# Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

We, the undersigned, are all residents of the Laurel Point neighbourhood of James Bay, near the site bounded by Québec, Montreal and Kingston Streets which is the subject of a development proposal put forward by Mike Geric Construction Ltd. However, we note that this development is important not just for us but for the whole city. Laurel Point is a prominent feature of Victoria; it is the area first seen by many visitors, including those arriving on cruise ships, many of whom walk past this site.

The proposal is presented by the developer as "a thoughtful transition between the scale and character of the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets, with that of the single-family houses and townhomes to the south" (*sic*). However, the current proposal is for a very high-density development. This is summed up by the Floor-to-Site Ratio (FSR). The current proposal has an FSR value of 2.94. This may be compared with the values for existing developments, cited in the City's examination of the rezoning and changes to the neighbouring Inn at Laurel Point as around 2.2 for "the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets" and 1.6 for the Inn at Laurel Point after it has been expanded. An FSR of 2.94 cannot be interpreted as "transitional" between values in the range 1.6 to 2.2 and the much lower values in the rest of James Bay.

We accept that the development will entail some loss of view and light for neighbouring buildings. However, it should be genuinely "transitional", to be more compatible with the surrounding buildings. As a result of the anomalously high FSR, the proposal is for a tower of 17 stories plus a services floor. This would be way taller than any other building in the area, and would completely dominate James Bay. A shorter but broader building with the same FSR would be no less intrusive. The current proposal far exceeds existing zoning and Official Community Plan requirements. Beyond the FSR noted, it proposes significantly reducing setbacks and changing zoning, without providing anything to help alleviate Victoria's "missing middle" problem.

We urge Victoria City Council to review the proposal thoroughly. We recognise the need for this site to be developed but ask that it be done in a way that would not be so dominating. We ask Victoria City Council to limit the density of the development, preferably by limiting the FSR, to ensure that the site is not developed in a way that makes our city less attractive to both residents and visitors.

Name	Postal code Tel	ephone no.	Signature /
George Gullien	ouch V8V4T9		Mut
marilyn Van N	ych !!		m Van Uycl
Joyce Campbell			3 JEaphill
LYNN MERRY	h	4	J. Mcday

#### Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

We, the undersigned, are all residents of the Laurel Point neighbourhood of James Bay, near the site bounded by Québec, Montreal and Kingston Streets which is the subject of a development proposal put forward by Mike Geric Construction Ltd. However, we note that this development is important not just for us but for the whole city. Laurel Point is a prominent feature of Victoria; it is the area first seen by many visitors, including those arriving on cruise ships, many of whom walk past this site.

The proposal is presented by the developer as "a thoughtful transition between the scale and character of the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets, with that of the single-family houses and townhomes to the south" (*sic*). However, the current proposal is for a very high-density development. This is summed up by the Floor-to-Site Ratio (FSR). The current proposal has an FSR value of 2.94. This may be compared with the values for existing developments, cited in the City's examination of the rezoning and changes to the neighbouring Inn at Laurel Point as around 2.2 for "the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets" and 1.6 for the Inn at Laurel Point after it has been expanded. An FSR of 2.94 cannot be interpreted as "transitional" between values in the range 1.6 to 2.2 and the much lower values in the rest of James Bay.

We accept that the development will entail some loss of view and light for neighbouring buildings. However, it should be genuinely "transitional", to be more compatible with the surrounding buildings. As a result of the anomalously high FSR, the proposal is for a tower of 17 stories plus a services floor. This would be way taller than any other building in the area, and would completely dominate James Bay. A shorter but broader building with the same FSR would be no less intrusive. The current proposal far exceeds existing zoning and Official Community Plan requirements. Beyond the FSR noted, it proposes significantly reducing setbacks and changing zoning, without providing anything to help alleviate Victoria's "missing middle" problem.

We urge Victoria City Council to review the proposal thoroughly. We recognise the need for this site to be developed but ask that it be done in a way that would not be so dominating. We ask Victoria City Council to limit the density of the development, preferably by limiting the FSR, to ensure that the site is not developed in a way that makes our city less attractive to both residents and visitors.

Name

Postal code Telephone no.

Signature

ALESLEE FARRELL VOVIXIO

MARTIN SCHERZER

V8VIXI

V8VIXI

Manual Sherge

#### Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

We, the undersigned, are all residents of the Laurel Point neighbourhood of James Bay, near the site bounded by Québec, Montreal and Kingston Streets which is the subject of a development proposal put forward by Mike Geric Construction Ltd. However, we note that this development is important not just for us but for the whole city. Laurel Point is a prominent feature of Victoria; it is the area first seen by many visitors, including those arriving on cruise ships, many of whom walk past this site.

The proposal is presented by the developer as "a thoughtful transition between the scale and character of the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets, with that of the single-family houses and townhomes to the south" (sic). However, the current proposal is for a very high-density development. This is summed up by the Floor-to-Site Ratio (FSR). The current proposal has an FSR value of 2.94. This may be compared with the values for existing developments, cited in the City's examination of the rezoning and changes to the neighbouring Inn at Laurel Point as around 2.2 for "the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets" and 1.6 for the Inn at Laurel Point after it has been expanded. An FSR of 2.94 cannot be interpreted as "transitional" between values in the range 1.6 to 2.2 and the much lower values in the rest of James Bay.

We accept that the development will entail some loss of view and light for neighbouