SEPTEMBER 21ST

CALEDONIA

NORTH PARK

GRANT

- C

VINING

VIC HIGH TRACK



BEFORE - LOOKING WEST - FROM VIC HIGH



AFTER - LOOKING WEST - FROM VIC HIGH



5

Server Calegoria BC Server Ser



BEFORE LOOKING WEST - FROM GRANT STREET



BEFORE - LOOKING WEST - FROM GLADSTONE AVENUE



AFTER LOOKING WEST - FROM GRANT STREET



AFTER LOOKING WEST - FROM GLADSTONE AVENUE



ISSUED FOR DP & REZONING





BEFORE LOOKING EAST - FROM CALEDONIA AVE.



BEFORE LOOKING EAST - FROM NORTH PARK ST.



AFTER LOOKING EAST - FROM CALEDONIA AVE.



AFTER LOOKING EAST - FROM NORTH PARK ST.



ISSUED FOR DP & REZONING

Cale Contact C

dHKarchitects Insulation file Test-5 tre built in way Nameme 80 V912H1 T 1-20-545-545

5





TOWNHOUSES - AT GLADSTONE AVENUE



APARTMENT - AT GRANT STREET



INTERIOR COURTYARD - LOOKING NORTH



PLAYGROUND & AMENITY AREA - LOOKING NORTH



ISSUED FOR DP & REZONING

dHKa	dHKa	rchitects
VICTORM OFFICE STT Filt Street Victoria BC VEV DHO T 1-250-658-2961	102-5170 N 8781770 T 1-250-1	Dustin way
Caledonia		
Victoria BC		
Victoria BC Perspective St	udies	








 2
 Apartment 2 Building Section
 0
 2010
 4000 mm

 A403
 Scale: 1:100
 1:100
 1:100





 2
 Townshouse 2 Building Section
 0
 2000
 4000 mm

 A404
 Scale: 1:100
 1:100
 1:100
 1:100









1 L2 Plan - Apartment 2 0 2000 4600 mm Scale: 1:100 1:100



ISSUED FOR DP & REZONING

GRAP	HIC LEGEND:			
	STUDIO	dHKa	dHKa	rchitects
	1 BEDROOM	Victoria Bo Vievali Victoria Bo Vievalio Victoria Bo Vievalio	103-5190 Natation Natation	Dustin Way
	2 BEDROOM	mindness		
	3 BEDROOM	Caledonia Victoria BC		
	4 BEDROOM			
ō.	ACCESSIBLE	L2 Plan - Aparts	ments	
		CONVERT SIMINAS, THEM FIND MO CONDEX AND NO K AL THES FIRMS THE PRAYETY OF DEVICE TO THE PRODUCT OF THE PROJECT DEVICE NOW NOT THE PROJECT DEVICE THE COMMENT	A602	5

2 L2 Plan - Apartment 1 A602 Scale: 1:100 1:100 2000 4000 mm





1 L3 Plan - Apartment 2 0 2000 4000 mm



ISSUED FOR DP & REZONING

GRAPHIC LEGEND:			
STUDIO	d⊣Ka	dHKarc	hitects
1 BEDROOM	VICTOMA OFFICE 9TT Full Street Victoria BC VEV SHO T 1-150-554-3951	103-5170 D4 103-5170 D4 N 8581510 B0 T 1-250-585	allo way
2 BEDROOM	pojectare		
3 BEDROOM	Caledonia		
4 BEDROOM	Victoria BC		
6. ACCESSIBLE	L3 Plan - Apartm	ients	
		A603	5



	AUTE AT descrive an abave an effective ISSUED & REZ	FOR [
GRAPHIC LEGEND:	-		
STUDIO	dHKa	dHKarc	hitects
1 BEDROOM	VICTORM OFFICI 911 Full Street Victoria BC VEVIDIO	102-5170 DL N 81 81710 B C	alio way
2 BEDROOM	T 1-150-658-1967	T 1-250-595	-1810
3 BEDROOM	Caledonia		
4 BEDROOM	Victoria BC		
& ACCESSIBLE	L4 Plan - Apartm	nents	
	COMPARENT BEERVIES, THEER FLAME MAD CONTRACT AND MAD AVAIL THEOR FRAME THE PROVINTY OF HARDWORKSTOT STAR WITH CHART THE PROJECT SHOULD BE WITH CONTRACT THE PROJECT SHOULD AND AVAIL THE CONTRACT THE PROJECT SHOULD AND AVAIL THE CONTRACT	A604	5

 5
 23/19/01

 4
 23/12/19

 3
 33/12/06

 2
 23/12/10

 1
 19/72/16

 Per
 Fer

REISSUED FOR COTV REIROLED FOR COTV BALED FOR COTV ISSUED FOR ADF REIPORE TO PLANNING REVIEW

> 1937.2.4208 Pibraswe RSW

Þ

2 BED TYPE 1





1 L5 Plan - Apartment 2 2000 4600 mm

ISSUED FOR DP & REZONING

GRAP	HIC LEGEND:	-		
	STUDIO	d⊣Ka	dHKar	chitects
	1 BEDROOM	VECTORM OFFECT STT Filt Street Victoria BC VEV SHO T 1-25-558-2001	103-5170 C 103-5170 C Natalitic B T 1-200-58	C VOT 2HE
	2 BEDROOM	populari		
	3 BEDROOM	Caledonia		
	4 BEDROOM	Victoria BC		
Б.	ACCESSIBLE	L5 Plan - Apart	ments	
		CONVERT BEENVES, THESE FLAGS NO DESCRIPTION WORK ALL THESE FEMALE THE PROPERTY OF SHARED OFFICE TO BE UNDER SHARE THE PROJECT SHOEL HAS NOT BE PROFEDUCE SHOEL WORK WITH BOARDING	A605	5

GRAPHIC LEGEND: STUDIO 1 BEDROOM 2 BEDROOM 3 BEDROOM 4 BEDROOM & ACCESSIBLE

















GRAPHIC LEGEND: STUDIO 1 BEDROOM 3 BEDROOM 4 BEDROOM & ACCESSIBLE

6 28/901 4 28/910 3 28/910

d-Ka

VECTANN OFFICE STT Folt Street Victoria BC VEV DRD T 1-150-ES8-026T

Caledonia Victoria BC Townhouse 1

ISSUED FOR DP

& REZONING

RE-INSUED FOR COT

dHKarchitects

NAMABOOFFICE 103-5170 Dublin Way Namatris BC V9T 2KE T 1-250-585-5810

5

RSAV 1997



2 L3 Plan A607 Scale: 1:100 0 2000 4000 mm



SRAPHIC LEGEND: STUDIO 1 BEDROOM 2 BEDROOM 3 BEDROOM 4 BEDROOM & ACCESSIBLE



GRAPHIC LEGEND: STUDIO 1 BEDROOM 3 BEDROOM 4 BEDROOM & ACCESSIBLE

RSAV 1997

5

















1



- 00

-1(

GRAPHIC LEGEND: STUDIO 1 BEDROOM 3 BEDROOM 4 BEDROOM & ACCESSIBLE

AREA & 88 SM	AREA 88 5M
QUARTITY 2	QUANTITY 5
(2) 3 Bed Type 1B	(1) 3 Bed Type 1A
Scale: 1:100	A702 Scale: 1:100 1:100

5	25/59/01		RE-ISSUED FOR COTV
4	284313		RE-INSUED FOR COTV
a.	22/22/06		BRURD FOR COTV
2	28/87/10		ISSUED FOR ADP
	11/1816	RESP	HISE TO PLANNING REVIEW
~	049	Development	
strees.	5EP/TEMBER 2030	1 may 16	1937.2 A 208 Plansave
shausting	FWP	Perfort N	Révé
***	AS SHOWAI	poperturne	1921
I	SSUE & RE	D FC	
-	SSUE & RE	D FC ZON	
d-M Sector	SSUE & RE	D FC ZON	ING
d-K Sector Strate T 3-	SSUE & RE	D FC ZON	dHKarchitects
d ke varie Ster Ca	SSUE & RE	D FC ZON	dHKarchitects
dist sector trans Ca Via Via Via	SSUE & RE	D FC ZON	dHKarchitects



.

AREA 88 SM QUANTITY 2

3 Bed Type 2 A702 Scale: 1:100





AREA 88 SM QUANTITY 1

4 3 Bed Type 3 A702 Scale: 1:100











+

AREA 89 SM QUANTITY 1

L3 - SECOND FLOOR

6 2 Bed Type 6 A703 Scale: 1:100







L3 - SECOND FLOOR

L2 - FIRST FLOOR

----**"** L1 - SECOND FLOOR L0 - FIRST FLOOR AREA GUANTITY 81 SM 2 Bed Type 1 A703 Scale: 1:100













L2 - FIRST FLOOR

L3 - SECOND FLOOR

AREA 90 SM QUANTITY 10

10 2 Bed Type 10 A703 Scale: 1:100











"

L2 - FIRST FLOOR









AREA 83 SM QUANTITY 9

7 2 Bed Type 7 A703 Scale: 1:100







ISSUED FOR DP & REZONING

Townhouses 1 & 2 Unit Plans

VICTORN OFFICE STT Folt Street Victoria BC VEV DH T 1-150-658-1961

Caledonia

Victoria BC

dHKarchitects

NAMABOOFFICE 103-5170 Dublin Way Namatris BC V9T 2KE T 1-250-585-5810

5

L0 - FIRST FLOOR







GRAPHIC LEGEND: STUDIO 1 BEDROOM 2 BEDROOM 3 BEDROOM 4 BEDROOM Å ACCESSIBLE





APARTMENTS 1 & 2







COLOUR & MATERIAL LEGEND

- BRICK VENEER Red Apartment 1 Only
- FIBRE CEMENT PANEL Teal
- VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS Teal
- PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD, FRAME & PICKETS Teal
- METAL FLASHING Teal
- ACCENT PAINT COLOUR Rust
- ⑦ FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING Soft Brown
- S FIBRE CEMENT PANEL Warm Grey Apartment 2 Only
- ③ SOFFIT Warm Grey Apartment 2 Only
- PAINTED CONCRETE Warm Grey
- FIBRE CEMENT PANEL Warm White
- SOFFIT Warm White
- ③ FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING Medium Blue-Grey

TOWNHOUSES 1, 2 & 3





- FIBRE CEMENT LAP SIDING White
 VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS Dark Grey
 PREFINISHED ALUMINUM GUARD & FRAME Dark Grey
 METAL FLASHING Dark Grey
 ASPHALT SHINGLES Warm Grey
 FIBRE CEMENT SHINGLES Warm Grey
 VINYL WINDOWS & DOORS White
 METAL DOWNSPOUT & FLASHING Light Warm Grey
 FIBRE CEMENT PANEL Dark Grey
 FIBRE CEMENT PANEL Dark Grey
 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR Bright Orange
 ACCENT PAINT COLOUR Bright Blue
- ACCENT PAINT COLOUR Chartreuse

actor Taxing	SEPTEMBER 2028	staning the schedularies	1987.2.8200 Fillers John
tes .	2 m	2-raijdar	
	19/12/16	NESPO	SHEE TO FLANNING REVIEW
2	20/01/15		ISSUED FOR ADP
3	20/02/66		INSUED FOR COTW
- 4	20(08/13		RE-ISEUED FOR COTW
	20/08/01		REASSNED FOR COTV

& REZONING







Overview Plan

project no 119.18 1: 250 @ 24"x36" scale drawn by TB checked by PdG revison no Λà L1.01

RAIN GARDENS that slow 8 cleanse rainwater

Stat 2 5 5 2 10 PLAY PLACES that foster fun and

creativity

can be modified, beautified and funkified.

PERSONAL OUTDOOR AREAS that

GATHERING PLACES that help neighbours get to know each other and support each other.

it easy for people of all ages to hop on their bikes.

PLANTINGS that provide



PUBLIC ART that celebrates Fernwood's artistic spirit.









PLANTINGS that provide food for birds, bees and butterflies.

OUTDOOR SOCIAL SPACES for gethering and sharing food.

beauty and food for people

ACTIVE PLAY SPACES that support community sports.









Rain Garden Capacity Calculations

Catchment Area	Contributing Impervious Area	Design Storm Runoff Volume Contributing to Rain Garden	Planter Growing Medium Depth	Stormwater Treatment Capacity per sq. m. of Rain Garden	Rain Garden Area	Rain Garden Capacity	Excess (+) or Deficient (-) Capacity	Soil Volume
	(sq. m.)	(cu. m./day)	(m.)	(cu. m./day)	(sq. m.)	(cu. m./day)	(cu.m./day)	(cu.m.)
Catchment A	560.0	28.0	0.60	0.8	40.0	30.0	2.0	24.0
Catchment B	360.0	18.0	0.60	0.8	25.0	18.8	0.8	15.0
Catchment C	190.0	9.5	0.60	0.8	13.0	9.8	0.3	7.8
Catchment D	280.0	14.0	0.60	0.8	30.0	22.5	8.5	18.0
Catchment E	365.0	18.3	0.60	0.8	33.0	18.5	0.3	19.8
Catchment F	415.0	20.8	0.60	0.8	63.0	47.3	26.5	37.8
total	2170.0	108.5			204.0	146.8	38.3	122.4

Assumptions

Design storm is a 2 year storm event which equals 5 cm of water, in a 24 hr period.

2 Rain Garden design based on 150 mm live ponding plus 20% of the sand/ compost growing medium volume (assuming growing medium has 20% void space) with a minimum infiltration rate of 2 cm/hour (or 48 cm per day), via perforated underdrain.

RAIN WATER MANAGEMENT NOTES

Water collected from portions of the building roofs flow to the rain gardens located throughout the site. Rain gardens have been situated on-grade.

Sun gardens are designed to capture alow flows, and based numf Pain gardens with edispited with underfains and a high capacity controls drain that will be connected to the onsite inject dramage system. The rain gardens are sized such that the bottom of the rain gardens a 5% of the impervious area, which is the area required to manage Victoria's 2 year storm event.

Walkways will be sloped to drain to adjacent absorbant landscape. Larger paved areas such as driveways and turnarounds will be drained directly to the storm system.

Portions of the roof which cannot be easily connected to rain gardens will be drained directly to the storm system. The roof catchments are shown schematically and will be refined during detailed design.

Adjacent Landscape Rain Garden Adjacent Bottom -Top of Pool Bottom of n 2 Pool 300 mm †200 mr 600 mm _7 3 ±150 m 300 mm . 5 -6 RAIN GARDEN MATERIALS RAIN GARDEN MATERIALS 1. Overflow drain, 200 mm domed grale + adapter 2. Gonposted mulch, 50-70 mm depth 3. Bio-relention growing medium, 600 mm depth 4. Scattleditedite subgrade, 300 mm depth 5. Existing subgrade/native material 6. 100 mm dameter (mm) perioded pipe 7. 25 mm diameter drain rock, 100 mm depth 1 Typical Rain Garden

1	F	G	F	N	D
_	ᄂ	J	ᄂ	IN	

LEGEND		SCAPE ARCHITE
	Property line	SCAPE ARCHITE
	Extent of Parkade, below	client
	Rain garden - TOP OF POOL	CRD Housing Corporation
	Rain garden - BOTTOM OF POOL	631 Fisgard Ave. Victoria, BC
€ ^{24.31}	Existing Landscape Grade	
€ ex. 23.77	Architectural grade, provided for reference only	project Caledonia Redevelopment
24.31	Proposed Landscape Grade	Caledonia Ave. Victoria, BC
.	TW Top of Wall TP Top st Pool BW Bottom of Wall BP Bottom of Pool TOC Top of Curb TS Top of Stairs BC Bottom of Curb BS Bottom of Stairs	sheet title Stormwater Management
	Rain Garden on Grade	management
	Roof Drains to Rain Garden	
	Hardscape Drains to Rain Garden	project no.
	Roof / Road / Hardscape Drains Directly to Storm System	scale 1: ### @ 24"x36"
	Charle Dath (Data and A)	drawn by TB
·>	Flow Path (Schematic)	checked by PdG
L-AD	Rain Garden Overflow Drain to Storm System	revison no. sheet no.
	Culvert Rain Garden Connection	<u>∧</u> L1.04

AAAA.



- DRAWING NOTES 1. DD NOT SCALE DRAWING: Venty all property lines and existing structures/veptation to remain, prior to commencing work. 2. All plan dimensions in metres and all detail dimensions in millimetes. 5. Plant quantification of the precedence over primit list 4. Contractor to confirm focation and elevation of all existing services and writese notive instart of construction.
- utilities prior to start of construction. 5. Provide layout of all work for approval by Landscape Architect prior to
- Proved agout of an work to approve up can scape Architect prior to proceeding with work
 Contractor to provide irrigation system for all planters to current IABC Standards and Contract Specifications.
 Landscape installation to carry a 1 year warranty from date of acceptance.

- Indecipie instalation to carry a 1 year varianty iron case or B Plant native instalation and variatemance to conform 6 the current edition of the Canadan Landscape Slandard.
 General Contractors are responsible for al costs resteted to production and submission to consultant of all landscape as built information including impation.
 The protection linearity, for existing trees, to be installed prior to commoncement of all alle works.

TREE SUMMARY* TOTAL TREES TO BE REMOVED TOTAL TREES TO BE RETAINED:

- TOTAL BYLAW-PROTECTED TREES TO BE REMOVED:
- TOTAL PROPOSED REPLACEMENT TREES:

* Based on Arborist's Report recieved from Talbot Mackenzie & Associates. 03/04/2020. Refer to Arborist report for details and Arborist recommendations

31

27

2

LEGEND

€^{24.31} ex. €^{23.77}---

+ 10

Property line _..._. Extent of Parkade, below

> Rain garden - TOP OF POOL Rain garden - BOTTOM OF POOL

Existing Landscape Grade

Architectural grade, provided for reference only

Proposed Landscape Grade

TW Top of Wall TP BW Bottom of Wall BP TOC Top of Curb TS BC Bottom of Curb BS Top of Pool Bottom of Pool Top of Stairs Bottom of Stairs

Existing Tree for Retention (Refer to Arborist Report for full details and management strategies).

Critical Root Zone

Tree Tag

Existing Tree to be Removed (Refer to Arborist Report and Tree Retention & Removal Plan for full details and management strategies).

Tree Tag

Replacement Tree (Species and location to be determined with City of Victoria Parks)

project no 119.18 1: 250 @ 24"x36" scale drawn by TB checked by PdG

revison no.

Λà

sheet no L1.05

Phone: 250.412-2001 Fee: 250.412-2002

200 - 524 Cultiver Road Victoria, BC V62 1G1

AAAA.

393

CRD Housing Corporation 631 Fisgard Ave. Victoria, BC project

Caledonia Redevelopment Caledonia Ave. Victoria, BC

Tree Retention &

Removal Plan

sheet title





PLANTING LEGEND



PLANT LIST SEE L3.01

Caledonia Ave. Victoria, BC

Planting Plan North

119.18

TB

PdG

1: 150 @ 24"x36"

L3.02

sheet no

sheet title

project no

drawn by

checked by

revison no.

Λà

scale



ATTACHMENT B



ATTACHMENT C



Regional Housing 625 Fisgard Street, PO Box 1000 Victoria, BC V8W 1R7 T: 250.360.3371 F: 250.361.4970 www.crd.bc.ca

November 10, 2020

Mayor and Council City of Victoria 1 Centennial Square Victoria BC, V8W 1P6

Dear Mayor Helps and Council:

Re: Proposed Caledonia Redevelopment

1230 Grant Street, 1209, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 1235 Caledonia Avenue and 1211 Gladstone Avenue

The CRHC is excited to bring forward a Rezoning and Development Permit Application for the Caledonia Redevelopment, a comprehensively designed affordable rental redevelopment in the heart of Fernwood. The Caledonia project will provide for 158 new Affordable Rental Units made available in a manner that is sensitive to the surrounding context, attractive, affordable, sustainable and most importantly provide long term affordability and security for those most in need.

This proposal provides an opportunity for four levels of government to partner to realize the strategic goals and objectives contained within the City of Victoria's Official Community Plan and the Victoria Housing Strategy that align with the Capital Regional Districts Board Priorities to create desperately needed new affordable rental housing. Further, the development has enabled the Capital Region Housing Corporation (CRHC) to collaborate with neighbourhood groups to develop an integrated proposal that balances the needs and concerns of the local and broader community.

Existing Land Use

The proposed development site consists of assembling nine vacant and under-utilized properties that span from Gladstone Avenue to Grant Street. One of the properties is the existing Caledonia site at 1211 Gladstone Avenue, and currently contains 18 vacant units within three attached townhouse buildings. Another vacant property, previously known as M'akola's Tonto Rosette Building, located at 1209 North Park Street, contains a two-storey four-unit house. The remaining seven properties are vacant brownfield lots that were previously home to the Fairey Tech Building.

Caledonia Redevelopment – Rezoning & Development Permit

All nine properties will be consolidated into a single parcel to realize a comprehensive redevelopment that will create 158 affordable rental residential units. The development will also feature an amenity building for use by the neighbourhood, improvements to the surrounding streetscapes, construction of new interconnected pathways and a variety of outdoor place-making features including a playground, seating areas, community allotment gardens and other native plantings.

Located in the heart of Fernwood, the Caledonia redevelopment is set back from the Victoria High School Track and is adjacent to low-density residential homes, Haegert Park, the Compost Education Centre and the Fernwood Allotment Gardens.

The School District 61 (SD61), BC Housing, the City of Victoria and the CRHC have signed a letter of intent and letters of authorization to facilitate the rezoning application and subsequent land exchange. The land swap and lot consolidation are subject to successfully rezoning the property. The final agreement will see the SD61 as the sole owner of the consolidated lot and the CRHC signing a new 60-year lease agreement.

Proposed Rezoning

The consolidated lot will require rezoning from the current R-K and R-2 zones to a site specific zone. The proposal increases the allowable density from an FSR of 0.6:1, which would allow for redevelopment of approximately 78 units, to an FSR of 1.29, allowing for the proposed 158 units.

Form of Development & Massing

The proposed site layout includes five separate buildings, consisting of three 3 to 4 storey attached townhouse buildings, as well as one 5-storey and one 4-storey apartment building. The townhouses are positioned at the north end of the site, adjacent to single family lots. The apartment buildings are positioned towards the south end of the site, near Haegert Park and neighbouring apartment buildings along Grant Street. Massing was carefully considered to maximize the use of the site while being sensitive to the character of the neighbourhood.

The 5-storey building is located between North Park Street and Vining Street, which does not border residential properties. The 4-storey apartment building is located at the south section of the site fronting Grant Street. The top floor of both apartment buildings step back on all sides to reduce the massing effect as seen from the street level.

<u>Residential Unit Mix</u>

The total development will consist of 158 rental units including 14 studio units, 45 one-bedroom units, 77 two-bedroom units, 14 three-bedroom units and 8 four-bedroom units. The two apartment buildings will consist of 97 units while the townhouses will consist of 61 units.

<u>On-Site Parking</u>

There are 117 onsite parking stalls proposed, 112 in the underground parkade and 5 stalls at grade. This on-site parking supply exceeds the City of Victoria's parking bylaw for affordable housing projects. There will be two separate underground parkade entrances, accessed from Caledonia Avenue and Grant Street. This component of the design splits the traffic flow from the site for tenants traveling east and west respectively, with direct routes to arterial roads, which minimizes the additional volume on the local neighborhood streets.

Policy and Design Considerations

The proposed development requires an Official Community Plan (OCP) amendment to change the land use designations from Traditional Residential and Parks to Urban Residential. There are several applicable OCP policies and references which support this alternative designation:

- 6.1.6 Urban Residential areas are generally located within 400 metres of the Urban Core
- 12.17 Continue to support and enable the private development of green buildings
- 13.9 Support a range of housing types, forms and tenures across the city and within neighbourhoods to meet the needs of residents at different life stages, and to facilitate aging in place

The project will also achieve the energy performance benchmarks as adopted by the City of Victoria for the B.C. Energy Step Code and in line with related energy reduction targets. The proposed development is also consistent with many of the City of Victoria's strategic objectives, policies and guidelines, including:

- Prosperity and Economic Inclusion: People who work in Victoria can afford to live in Victoria
- Affordable Housing: Increase in rental apartment and housing vacancy rate
- Sustainable Transportation: Increase in residents using public transit, walking and cycling
- Strong, Liveable Neighbourhoods: Increase in number of opportunities for engagement with neighbourhoods

The configuration of the development and building designs reflect the following applicable Design Guidelines:

- 1.1 New development should be compatible with and improve the character of established areas through design that is unifying, sensitive and innovative
- 2.2.1 Massing that gives the impression of small blocks.
- 7.1 A high standard of accessibility in site, building and landscape design is encouraged to address the needs of all users, including people who have disabilities.

Neighbourhood Benefits and Impacts

The proposal has significant benefits for the local and broader community through its increase in the supply of affordable housing. This form of inclusive housing reinforces the vibrancy of the Fernwood community.

The Caledonia Redevelopment provides for:

- Family oriented affordable housing, where 63% of the proposed units are two bedrooms and greater
- 8 new 4 bedroom units, which are infrequently available in new housing stock;
- 15 accessible units, which includes a mix of one, two and three bedrooms to allow for live-in caregivers. These units may be operated by the Independent Living Housing Society (ILHS);
- Energy efficient building design to perform to BC Energy Step Code Step 3;
- Open view corridors along east to west directions that recognize the prominence and heritage status of Victoria High School;
- Additional housing to meet the proposed population growth within walking distance of North Park Village;
- Integration with the broader community through partnerships with community groups such as Fernwood NRG and Compost Education Center to provide additional urban agriculture space and a 1450 ft² amenity room with a 14 foot ceiling to host neighbourhood programming and events;
- Pedestrian pathways across the site and a connecting greenway from Grant Street to Gladstone Avenue which facilitates long term access and increases walkability and connectivity within the neighborhood
- Architectural design that sensitively transitions to adjacent properties and respects the form and character of the neighbourhood
- Landscaping that includes several rain gardens, tree preservation, and 121 new trees onsite.

Tenant Relocation and Funding

All tenants within the existing townhouses of Caledonia have been successfully relocated to other subsidized housing offerings within the CRHC portfolio or other social housing providers, as determined by their individual needs. Tenant supports have been provided in accordance with the CRHC's Tenant Relocation Policy, that exceeds the minimum standards established by the City of Victoria's Tenant Assistance Plan.

Project Funding & Affordability

The Caledonia Redevelopment has received approval under the Building BC: Community Housing Fund program which facilitates the development of mixed income, affordable rental housing projects for independent individuals, families and seniors.

Under this funding model, projects must reflect the following mix of rents and incomes:

- 30% Affordable housing (moderate income)
- 50% Rent geared to income (low income, housing income limit)
- 20% Deep subsidy (very low income, refers to provincial income assistance rates)

Community Feedback & Design Revisions

During the extensive planning of this proposal the design team and CRHC staff met with and presented to existing tenants, various neighbourhood groups, and school board trustees more than 22 times.

Throughout the process, the team has received a variety of design input and has incorporated revisions into the project that we feel is of great benefit to the Fernwood neighbourhood, the City of Victoria and the Capital Region. The design changes include:

- Eliminating an apartment building and replacing it with an additional 3- storey townhouse complex;
- Re-orienting townhouses to front onto Gladstone, creating an enhanced pedestrian scaled frontage and minimizing shadows on neighbouring properties to the west;
- Enhanced connectivity within and around the Caledonia development that will better integrate with the existing community;
- Adjusting the unit mix to include more studio units to meet the demographic needs of a growing seniors population.
- A revision to unit mix enabled the reduction of building height from 5-storey to a 4-storey building bordering Grant St;
- Addition of a new central amenity building for use by a prominent neighbourhood group to host

independent events;

- Incorporating a playground structure to create a welcoming family-oriented environment;
- Reducing the number of courtyards and on-site surface parking to reduce impervious surface treatment and increase greenspace on site;
- Providing for private outdoor space on all ground-floor units;
- Including urban agriculture areas and community allotment gardens;
- Relocating the Grant St parkade ramp from the courtyard to within the footprint of the building to add more greenspace and retain more trees

<u>Conclusion</u>

The CRHC is pleased to submit this Rezoning and Development Permit Application for the Caledonia Redevelopment. This project gives the opportunity to bring much needed affordable housing within an important area of Victoria where it is greatly needed. It also brings a cohesive and sensitive resolution to a significant brownfield site in the heart of the Fernwood community. Through the partnerships across multiple levels of government this project aligns key municipal and regional strategic objectives, policies and guidelines and looks to deliver on these mandates while balancing the needs and concerns of the local community. We look forward to further discussion on this important application.

Sincerely,

Hubely Lemmon

Kimberly Lemmon, MCIP, RPP Senior Project Coordinator /Acting Manager, Planning & Development Capital Region Housing Corporation

REFERENCE PLAN TO ACCOMPANY BYLAW 21-067 (CITY OF VICTORIA) TO CLOSE PARTS OF ROAD DEDICATED ON PLAN 205 IN SPRING RIDGE, VICTORIA CITY, VICTORIA DISTRICT.

PLAN EPP88785



REFERENCE PLAN TO ACCOMPANY BYLAW 21-067 (CITY OF VICTORIA) TO CLOSE PARTS OF ROAD DEDICATED ON PLAN 205 IN SPRING RIDGE, VICTORIA CITY, VICTORIA DISTRICT.

PLAN EPP114517


C Fern Heffernan 5, 1620 Camosun Street Victoria, BC V8T 3E6

June 30,2020

Leanne Taylor Senior Planner City of Victoria City Hal 1 Centennial Square Victoria, BC V8W 1P6

Dear Leanne,

Re: Proposed rezoning of Fernwood (Gladstone Ave, Caledonia Ave, Vining St, and Grant St) Rezoning No. 00715

Victoria needs affordable housing.

I have several concerns regarding the rezoning.

My first concern is if the area is rezoned, can the city and the architects scrap the plan and put up a six-story, concrete apartment block?

If the answer is yes, I will say absolutely NO to rezoning this area.

I need the assurance that plan layout presented would be the one followed including building locations and the green spaces indicated.

I do not want the apartments higher than Vic High.

I conducted a web search of Vic High and found this statement:

Victoria High School, commonly referred to as **Vic High**, is a <u>high school</u> located in <u>Victoria, British</u> <u>Columbia</u>, Canada. It is the oldest high school in the province, and is often cited as "the oldest public high school in <u>Western Canada</u>."

From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia

I also found this statement from SD61

Victoria High

vichigh.sd61.bc.ca

Vic High is located in the heart of historic Fernwood, an area renowned for beautiful Victorian era architecture and a thriving artistic community. Our towering...*

I was unable to locate the full quotation; however, the intent is that Fernwood is a historic community, with several buildings and some gardens over 100 years old. The towering school should remain towering. Thus, no apartments or townhouses in close proximity to the school should be higher than the school.

I am also concerned that the architectural style does not fit the community. If something is to be built in the middle of a quiet, historic community, it should fit in. My view would be different if it was being built at a busy intersection, or downtown.

<u>https://www.google.com/search?rlz=1C1CHBF_enCA877CA877&biw=1366&bih=625&sxsrf=ALeKk00_B</u> <u>RyOPNand3d6uJM2SQGAmW2R7Q%3A1593585367527&ei=1y78Xt7QH9H00PEPjsOu2Aw&q=Vic+High+</u> <u>is+located+in+the+heart+of+historic+Fernwood%2C+an+area+renowned+for+beautiful+Victorian+era+a</u> <u>rchitecture+and+a+thriving+artistic+community.+Our+towering&oq=Vic+High+is+located+in+the+hear</u> <u>t+of+historic+Fernwood%2C+an+area+renowned+for+beautiful+Victorian+era+architecture+and+a+thriving+artistic+community.+Our+towering*&gs_lcp=CgZwc3ktYWIQDFAAWABgj3BoAHAAeACAAQCIAQCS</u> <u>AQCYAQCqAQdnd3Mtd2l6&sclient=psy-ab&ved=0ahUKEwiemICOuKvqAhVRJzQIHY6hC8sQ4dUDCAw</u>

My approval depends on the answers to my concerns.

Sincerely] C Fern Hffernan Dear Mayor and Council:

I am writing to express my opposition to the Caledonia plan as it stands now.

1. PROCESS

Not so long ago, Victoria's CALUCs wrote to the city asking that approval of large developments that do not follow OCP guidelines not be approved through amendments to the OCP. Unfortunately, that request has been ignored in this proposal. This is a very complex project that needs a full review, not sweeping it through as a means of avoiding robust land use and community discussions.

I have pasted in two sections from a longer article "Hard Questions about Vancouver's New Affordability Approach", by Patrick Condon and Scot Hein, The Tyee, 19 July 2018

" Avoid a one-size-fits-all approach to neighbourhoods.

... How will the city ensure that new affordable housing forms are contextually appropriate to each neighbourhood and easily approvable? How will the city ensure that each neighbourhood accommodates its "fair share" of new units? How will the city acknowledge, and credit, those neighbourhoods that already contribute affordable capacities?

"Recognize citizens as responsible leaders in change.

Meaningful stakeholder involvement is the best way to share challenges and achieve creative solutions. A successful stakeholder process invites citizens to become champions for change over the long implementation time lines required for thoughtful city building. Hastily prepared Making Room policies, without meaningful stakeholder involvement, would forgo the opportunity to tap the passions, talents and shared sense of responsibility by Vancouverites. Let's take a chance on citizens rising to the challenge of creating stronger neighbourhoods. Let's require that making room only happens in the form of a citizen directed city-wide plan."

а

The current proposal did not come close to being an open, transparent, and collegial process that engaged the community from the start. It was presented holus-bolus in well-known developer strategy involving slick graphics with no opportunity for residents to do anything but tweak small and non-essential details.

The complex and confusing land swap between the City of Victoria and School District #61 was barely explained. The "consultation" meetings were hosted separately by the City, the School District or the CRHC. If residents asked questions about the arcane relationship among the three, the proponents declined to answer saying it wasn't in their bailiwick. The three entities behaved like three separate silos. This made it impossible for neighbourhood residents to get straight answers. Clearly the proponents were not there to listen and respond to citizen concerns.

In general, the community members at the "consultations" found the proposal too large, too tall, and not in keeping with the neighbourhood. When residents asked if the proposal could be scaled down, the response from CRHC was a flat "NO, that's the math". If "the math" is the only consideration, then something's rotten in the

state of Victoria / Fernwood.

This project is being shoe-horned onto Vic High's limited school grounds. The proposal will be built on the former Fairey Tech land which we were promised would be replanted and greened. That promise has not been kept. Since it's been left a rubble field, we're now told it's open for a mega-development.

2. AFFORDABILTY

I respect those in favour of this proposal in that most support the idea of "affordable housing". I too, am deeply committed to low income housing in a city that is becoming an ever more expensive place to live. Unfortunately, I'm not sure that many supporters understand how limited this project is when it comes to low income housing. I am pasting in a copy of my letter to the Times Colonist on May 21, 2020 in case some of you missed it:

"Caledonia project not affordable enough"

Dear Editor:

Recently, city council voted to send the Caledonia project to public hearing on the basis of its provision of affordable housing. Unfortunately, this project is nowhere near affordable enough. Only 18% of the proposed units are truly affordable. The rest will be middle-income suites in five-storey buildings. This proposal is not supported by Fernwood's neighbourhood plan and the city's official community plan. Vic High's green space, already less that what is required by the Ministry of Education, will be further reduced.

Other schools in the school district have a great deal more green space proportionately. Let's keep the existing 18 units on the site and approve a smaller project with more low-income suites than the current 154-unit proposal provides.

Then let the Capital Regional Housing Corp. build the middle-class housing that makes up the bulk of the current Caledonia proposal on the extensive lands of these other schools. Vic High is our inner-city high school. This project is a Trojan horse, touting its "affordability" while ushering in a whack of middle-income housing. We need housing at all levels but it is patently unfair to dump this project on Vic High's scant land. Let's go for some equity here. Dorothy Field,

Victoria"

There has also been misinformation spread at top levels. A federal housing representative claimed that there will be "32 new homes for people with very low income". Yes, but in fact, there will only be 14 additional units, less than half the number of current subsidized units. The current 18 units will be demolished because they suffer from leaky condo syndrome and thus

their tenants have struggled with severe mould issues for decades. The whole project will create 154 suites. If you do the math, that means only 20% of the proposed suites will be subsidized and truly affordable to those most in need. The new subsidized suites will also be smaller than the current suites. Those resident in the former units have had to live with severe mould issues over the last decades. The CRHC cites the high cost of remediation as their reason for doing noting. Given this, I am concerned about the CRHC's poor record on insuring tenant health and safety.

3. ADDITIONAL ISSUES

a. There is much concern that the proposal doesn't consider the additional stress to the neighbourhood posed by the Caledonia project. It would come on top of the several new towers built or still in construction near Pandora and Cook, plus the proposed development where the co-housing was planned and failed. All of these are already on line to feed students into our over-stressed neighbourhood schools, George Jay in particular.

b. Vic High already has safety issues with traffic on Fernwood's narrow streets, given the congestion when kids are being dropped off or picked up. Residents have brought this up but to my knowledge it has not been addressed. Nor is the fact that narrow Chambers Street has already become a speedway for cars avoiding the stops on Cook. 154 additional units will surely make this worse. All this has been deemed irrelevant. In no way can this be seen as recognizing "citizens as responsible leaders in change".

c. This project opens the way for densification all the way to Cook Street. Small, relatively affordable houses will come down and, with densification, the land values will increase, edging out current middle income residents.

4. 4. <u>EQUITY</u>

Regarding equity, Burnside Gorge, Quadra Hillside, North Park, and Fernwood are expected to take any development with "affordable" or social service components. Neighbourhoods such as Rocklands and Fairfield are not asked to accept these developments. Why not? Since much of the new suites are for middle income more affluent neighbourhoods should be willing to accept "missing middle" developments on their green space.

It makes sense for those with low incomes to live closer to downtown and the
services they need, but middle income folks can manage a bit more commute,
bus, or walking, with a fair amount of ease. Clearly, we need a better public
transitby car,
transitsystem to get people out of their cars. That should be part of the

thinking rather than neighbourhood.

I suggest again, that the middle income suites be built on the generous school grounds of schools that are farther out and better endowed. The above prosperous neighbourhoods already house the various agencies, co-op named less housing, and other services geared to those with lower incomes or complex needs. The current design, with small modifications, could be kept and moved to another location. Thus design time would not be lost. If all of us are really to be seen as equals, more

That's

prosperous neighbourhoods need to take some of the gift of increased density.

5. COMMUNITY FALL OUT

equity.

Among the fall-out of the Caledonia proposal is a deep split within the Fernwood community. This could have been avoided had we had chances for real discussion with an openness as to what the word "affordable" means and the actual numbers truly affordable suites. We needed firm figures from the start on salary ceilings and of the project's financial requirements. The word "affordable" has become meaningless. The very rich can afford houses worth several million dollars. I expect that when the city uses that word, it means within reach of those with the greatest need. This is not true for 80% of the planned suites.

6. 6. CLOSING

Residents felt and still feel that this is a done deal, one without any real or substantive attempt to engage us. Consultation only counts if citizen input is taken seriously. "Consultation" when all but the minor details are already set in stone is no consultation at all. It is window dressing.

I suggest that the complex interrelations of the existing community with the proposed new community hold numerous consequences that have not been seriously studied. I suggest deeper studies of the traffic issues and school population impacts on the community beyond the boundaries of the Caledonia project be done.

I've lived in Fernwood for the last 16+ years. I love this neighbourhood and the vibrant mix of people who share it. We are not NIMBYs here and this is not a NIMBY argument. I, like so many others, want the best for all of us here. We want our vision, our energy, and our care for our students to be taken seriously. We don't want to be sacrificed on the altar of a too quick fix that doesn't pay attention to Fernwood's and Victoria's needs.

A project which might have been received with great celebration has left many of us deeply mistrustful of the process. This needn't have been the case if the process had been open and transparent. As I've indicated, this has not been true for the project date.

to

I have no doubt this project will go through. The City, School District #61, and the CRHC have made it clear that they are behind it. They've spent way too may hours cobbling this together to let it fail now.

I ask you: SLOW DOWN. THINK AGAIN.

Think with the broad scope necessary to strengthen and enliven our communities at all levels. Think about the issues of real affordability, densification and its impacts, as well as true citizen consultation.

I ask you to prove us wrong.

Respectfully,

Dorothy Field 1560 Gladstone Avenue Fernwood, Victoria From: gabriel gaultier Sent: June 30, 2020 5:35 PM To: Leanne Taylor <<u>ltaylor@victoria.ca</u>> Subject: Rezoning Application REZ00715

Attn: Leanne Taylor

C/o: The City of Victoria

Re: Rezoning Application REZ00715

As the City is requesting feedback, please accept this input regarding the proposed rezoning application to a portion of the Fernwood community currently consisting of 1235 Caledonia Ave, 1211 Gladstone Ave, 1230 Grant St, 1219 Vining St, and 1218/1219/1220/1226 North Park St.

In short, we are in support of building and managing safe, affordable, inclusive, and structured housing to support our economy and those in need of under market and/or subsidised housing, however; we are adamantly opposed to the proposed rezoning application.

- 1. Make it work with existing zoning. We have been to the community meetings put on by the Capital Regional Housing Commission and there was overwhelming concern with the significant increase in density in this one pocket of our community. People want to support the housing initiative, but on a more reasonable scale. Yes, there is underutilized land, but it is in a residential neighbourhood, with existing zoning that was prepared and agreed upon by community members in the Official Community Plan, so any existing zoning should be respected and adhered to. The current zoning limitations would allow for 78 units, so the request to more than double this with 154 unit density is quite frankly outrageous. We would like to recommend that the proposal come back to the community with a much more reasonable revised plan that can meet the concerns of the citizens while still working toward achieving the shared vision of affordable housing.
- 2. Approving zoning for projects that are driven by government initiatives (i.e. the Capital Regional District's jointly funded Regional Housing First Program) will be construed as favouritism. This change in zoning with set a precedent and be the catalyst for

additional rezoning applications to our already densely populated neighbourhood. Unless the residents in the community come together to revise the community plan in favour of this type of rezoning in our community, we do not believe it should be up to the government to be able to overturn such community interests and beliefs for the sake of benefiting an initiative in which they are directly benefiting from.

- 3. We don't have the facilities to accommodate the influx of this magnitude. Another common voice of concern at these community input meetings was how will we support these new community members if we don't currently have enough services to support the existing ones? There is especially a concern for the services for young children and families. The two existing childcare facilities have nearly two year waiting lists and George Jay Elementary is exceeding capacity and can't serve the existing population of the surrounding neighbourhoods. Changing the zoning, not only impacts existing families that require these services, but it also limits options for the proposed new residents who may have no options to look outside their community for this type of support. The rezoning application letter addressed to council in September 2019 mentions that this project addresses the OCP objective of ensuring "residents can enjoy convenient access to basic needs, community parks and amenities" and this is not the case.
- 4. The project planning team has not addressed existing public consultation concerns. If rezoning is the last step before development approval, than the CRHC needs to take a step (or two) back to first address existing concerns. Although they claim that they are meeting the OCP plan by "actively engaging citizens and community stakeholders and valuing and respecting their contributions", to many, they have not attempted to meet this need. Some examples are in relation to reducing the overall density, coming back with a plan that limits the number of stories from 5 to 4, creating social and community spaces that can facilitate services and or provide amenities to a broader community base, providing significant traffic calming measures on particularly on the already challenging Caledonia and Chambers streets, and

The best intentions of affordable housing should not overshadow existing community plans and neighbourhood concerns. Residents have repeatedly expressed concerns on traffic, density, services, and changes to zoning and although it seems like the CRHC has heard these concerns, they are not coming back with any significant or meaningful changes to their plans. From speaking with many neighbours in the community, the consensus is that the CRHC doesn't feel much of a need to drastically change their plans, as they already have the support from the City of Victoria and School District 61. What is the point of public engagement and consultation, if it

is only seen as a façade falling on deaf ears to push through a plan that was already destined to be approved? Hopefully this letter will help those involved in this project reflect on that and come back to the community with our concerns taken more seriously by way of drastic revisions and more in depth holistic partnerships to gain the trust and support of our resilient community.

From: Peter Renner < > > Sent: June 5, 2020 5:00 PM To: Leanne Taylor <<u>Itaylor@victoria.ca</u>> Subject: Proposed development at 1211 Gladstone Avenue

I support the development of affordable housing and wish to raise two initial concerns.

1. Density. The letter states that the Urban Residential designation goes to 1.2:1, yet the proposal is for an overall density of 1.29:1. Which is to be?

2. Natural spaces. According to the Parks and Open Spaces Master Plan (2017), this city "supports health and wellness for all". An article in *Children, Youth and Environments* reinforces this stance by stating that in our "rapidly urbanizing environment, nearby, accessible natural spaces allow children to interact daily with nature, resulting in physical, cognitive, psychological and social health benefits" <u>10.7721/chilyoutenvi.22.2.0164</u>. Unfortunately, the proposal omits any mention of open/green/play space for 158 families and their children. Two nearby parks, Stevenson and Haegart, won't meet their legitimate needs.

Submitted by Peter Renner Owner, 1140 Grant Street From: Hope Hickli **Sent:** June 6, 2020 7:48 PM To: Leanne Taylor <u><|taylor@victoria.ca></u> Subject: Re: 1230 Grant Street, etc. multi-unit residential building

Hi,

I am a homeowner on Spring Road in Fernwood. I'd just like to offer my voice of support for this development. We need more affordable housing in this city, and more density as well.

Assuming all the units are affordable (which, from what I could see, they are), I am in favour.

Thanks! Hope Hickli From: Joanna Pettit < Sector 2000 Sent: June 6, 2020 4:38 PM To: Leanne Taylor < <u>ltaylor@victoria.ca</u>> Subject: Feedback on proposal to amend the OCP (Caledonia Project)

Please reconsider the plans for this proposed four and five-storey development. It is not in the best interests of the neighbourhood because of the height and the density adjacent to Victoria High School. The proposal includes four and five storey buildings in an area of one and two story homes. While I don't understand the meaning of the density ratios in the letter I received, I do know that 158 dwelling units is far too dense for this area and will irrevocably change the feeling of this neighbourhood. As residents of Yukon Street we are concerned about the traffic resulting from such density. Not to mention the looming facade of a five storey building across Grant Street.

We support affordable and below-market housing, but we do not want to see four and five storey buildings on this piece of land. Please revise this proposal to be in keeping keeping with the neighbourhood plan.

Sincerely, Joanna Pettit 1221 Yukon Street Vlctoria BC V8T 1B6 From: Paul Crozier Smith < Sent: June 6, 2020 2:14 PM To: Leanne Taylor <<u>ltaylor@victoria.ca</u>> Subject: Fairy Tech deveolpment in Fernwood area

Linda Taylor:

The only objection I have to the development is the height. Five storeys (sp?) is too high! Three is more in keepingwith the buildings in the area.

Paul Crozier Smith

1148 Balmoral Rd.

From: zebraplus

Sent: June 6, 2020 1:05 PM To: Leanne Taylor <<u>ltaylor@victoria.ca</u>> Subject: Development concerns at 1211 Gladstone ave.

Dear Leanne,

I reside at Cook and Caledonia. I am a realtor with over 15 years of experience. I came up from a poor family that used to live exactly in the type of development that is proposed to be build at 1211 Gladstine. I am against this development because it is not the right place for it. It is best used to place a public facility such as new crystal pool that require substantial size of land to develop. The development that is proposed will assist individuals with lower-income. This is a great idea, but a bad spot for it. As mentioned above when I was growing up and lived in Montreal and such a development, even though, it was beneficial financially, people hated residing there and were trying to get out as fast as they could. When you focus multiple buildings in a development around low income residence, it create a stigmas of assumed bad nature individuals living there, it assumes crime and drug use for every member of that community. The best solution to it is when you scatter such buildings through the city so that they do not stand out, so no stigma, and still serve their purpose of helping individuals with lower-income. Even better solution that I have seen in other countries, is when developers are required to provide a certain percentage of units to a low-income individuals not to be singled out as being worse off financially or otherwise different then others.

You may wonder, why aforesaid stigmas and being singled out are important. This is the basis for being bullied at school, being denied employment and other benefits when employers will see where the candidate is residing. At the beginning of the project people will love living there, but after 4 years or so, because the world around reacts to people in such development with prejudice, stigmas are born , people start to be ashamed to live in the environment they're in, good people start to move out, leaving vacancy for more of crime oriented individuals to move in.

In few years, this development will become a problem, instead of the benefit it is being proposed for. As I have seen from personal experience, and you most likely aware, many individuals with financial needs tend to be substance users, who will quilify to reside at the propsed development. One quick way for such individuals to make money is to remix a dose and split it then sell it. Now, the fact that a high school is full of vulnerable kids and it is NEXT door, makes it a sweet distribution opportunity. I apologize for creating a negative light for this development. Most people see optimistic opportunities for such developments, while silencing concerns. I see the true and potential outcomes based on what I see around the city and my personal life experience.

As I mentioned above, being the next door resident to the development, I am completely and absolutely against it. It will have a better use for new crystal pool or another public facility.

Thank you

Yuri King

--Sent from myMail for Android From: Rena Sent: June 9, 2020 11:30 AM To: Leanne Taylor <<u>ltaylor@victoria.ca</u>> Subject: 1211 Gladstone Ave. Rezoning No. 00715

I have been a resident of Fernwood and a close neighbour of the properties under discussion for over 40 years. I have a number of concerns about the development, which are listed below.

Along with many other community members, I am concerned by the size and scale of this project. More than 150 units are being planned, of which only 20%, or 32 units, are designated as low income housing. Since 18 households were demovicted from the property, this will provide only 14 new subsidized units on this property. While all 158 units are intended for people with "low to moderate incomes", this is defined as 50% with household income up to \$64,000 and 30% with income up to \$74,000. According to the Victoria Foundation, Victoria's median income is closer to \$45,000.

Adding this level of density to the neighbourhood, with a very low proportion of new subsidized units, will put pressure on social amenities, especially for seniors. Traffic on Chambers Street will be dramatically increased. Pressure for increasing density will be intensified by approval of this project - for example, the project at the corner of Chambers and North Park, which Allan Lowe has suggested may be upscaled after this project goes ahead.

I also have strong objections, shared by many community members, to using land designated for public education to build housing which will benefit few low income residents.

There was no public consultation or dialogue prior to the announcement received this week. At an information session last year, representatives of the various entities could not respond to questions posed, e.g. around traffic mitigation, and presented misleading drawings, e.g. depicting a paved fire lane as a narrow grassy path between tall trees.

I object to perceived conflicts of interest between the Capital Region Housing Corporation and the Victoria Council.

I object to any amendment of the OCP to accommodate this plan, especially the five story building.

I await the public hearing.

Rena Miller

From: Quinn Yu < Sector 2010:50 AM Sent: June 10, 2020 10:50 AM To: Leanne Taylor <<u>ltaylor@victoria.ca</u>> Subject: 1211 Gladstone Avenue - Rezoning Application

Hi Leanne,

Thanks for your letter dated June 2, 2020 regarding the proposed official community plan amendment for the 1211 Gladstone Avenue corridor. As a resident on the adjacent Caledonia Avenue and an active community member, I appreciate the opportunity to provide some feedback and thoughts.

1) Based on the documents on the <u>www.victoria.ca/devtracker</u>, I see 114 parking spots and required, and 117 parking spots are provided. As you likely know, the neighbouring roads and properties are primarily designated multi-family residential with multiple cars per household. This creates quite a bit of pressure on parking, and I don't believe 114 parking spots is sufficient for a 158 dwelling unit. Unless the City intends to use public policy and municipal parking rules to enforce parking matters, the disconnect between dwelling units and parking spots inherently creates a pressure on parking in the neighbouring areas.

2) I support the concept of affordable and below-market rental dwelling units. As your letter notes, the OCP originally identified these properties are public facilities, institutions, parks/open spaces; is there no way to provide affordable rental units alongside public facilities/institutions/parks? Perhaps mixed-use to a certain extent? I am concerned the City is valuing residential units over spaces for recreational, institutional, and educational. I encourage the City to be future-oriented and consider the impacts of building only residential dwellings with no spaces for other use. The City of Richmond and the City of Surrey are both good examples and jurisdictions to research should your team seek some examples of mixed-use developments that have had a significant impact to positively growing a community. In particular, the City of Richmond's mixed-use development.

3) Can you advise how the 158 dwelling units will be managed? For example, will there be an onsite manager who will help enforce rules and manage the occupants? Is this something the City is willing to require as part of the development approval? There are significant implications to having an unmanaged development of this size - especially in an already crowded space where everyone is sharing the air and the roads.

4) Are there any considerations the City has during the construction phase? For example, when heavy machinery and equipment is transported, there is damage caused to the roads and private property. This was very apparent during the 1008 Pandora Avenue construction, where Pandora Avenue and Vancouver Street had significant concrete damage to the public roadways. I note those roads are still not repaired at this time. Perhaps the City can require the developer to repave the designated road intended to bring supplies in and out?

Let me know if you'd like further clarification or have any questions on my feedback and thoughts.

Thanks, Quinn -----Original Message-----From: Terrence Leah < Sent: June 16, 2020 1:47 PM To: Leanne Taylor <<u>Itaylor@victoria.ca</u>> Subject: Re: Rezoning No.00715

Thank you. From what you have said the start date has not yet been confirmed? Could you please confirm you do not have a planned start date and if you do, what is it? What's planned there is a bad very bad idea because of what's going to happen with the traffic and parking. There's already so many non residents taking up spots on Caledonia even right now at this moment.Caledonia is going to be like the Indy 500. You yes you are ruining the neighborhood. How would you and the elected like this past your front door. If you look in the rental adds you would see there is no longer a rental shortage due to the Airbnb situation. There is obviously money being exchanged here. I went to a city meeting on it where the residents tried to voice their concerns and it was like talking to the wall. The mayor was late, and busy texting, so were half the other officials. It's like the tax paying workers no longer matter. Any resident who tried to say a valid point was shut right down. It was pretty obvious who was to financially gain from this project. It's so frustrating to see council so out of touch with how they are affecting the people who work so hard to pay the way for those who feel entitled just because.

Hi Leanne,

I am opposed to the change to the bylaw.

Have a happy Canada Day!

Best regards,

Jeff Dean 1216 Pembroke st. Victoria, B.C. V8T 1J8 Response to City of Victoria, regarding the OCP amendment for the upcoming Caledonia Project Attention: Leanne Taylor, Senior Planner June 19, 2020

Writing from the perspective of Fernwood residents for the past 17 years, senior, and strata owners, we have mixed feelings about the upcoming changes to our neighbourhood. This appears to be a fairly significant change to the OCP, that could have future ramifications on development in Fernwood. We do support an increase in affordable housing opportunities for Victoria. It has been an area that has been neglected for many years. However, this project seems to be pushing the limits of density and urbanization, that could change our neighbourhood from what we all enjoy about living here, a sense of slower pace, a residential feel, less dense than the downtown, and easy access to interesting amenities, and a balance between urban and community feel.

We are not professional urban planners, but the significant increase in the density ratio and the change from residential housing to urban housing is unsettling. The proposed project seems more fitted for downtown than it does for our residential neighbourhood. Our concern is that this will open the doors to more urban development in Fernwood, continued higher density development, and permanently change the ambience, character, and neighbourhood feeling that we have here. We would be more comfortable with a smaller project, that created less density, and created additional green space (something we saw very little of in the recent downtown development process). The development seems to be trying to pack as many homes into the space as possible. We would also be good with leaving some land to the school district for future needs.

For us it will probably be a loss of quality of life. For the City of Victoria and for prospective renters it could be considered a win/gain, as the City sees an increase in affordable housing as an important agenda item. It looks like Fernwood will be becoming an extension of the downtown, something many of the residents would not be happy about.

Over the years living here, we have seen some very positive changes in Fernwood. ...and we would hope that can continue for future residents as well as the current ones. It has become a safer neighbourhood, an increase in better amenities, a younger demographic of residents, upgrades in property, and less party and drug houses. This project may be a tipping point where we begin to see a reversal in quality of life...Why not try to move ahead with smaller steps? Allowing the residents time to absorb and assess the changes. Rather than go full steam ahead and hope for the best. I think it stands a better chance of success if the project were a smaller footprint.

On another note, after walking by or through Spring Common every day for many years, I can say that this property is highly underused and needs a re- think or re-design. It virtually has almost no community use or activity. It would be better off as a simple green space or park.

Josh and Nan Keller Chamber St Victoria I am pleased to see we are following UN's Agenda 21, which encourages the use of the same language and catch phrases in all urban planning meetings throughout Canada, the U.S. and Europe and has been extremely helpful in crafting this rezoning proposal.

It is important to reduce green space in the inner city, to increase vehicle traffic on narrow streets, to increase density by decreasing living space within housing units, to create larger, more anonymous communities, all affecting mental health and in particular, to ignore or manipulate OCP's, rendering them meaningless.

I understand that although no similar initiatives have previously reduced housing prices in the Capital Region, it is still beneficial to make that assertion.

With all this in mind, as an affected property owner, I support the proposed changes to the Grant/North Park/Vining/Gladstone/Caledonia Avenue development.

Alyson Culbert Chambers Street North Park Street

Please do not at any time or in any place record or relate the last paragraph, without including all other paragraphs for context.

-----Original Message-----From: m knowles Sent: June 27, 2020 4:11 PM To: Leanne Taylor <<u>Itaylor@victoria.ca</u>> Subject: 1211 Gladstone Ave et al proposal

Hi Leanne

I have lived in the Wedgewood Estates apartment building on Chambers (between Pandora and Balmoral) for the past 5 years, having living in Oak Bay for 22 years prior to that. I am responding to the notice dated June 2, 2020 that I received from the City of Victoria regarding the proposed Official Community Plan amendment for 1211 Gladstone Ave. et al.

I am adding my comments to the summarized comments that were received through public consultation (under '2.1- CALUC Meeting & Open House') of the "Caledonia Rezoning Application" package dated September 2019.

I understand that this proposal has been the dream of many people in Fernwood for some time. I am not opposed to the development itself, but am concerned that the addition of 158 units in an area of single family homes all at once could have a significant effect on the neighbourhood. I notice that there is also a proposal to add an additional 21 units of multi-family units at the corner of Chambers and North Park St. that will further affect the neighbourhood. The cumulative effect of these additions over a short period of time, could be very disruptive.

I was happy to see that a proposed 5 storey building, which would be out of scale for the neighbourhood is now proposed to be only 4 storeys, which is more in keeping with the apartments further up Chambers Street. I hope that is still the case. It is also important that the new buildings do not affect the community gardens at North Park and Chambers.

My main concern is the potential effect of the addition of all these units on the traffic in this area, particularly on Chambers Street, which already has problems with the current population, not too mention the fact of traffic coming from Pandora to cut over to Caledonia. It's a bit of a rabbit warren with one-way streets, dead-end streets, and narrow roads. There is often no more than one-lane of traffic right now on Chambers, depending on where cars are parked or if there are large trucks, such as recycling, on the road.

I predict that there will be congestion at Caledonia and Chambers where vehicles will be accessing one of the underground parking garages in the new development. One of the diagrams shows egress to Cook Street via North Park and Grant as well, but that is more fiction than fact. Grant is really no more than a lane with very limited two-way traffic, and Cook Street is already congested during the day. Caldonia and Vining running east off of Chambers are more lanes than streets. The second parking garage exits onto Grant Street, which is also narrow, as well as being adjacent to Victoria High School. This will lead to more traffic on Fernwood off Grant, another potential bottleneck.

Parking will also be an issue, given the reduced number of parking spaces for the proposed units for both the developments noted above. It is true that the area has a high walkability score, but the fact remains that most households have at least one car in order to travel effectively within the greater Victoria area. With the loss of Wellburns, I use a car to get my groceries as I do not enjoy walking to the new Save-On Foods Store on Vancouver and Pandora. The shops on Yates street are too far for me to walk to.

Our transit system does not work for everyone and not everyone can ride a bike, let alone use one to commute to work or do all their errands. I can see the bike lanes on Pandora east of Cook Street from my apartment and they are not well used, despite having been there for many years. I rarely see more than one cyclist at a time.

There may be a proposal to have a car-share available for residents. If so, that would help.

Parking in this area of Fernwood is at a premium. There is very little street parking available for visitors, and the parking that is marked "Residential" is generally fully occupied.

Wedgewood Estates has 60 units over 4 floors. There are 55 parking spots behind the building, 3 of which are designated for Visitors. The other spots are always fully occupied despite the fact that a number of residents use bicycles as their only mode of transport. The parking lot can be accessed from Pandora and also Balmoral.

Another concern is the impact of where workers will park during construction of the Gladstone development, especially if upgrades to Victoria High School are going on at the same time, since there isn't street parking available. That could really upset neighbours - especially if the construction is drawn out, like a number of projects nearby on Johnson St.

There has been a 6-storey condo being built at Johnson and Chambers over the last few years. I no longer try to access Johnson Street during the week, as I have had too many near misses from trying to turn left from Chambers. There are either trucks or garbage bins obstructing the view west on Johnson. I now go east on Balmoral, cross Camosun gingerly, as there are always vehicles parked on that street, and go up to Fernwood, in order to head SE from my apartment.

If I am heading NE, I go north along Chambers winding my way to Fernwood Road, since Chambers does not go through directly to Bay.

Finally, I could find no mention of how the proposed development and the loss of part of the parking lot off Gladstone might affect the operation of the Belfry Theatre on Gladstone and Fernwood. True, it is not a direct neighbour, but it certainly has been a vital part of Fernwood for over 40 years. It needs parking for its patrons, who come from far and wide, to not only enjoy the theatre, but also patronize the restaurants, cafes and pubs in the area. I wonder if they have ever been consulted.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide some feedback.

Sincerely, Marcia Knowles Attention: Leanne Taylor, Senior Planner

Regarding: OCP Amendment for 120 Grant Street/ 1209, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street/ 1219 Vining Street/ 1235 Caledonia Avenue/ 1211 Gladstone Avenue

Dear Ms. Taylor,

I am writing in regard to the request for OCP Amendment associated with the development at the location specified above. I am a neighbour living at 1220 Pandora Avenue. My apartment building is located between Pandora and Balmoral Avenues, one block from the development site.

I have concerns about this project and as a neighbour do not support the request for OCP Amendment. One of the reasons that the OCP exists is to protect the character and livability of the neighbourhood. I am deeply concerned by the precedent this would set for high density buildings greater than 4 storeys in our neighbourhood. Already there are many recent builds, recent applications, and recent planned developments that are high density, pushing at the boundaries of the residential areas in Fernwood.

What Fernwood needs more than anything is family dwellings. The current zoning for 1211 Gladstone Avenue and 1209-1215 North Park Street supports duplexes and attached dwellings. I would be in favour of converting the remaining properties, which are currently non-residential, to the Traditional Residential zoning to allow for the creation of more townhouses in the space. I would also support an OCP variance allowing three storeys for all townhouse units.

As someone who dwells in an apartment, I can tell you, it is hard to get to know your neighbours. It is hard to feel a part of something. People who need affordable housing are also in need of community connections. They need to be a part of the neighbourhood – to have homes that are integrated into the neighbourhood where they can walk among the existing streets, rather than living in a large structure with an internal courtyard that discourages people from wandering beyond the limits of their property. They need to be able to put down roots and feel like they belong. That begins with good design.

Housing is urgently needed. However, it is also essential to preserve the walkability, sight lines, accessibility, and serviceability of our community. And it is essential that we do not allow large scale developments to encroach on our neighbourhood simply because we are adjacent to downtown.

I hope that you will seriously consider the implications of the proposed OCP amendment in terms of:

- 1) What matters (more than just creating the largest number of units possible) is the quality of life you are enabling with housing. Gentler density will allow for more families, more personal and shared green space, and more integration with the existing dwellings.
- 2) The implications for over-development in Fernwood. Ultimately, this opens the door for future large developments which would not be affordable housing, making Fernwood even less accessible to future residents than it is now.

Thank you for your consideration.

Sincerely,

Dr. Kristin Atwood, PhD 403-1220 Pandora Avenue, Victoria BC, V8V 3R4

From: Nancy Sent: June 29, 2020 11:03 AM To: Leanne Taylor <u><ltaylor@victoria.ca></u> Subject: 1211 Gladstone

Dear Ms. Taylor,

I own the property at 1911 Chambers. I have reviewed the information on the proposed development and I have a few questions and comments. I found it very confusing to read and understand, so I hope you can clarify some of this for me.

First, my particular concerns. What will happen to the beautiful trees that currently back our property at 1911 Chambers and provide some visual screening and privacy? Will they be preserved? Replaced? I cannot see what is being done to give us some space/privacy from this massive development.

I cannot work out what happens at the end of Caledonia. There seems to be some kind of guardrail. Is there an entrance to an underground parking garage? If so, what is the expected traffic? How many parking spots are in that garage? I can't see that information.

I think the buildings themselves look very nice as proposed.

However, my real concern, which I have stated before, is that if this development goes ahead, you will be taking land away from a school and that land, once gone, can never be reclaimed. This is a school with a growing population and my understanding is that there was a promise to the neighbourhood that these lands would be preserved. It seems both short-sighted and unfair to the students who will attend Vic High that their school grounds should be given over to a housing development.

This development is quite literally in my back yard, so I hope you will take the time to help me understand what the impact will be.

Regards, Nancy Weatherley

NO. 21-064

A BYLAW OF THE CITY OF VICTORIA

The purposes of this Bylaw are to amend the Zoning Regulation Bylaw by creating the CD-17 Zone, Gladstone Comprehensive Development District, and to rezone land known as 1211 Gladstone Avenue from the R-K Zone, Medium Density Attached Dwelling District, to the CD-17 Zone, Gladstone Comprehensive Development District, and land known as 1230 Grant Street, 1209-1215, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, and 1235 Caledonia Avenue from the R-2 Zone, Two-Family Dwelling District, to the CD-17 Zone, Gladstone Comprehensive Development District.

The Council of The Corporation of the City of Victoria enacts the following provisions:

- 1 This Bylaw may be cited as the "ZONING REGULATION BYLAW, AMENDMENT BYLAW (NO. 1234)".
- 2 Bylaw No. 80-159, the Zoning Regulation Bylaw, is amended in the Table of Contents of Schedule "B" under the caption <u>PART 12 Comprehensive Development Zones</u> by adding the following words:

"12.17 CD-17, Gladstone Comprehensive Development District"

- 3 The Zoning Regulation Bylaw is also amended by adding to Schedule B after Part 12.16 the provisions contained in Schedule 1 of this Bylaw.
- 4 The following lands, which are shown hatched on the attached map, are removed from the R-2 Zone, Two-Family Dwelling District, and the R-K Zone, Medium Density Attached Dwelling District, and placed in the CD-17 Zone, Gladstone Comprehensive Development District:
 - a) 1230 Grant Street, 1209-1215, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, and 1235 Caledonia Avenue, legally described as:

PID: 005-002-443 Lot 4, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205
PID: 009-226-338 Lot 5, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205
PID: 009-226-290 Lot 6, Section 50, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205
PID: 009-226-265 Lot 7, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205
PID: 009-226-231 Lot 8, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205, except the northerly 56 feet thereof
PID: 009-226-257 The Northerly 56 feet of Lot 8, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205
PID: 009-226-214 Lot 9, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205
PID: 009-226-214 Lot 9, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205
PID: 017-710-545 Lot 18, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205.

b) 1211 Gladstone Avenue, legally described as:

PID: 018-007-503 Lot A, Section 53, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan VIP55528

5 The Zoning Regulation Bylaw is further amended by adding to Schedule N – Residential Rental Tenure Properties, the lands described in section 4(a) and (b).



READ A FIRST TIME the	day of	2021
READ A SECOND TIME the	day of	2021
Public hearing held on the	day of	2021
READ A THIRD TIME the	day of	2021
ADOPTED on the	day of	2021

CITY CLERK

MAYOR

PART 12.17 – CD-17 ZONE, GLADSTONE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

12.17.1 Permitted Uses in this Zone

The following uses are the only uses permitted in this Zone:

- a. uses permitted in the R-2 Zone, Two Family Dwelling District, subject to the regulations set out in Part 2.1 of the Zoning Regulation Bylaw, except <u>public building</u>, which is subject to the regulations in this Part.
- b. uses permitted in the R-K Zone, Medium Density Attached Dwelling District, subject to the regulations set out in Part 2.3 of the Zoning Regulation Bylaw, except <u>public building</u>, which is subject to the regulations in this Part.
- c. <u>multiple dwelling</u>, subject to the regulations in this Part.
- d. daycare.

12.17.2 Number of Buildings, Building Separation Distance

- a. Notwithstanding Section 19 of the General Regulations, more than one <u>building</u> is permitted on a <u>lot</u> subject to the regulations in this Part.
- b. No more than two <u>buildings</u> are permitted on a <u>lot</u>.
- c. Minimum separation distance between <u>buildings</u>, excluding steps, must be at least 9.8m.

12.17.3 Location of Uses

a. Public building and daycare uses are only permitted on the first storey of a multiple dwelling

12.17.4 Lot Area

a. Lot area (minimum)

8680m²

12.17.5 Floor Space Ratio, Floor Area	
a. Floor space ratio (maximum)	1.29:1
b. <u>Total floor area</u> (maximum)	11,200m ²

c. Combined floor <u>area</u> for <u>public building</u> and daycare 140m² (maximum)

Words that are underlined see definitions in Schedule "A" of the Zoning Regulation Bylaw

Schedule 1

PART 12.17 – CD-17 ZONE, GLADSTONE COMPREHENSIVE DEVELOPMENT DISTRICT

12.17	6 Height	
a.	Building height (maximum)	15m
	Except for the following:	
	i. a <u>building</u> or portion of a <u>building</u> within 89.58m of Gladstone Avenue (maximum)	11.25m
	 a <u>building</u> or portion of a <u>building</u> between 48.60m and 74.38m of Gladstone Avenue and within 16.05m of the west property line (maximum) 	9.5m
12.17	7 Setbacks	
a.	Gladstone Avenue <u>setback</u> (minimum)	7m
	Except for the following maximum projection into the setback:	
	i. Steps	1.46m
b.	Grant Street <u>setback</u> (minimum)	7m
C.	Side yard setback (east) (minimum)	2.50m
	Except for the following maximum projections into the setback:	
	i. Steps	1.50m
d.	Side yard setback (west) (minimum)	4m
12.17	8 Site Coverage, Open Site Space	
a.	Site Coverage (maximum)	57%
b.	Open site space (minimum)	40%
2.17	9 Vehicle and Bicycle Parking	
a.	Vehicle parking (minimum)	Subject to the regulations in
		Schedule "C"

[**NOTE:** Property located in this zone is subject to residential rental tenure – see Section 45 of the General Regulations and Schedule N.]

Words that are <u>underlined</u> see definitions in Schedule "A" of the Zoning Regulation Bylaw



NO. 21-065

A BYLAW OF THE CITY OF VICTORIA

The purpose of this Bylaw is to amend the Official Community Plan to change the urban place designations from Public Facilities, Institutions, Parks and Open Space to Urban Residential for the properties at 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1230 Grant Street, 1219 Vining Street, and 1235 Caledonia Avenue, and from Traditional Residential to Urban Residential for the properties at 1211 Gladstone Avenue and 1209-1215 North Park Street.

Under its statutory powers, including Division 4 of the *Local Government Act*, the Council of the Corporation of the City of Victoria, in an open meeting assembled, enacts the following provisions:

- 1 This Bylaw may be cited as the "OFFICIAL COMMUNITY PLAN BYLAW, 2012, AMENDMENT BYLAW (NO. 36)".
- 2 Bylaw No. 12-013, the Official Community Plan Bylaw, 2012, is amended as follows:
 - a) land known as 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1230 Grant Street, 1219 Vining Street, and 1235 Caledonia Avenue by changing its urban place designation from Public Facilities, Institutions, Parks and Open Space to Urban Residential;
 - b) land known as 1211 Gladstone Avenue and 1209-1215 North Park Street by changing its urban place designation from Traditional Residential to Urban Residential;
 - c) repealing Map 2 of section 6 and replacing it with the Map 2 attached to this bylaw as Schedule "A";
 - d) repealing Map 22 of section 21 and replacing it with the Map 22 attached to this bylaw as Schedule "B".

READ A FIRST TIME the	day of	2021
READ A SECOND TIME the	day of	2021
Public hearing held on the	day of	2021
READ A THIRD TIME the	day of	2021
ADOPTED on the	day of	2021

FRUYED AS TO CONTENT





MAP 22 Fernwood Strategic Directions

Urban Place Designations*



Public Facilities

- ▲ Existing Public School
- C Community Centre

*Urban Place Designations are provided for information purposes only. Please refer to Map 2 and Figure 8 for designation information.

NO. 21-066

HOUSING AGREEMENT (1230 GRANT STREET, 1209, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 NORTH PARK STREET, 1219 VINING STREET, 1235 CALEDONIA AVENUE AND 1211 GLADSTONE AVENUE) BYLAW A BYLAW OF THE CITY OF VICTORIA

The purpose of this Bylaw is to authorize an agreement for affordable and below market rental housing for the lands known as 1230 Grant Street, 1209, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 1235 Caledonia Avenue and 1211 Gladstone Avenue, Victoria, BC.

Under its statutory powers, including section 483 of the *Local Government Act*, the Council of The Corporation of the City of Victoria in an open meeting enacts the following provisions:

Title

1 This Bylaw may be cited as the "HOUSING AGREEMENT (1230 GRANT STREET, 1209, 1218, 1219, 1220 AND 1226 NORTH PARK STREET, 1219 VINING STREET, 1235 CALEDONIA AVENUE AND 1211 GLADSTONE AVENUE) BYLAW (2021)".

Definitions

2 **"Development**" has the meaning ascribed to that term in the housing agreement attached to this bylaw at Schedule A.

Agreement authorized

- 3 Subject to the Development receiving the necessary funding approvals from BC Housing within one year of the date of adoption of this bylaw, the Director of Sustainable Planning and Community Development is authorized to execute the housing agreement:
 - (a) substantially in the form attached to this bylaw as Schedule A;
 - (b) between the City and The Board of Education of School District No. 61 (Greater Victoria), the City, Provincial Rental Housing Corporation, or other registered owners from time to time of the lands described in subsection (c); and
 - (c) that applies to the lands known as 1230 Grant Street, 1209, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 1235 Caledonia Avenue and 1211 Gladstone Avenue, Victoria BC, legally described as:
 - i. PID: 018-007-503 Lot A, Section 53, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan VIP55528
 - ii. PID: 005-002-443 Lot 4, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205
 - iii. PID: 009-226-338 Lot 5, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205
 - iv. PID: 009-226-290 Lot 6, Section 50, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205
 - v. PID: 009-226-265 Lot 7, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205
 - vi. PID: 009-226-231 Lot 8, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205, except the northerly 56 feet thereof
 - vii. PID: 009-226-257 The Northerly 56 feet of Lot 8, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205


viii.	PID: 009-226-214 Lot 9, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205
ix.	PID: 017-710-545 Lot 18, Spring Ridge, Victoria City, Plan 205.

READ A FIRST TIME the	day of	2021
READ A SECOND TIME the	day of	2021
READ A THIRD TIME the	day of	2021
ADOPTED on the	day of	2021

CITY CLERK

MAYOR

HOUSING AGREEMENT (Pursuant to section 483 of the *Local Government Act*)

BETWEEN:

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF VICTORIA 1 Centennial Square Victoria, BC V8W 1P6

(the "City")

AND:

THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 61 (GREATER VICTORIA) 556 Boleskine Road, Victoria, BC V8Z 1E8 (the "Board")

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF VICTORIA 1 Centennial Square, Victoria, BC V8W 1P6 (the "City")

PROVINCIAL RENTAL HOUSING CORPORATION, INC. NO. 52129 1701 - 4555 Kingsway, Burnaby, BC V5H 4V8 ("PRHC")

(the Board, the City and PRHC are herein collectively called the "Owners" and individually, an "Owner")

WHEREAS:

- A. Capitalized terms used herein will have the respective meanings ascribed to them in section 1.1 of this Agreement, unless the context otherwise clearly requires or they are elsewhere defined herein.
- B. Under section 483 of the *Local Government Act* the City may, by bylaw, enter into a housing agreement with an owner regarding the occupancy of the housing units identified in the agreement, including but not limited to terms and conditions referred to in section 483(2) of the *Local Government Act*.
- C. Lands in the City of Victoria, British Columbia, with civic addresses of 1211 Gladstone Avenue, 1235 Caledonia Avenue, 1209, 1218, 1219, 1220 and 1226 North Park Street, 1219 Vining Street, 1235 Caledonia Avenue and 1230 Grant Street Victoria, B.C. are legally and beneficially owned and legally described as follows:
 - PRHC is registered owner of:
 (a) PID: 005-002-443; LOT 4, SPRING RIDGE, VICTORIA CITY, PLAN 205;
 - 2) The Board is registered owner of:
 - (a) PID: 009-226-338; LOT 5, SPRING RIDGE, VICTORIA CITY, PLAN 205;
 - (b) PID: 018-007-503; LOT A, SECTION 53, SPRING RIDGE, VICTORIA CITY, PLAN VIP55528;
 - (c) PID: 009-226-214; LOT 9, SPRING RIDGE, VICTORIA CITY, PLAN 205;
 - (d) PID: 009-226-231; LOT 8, SPRING RIDGE, VICTORIA CITY, PLAN 205, EXCEPT THE NORTHERLY 56 FEET THEREOF;

- (e) PID: 009-226-257; THE NORTHERLY 56 FEET OF LOT 8, SPRING RIDGE, VICTORIA CITY, PLAN 205; and
- (f) PID: 009-226-265; LOT 7, SPRING RIDGE, VICTORIA CITY, PLAN 205; and
- 3) The City is registered owner of:
 - (a) PID: 009-226-290; LOT 6, SECTION 50, SPRING RIDGE, VICTORIA CITY, PLAN 205
 - (b) PID: 017-710-545; LOT 18, SPRING RIDGE, VICTORIA CITY, PLAN 205

(together referred to as the "Lands").

- D. The Owners have granted to the Capital Region Housing Corporation (**CRHC**) the right to apply to the City for an amendment to the City's Zoning Regulation Bylaw No. 80-159 in relation to the Lands.
- E. Subject to the fulfilment of certain conditions, including the adoption of a rezoning bylaw, PRHC and the City intend to transfer to the Board the Lands they own, and the Board intends to consolidate the Lands and lease the Lands (the "Lease") to CRHC, and CRHC intends to construct the Development on the Lands and operate the Dwelling Units as affordable or below-market rental residential rental units, as described herein.
- F. The City and the Owners wish to enter into this Agreement, as a housing agreement pursuant to section 483 of the *Local Government Act*, to secure the agreement of the Owners that all Dwelling Units on the Lands will be used and held only as affordable or below-market rental housing.

NOW THIS AGREEMENT WITNESSES that pursuant to section 483 of the *Local Government Act*, and in consideration of the premises and covenants contained in this agreement (the "**Agreement**"), the parties agree each with the other as follows:

1.0 DEFINITIONS

1.1 In this Agreement:

"Affordable Rent" means a rent level that does not exceed 30% of the respective Median Household Income Limit for studio, one, two, or three bedroom Dwelling Units;

"Below-Market Rent" means a rent level higher than Affordable Rent but below Market Rent;

"Business Day" means Monday to Friday, other than any such day which is a statutory holiday in Victoria, British Columbia;

"Development" means the new multi-unit residential buildings to be constructed on the Lands consisting of approximately 158 residential units, as authorized and contemplated by the rezoning bylaw and all permits issued by the City in respect thereof;

"Director" means the City's Director of Sustainable Planning and Community Development or their authorized nominee;

"Dwelling Units" means any or all, as the context may require, of an anticipated 158 selfcontained residential dwelling units within the Development and includes any dwelling unit that is developed on the Lands during the term of this Agreement, whether as part of the Development or otherwise, and "**Dwelling Unit**" means any of such residential dwelling units located on the Lands;

"Immediate Family" includes a person's spouse, partner, child, grandchild, parent, grandparent, sibling, niece and nephew, and includes the Immediate Family of the person's spouse;

"Income" means the total income before tax from all sources for each tenant;

"Land Title Act" means the Land Title Act, R.S.B.C. 1996, c.250;

"Lands" means that certain parcel of land described in Recital C, and includes any parcel into which some or all of such land is consolidated or subdivided;

"Local Government Act" means the Local Government Act, R.S.B.C. 2015, c. 1;

"Market Rent" means the rent (as determined by a professional appraiser acceptable to the City in the City's sole and absolute discretion) that a willing tenant would pay to a willing landlord to rent the Dwelling Unit in question pursuant to a Tenancy Agreement, on the open market in Victoria, British Columbia, having regard to any utility or other services or amenities available to the tenant or provided by the Owner as landlord;

"Median Gross Annual Household Income" means the median gross annual household Income for renters in the City of Victoria, as reported in Statistics Canada's most recent census data, and in the event that Statistics Canada no longer reports median gross annual household income for renters in the City, the median total income of households in the City of Victoria as reported in Statistics Canada's most recent census data will be used instead;

"Median Household Income Limit" means the maximum annual collective household income for a Dwelling Unit, as determined by making the following calculations and rounding the result to the nearest ten:

- (a) for studio Dwelling Units, Median Gross Annual Household Income x 0.7925, which, for reference purposes only, equated to \$35,000 in 2015,
- (b) for one-bedroom Dwelling Units, Median Gross Annual Household Income x 0.9510, which, for reference purposes only, equated to \$42,000 in 2015,
- (c) for two-bedroom Dwelling Units, Median Gross Annual Household Income x 1.1774, which, for reference purposes only, equated to \$52,000 in 2015, and
- (d) for three and four-bedroom Dwelling Units, Median Gross Annual Household Income x 1.5850, which, for reference purposes only, equated to \$70,000 in 2015;

"Non-owner" means a person other than a Related Person or the Owner;

"**Owner**" includes a person who acquires an interest in the Lands or any part of the Lands or the Development and is thereby bound by this Agreement, as referred to in section 10.3;

"Related Person" includes, where the registered or beneficial owner of the Lands or Dwelling Unit, as applicable, is:

- (a) a corporation or society:
 - an officer, director, shareholder, or member of such corporation or society, or of another entity which is a shareholder or member of such corporation or society; or
 - (ii) an Immediate Family of a person to whom paragraph (i) applies, or
- (b) an individual, an Immediate Family of the registered or beneficial owner;

"Tenancy Agreement" means an agreement, lease, licence, or other right of a Nonowner to occupy a residential unit;

"Strata Plan" means a strata plan filed in respect of the Lands or any subdivided portion thereof pursuant to the *Strata Property Act*;

"Strata Property Act" means the Strata Property Act SBC 1998 c. 43;

"Subdivided Parcel" has the meaning ascribed to that term in section 5.2; and

"**Term**" means 60 years, beginning on the date the City issues a final occupancy permit for the Development.

2.0 DWELLING UNITS TO BE RENTED AND USED ONLY AS RENTAL UNITS

2.1 Each Owner covenants and agrees that the Dwelling Units on any of the Lands owned by that Owner shall only be used as rental housing during the Term, and for that purpose shall only be occupied by a Non-owner under the terms of a Tenancy Agreement between the Owner who owns the respective parcel of Lands and the Non-owner who occupies the Dwelling Unit.

3.0 DWELLING UNITS TO BE RENTED AT AFFORDABLE OR BELOW-MARKET RENTAL RATES

3.1 Each Owner covenants and agrees during the Term, that the Dwelling Units on any of the Lands owned by that Owner shall only be rented at Affordable Rent levels or Below-Market Rent levels in accordance with this Agreement.

4.0 NO RESTRICTIONS ON RENTALS

- **4.1** Each Owner covenants and agrees that the Owner shall not take any steps, or enter into any agreements, or impose any rules or regulations whatsoever, the effect of which would be to prevent or restrict the Owner of a Dwelling Unit on any of the Lands owned by that Owner from renting that Dwelling Unit to a Non-owner under the terms of a Tenancy Agreement.
- **4.2** Without limiting the generality of section 4.1, each Owner covenants and agrees that it will not make application to deposit a Strata Plan for or in respect of any of the Lands the

Owner owns or a building on those Lands unless the strata bylaws in no way restrict rental of any Dwelling Unit to a Non-owner under the terms of a Tenancy Agreement.

5.0 SUBDIVISION

- **5.1** Subdivision Generally. If the Lands are subdivided at any time hereafter either under the provisions of the *Land Title Act* or under the *Strata Property Act*, or under other similar legislation enacted from time to time, then upon the deposit of a plan of subdivision, a Strata Plan, or similar plan as the case may be, and subject to section 5.2:
 - the rights and benefits of this Agreement herein granted will be annexed to and run with each of the new parcels, lots or other subdivided parcels and areas so created; and
 - (b) the burdens, obligations, agreements and covenants contained in this Agreement will continue to be noted on each of the new parcels, lots or other subdivided parcels and areas so created.
- 5.2 Release of Notice. For certainty, if the portion of the Lands containing the Development is subdivided and any of the parcels created as a result of such subdivision do not contain any of the Dwelling Units (the "Subdivided Parcel"), then the Owner or Owners of such Subdivided Parcel may apply to the City to release the Notice (as defined in section 7.1) from title to the Subdivided Parcel and the City agrees to execute and deliver a release of this Housing Agreement from title to the Subdivided Parcel, provided however that: (a) the City will have no obligation to execute any such release until a written request therefor from the owner or owners of the Subdivided Parcel has been received by the City, which request will include the form of release in registerable form; (b) the cost of preparation of such release and the cost of registration of same in the Land Title Office will be paid by the Owner or Owners requesting the release; and (c) the City will have a reasonable time within which to execute such release and return the same to the Owner or Owners for registration.

6.0 REPORTING

- **6.1** Each Owner covenants and agrees to provide to the City's Director of Sustainable Planning and Development, within thirty (30) days of the Director's written request, a report in writing confirming that:
 - (a) all Dwelling Units on any of the Lands owned by that Owner are being rented to Non-owners or are vacant,
 - (b) rent levels for the Dwelling Units on any of the Lands owned by that Owner are at Affordable Rent or Below-Market Rent levels; and
 - (c) all other requirements of this Agreement are being complied with by that Owner,

along with such other information as may be requested by the Director from time to time.

- **6.2** Each Owner hereby authorizes the City to make such inquiries as it considers necessary in order to confirm that the Owner is complying with this Agreement.
- 6.3 Appraised Market Rent. The Owners will determine, and inform the City of, the

appraised Market Rent for each Dwelling Unit on any of the Lands owned by that Owner:

- (a) prior to the City issuing a final occupancy permit for the Development; and
- (b) thereafter, at any other time that the City may reasonably request, provided that without reasonable cause, the City will not request an appraisal less than 12 months after the date of the last previous such appraisal.

7.0 NOTICE TO BE REGISTERED IN LAND TITLE OFFICE

7.1 Notice of this Agreement (the "Notice") will be registered in the Land Title Office by the City at the cost of the Owners in accordance with section 483 of the *Local Government Act*.

8.0 SUBLEASING AND ASSIGNMENT

8.1 An Owner will not consent to the assignment of an agreement relating to a Dwelling Unit or the subletting of a Dwelling Unit on any of the Lands owned by that Owner without the prior written consent of BC Housing if there is an operator agreement in force between BC Housing and the operator with respect to the Dwelling Unit to be assigned or subleased.

9.0 LIABILITY

- **9.1** Each Owner agrees to indemnify and save harmless the City and each of its elected and appointed officials, employees and agents and their respective administrators, successors and permitted assigns, of and from all claims, demands, actions, damages, costs and liabilities, which all or any of them shall or may be liable for or suffer or incur or be put to by reason of or arising out of failure of that Owner to comply with the terms and conditions of this Agreement, or otherwise that would not have arisen "but for" this Agreement.
- **9.2** Each Owner hereby releases and forever discharges the City and each of its elected and appointed officials, employees and agents and their respective administrators, successors and permitted assigns, of and from any and all claims, demands, actions, damages, economic loss, costs and liabilities which that Owner now has or hereafter may have with respect to or by reason of or arising out of the fact that the Lands are encumbered by and affected by this Agreement, or otherwise that would not have arisen "but for" this Agreement.

10.0 GENERAL PROVISIONS

- 10.1 NOTICE. If sent as follows, notice under this Agreement is considered to be received:
 - (a) seventy-two (72) hours after the time of its mailing (by registered mail),
 - (b) on the date of dispatch if delivered by email or fax before 5:00 pm on a regular Business Day, and otherwise on the next regular Business Day thereafter, and
 - (c) on the date of delivery if hand-delivered, and

in the case of the City, addressed to:

City of Victoria

1 Centennial Square Victoria, BC V8W 1P6

Attention: Director of Sustainable Planning and Community Development Fax: 250-361-0386 Email: <u>khoese@victoria.ca</u>

and in the case of the Board or PRHC, at their respective addresses first set out above, and in the case of CRHC, addressed to:

Capital Region Housing Corporation 631 Fisgard Street Victoria, BC V8W 1R7

Attention: Don Elliott, Senior Manager, Regional Housing Fax: 250 361 4970 Email: <u>delliott@crd.bc.ca</u>

If an Owner identifies alternate contact information in writing to the City, then notice is to be given in accordance with that alternate information.

If normal mail, email or facsimile service is interrupted by strike, work slowdown, force majeure, or other cause, then the party sending notice must use its best efforts to ensure prompt receipt of a notice by using other uninterrupted services, or by hand-delivering the notice.

- 10.2 TIME. Time is of the essence of this Agreement.
- **10.3 BINDING EFFECT.** This Agreement will enure to the benefit of and be binding upon the parties hereto and their respective successors and permitted assignees. In accordance with section 483(6) of the *Local Government Act*, this Agreement and all obligations hereunder is binding on all who acquire an interest in the Lands, and is binding on an Owner only during the Owner's ownership of any interest in the Lands, and with respect only to that portion of the Lands of which the Owner has an interest.
- **10.4** WAIVER. The waiver by a party of any failure on the part of another party to perform in accordance with any of the terms or conditions of this Agreement is not to be construed as a waiver of any future or continuing failure, whether similar or dissimilar.
- **10.5 HEADINGS.** The division of this Agreement into articles and sections and the insertion of headings are for the convenience of reference only and will not affect the construction or interpretation of this Agreement.
- **10.6** LANGUAGE. Words importing the singular number only will include the plural and vice versa, words importing the masculine gender will include the feminine and neuter genders and vice versa, and words importing persons will include individuals, partnerships, associations, trusts, unincorporated organizations and corporations and vice versa.
- 10.7 LEGISLATION. Reference to any enactment includes any regulations, orders or directives made under the authority of that enactment, and is a reference to that enactment

{00065901:2}

7

as consolidated, revised, amended, re-enacted or replaced, unless otherwise expressly provided.

- **10.8** EQUITABLE REMEDIES. Each Owner acknowledges and agrees that damages would be an inadequate remedy for the City for breach of this Agreement and that the public interest strongly favours specific performance, injunctive relief (mandatory or otherwise), or other equitable relief, as the only adequate remedy for a default under this Agreement.
- **10.9 CUMULATIVE REMEDIES.** No remedy under this Agreement is to be deemed exclusive but will, where possible, be cumulative with all other remedies at law or in equity.
- **10.10 FURTHER ASSURANCES.** Each of the parties will do, execute, and deliver, or cause to be done, executed, and delivered all such further acts, documents and things as may be reasonably required from time to time to give effect to this Agreement.
- **10.11 AMENDMENT.** This Agreement may be amended from time to time, by consent of the Owners and a bylaw duly passed by the Council of the City and thereafter if it is signed by the City and each Owner provided however, that each Owner acknowledges that it is within the City's sole discretion to consent or not to consent to modifications of this Agreement and that such consent may be withheld for any reason.
- **10.12** LAW APPLICABLE. This Agreement is to be construed in accordance with and governed by the laws applicable in the Province of British Columbia.
- 10.13 NO DEROGATION FROM STATUTORY AUTHORITY. Nothing in this Agreement shall:
 - (a) limit, impair, fetter or derogate from the statutory powers of the City all of which powers may be exercised by the City from time to time and at any time to the fullest extent that the City is enabled and no permissive bylaw enacted by the City, or permit, licence or approval, granted, made or issued thereunder, or pursuant to statute, by the City shall estop, limit or impair the City from relying upon and enforcing this Agreement; or
 - (b) relieve an Owner from complying with any enactment, including the City's bylaws, or any obligation of the Owner under any other agreement with the City.
- **10.14 SEVERABILITY.** If any section, term or provision of this Agreement is found to be partially or wholly illegal or unenforceable, then such sections or parts will be considered to be separate and severable from this Agreement and the remaining sections or parts of this Agreement, as the case may be, will be unaffected thereby and will remain and be enforceable to the fullest extent permitted by law as though the illegal or unenforceable parts or sections had never been included in this Agreement.
- **10.15** JOINT AND SEVERAL. If a party to this Agreement consists of more than one person, then each such person will be jointly and severally liable to perform that party's obligations under this Agreement.
- **10.16 COUNTERPARTS.** This Agreement may be executed in counterparts and delivered by emailed PDF file, each of which will have the same effect as if all parties had signed the same document. Each counterpart shall be deemed to be an original. All counterparts shall be construed together and shall constitute one and the same Agreement.

10.17 EFFECTIVE DATE. This Agreement is effective as of the date of the signature of the last party to sign.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF the parties hereto have set their hands and seals as of the day and year last below written.

OWNERS:

THE BOARD OF EDUCATION OF SCHOOL DISTRICT NO. 61 (GREATER VICTORIA) by its authorized signatories:

Print Name:

Print Name:

Date signed:_____

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF VICTORIA by its authorized signatory(ies):

Mayor Lisa Helps

Curt Kingsley, City Clerk

Date signed:_____

PROVINCIAL RENTAL HOUSING CORPORATION by its authorized signatory(ies):

Print Name:

Print Name:

Date signed:_____

<u>CITY:</u>

THE CORPORATION OF THE CITY OF VICTORIA by its authorized signatory(ies):

Karen Hoese, Director of Sustainable Planning and Community Development

Date signed:_____

10

NO. 21-067

A BYLAW OF THE CITY OF VICTORIA

The purpose of this Bylaw is to close to traffic certain portions of two public highways known as Vining Street and North Park Street and remove the dedication of such highways.

In accordance with sections 40(3), 40(4) and 94 of the *Community Charter*, the Council of the Corporation of the City of Victoria may, by bylaw, close all or part of a highway that is vested in the municipality to some or all types of traffic and may remove the dedication of a highway that has been or is being closed.

<u>Contents</u>

- 1. Title
- 2. Highway closures
- 3. Removal of highway dedications
- 4. Effective date

Under its statutory powers, including sections 40(1)(a) and 40(2) of the *Community* Charter, the Council of the Corporation of the City of Victoria, enacts the following provisions:

Title

1. This Bylaw may be cited as the "Vining Street and North Park Street Road Closure and Dedication Removal Bylaw, 2021".

Highway Closures

- 2. The following portions of public highway marked "Closed Road" on the Reference Plans EPP88785 and EPP114517 prepared by Glen A. Quarmby BCLS, dated June 18, 2020, a reduced copy of which is attached hereto as Schedule "A", are closed to traffic:
 - a) the 278.1 square metre portion of public highway known as Vining Street;
 - b) the 556.0 square metre portion of public highway known as North Park Street; and
 - c) the 133.6 square metre portion of public highway know as North Park Street.

Dedication Removal

3. The highway dedication of those parts of Vining Street and North Park Street described in section 2 and shown in Schedule A is hereby cancelled and removed.

Effective Date

4. This Bylaw comes into force on adoption.

READ A FIRST TIME the	day of	2021
READ A SECOND TIME the	day of	2021
READ A THIRD TIME the	day of	2021
ADOPTED the	day of	2021



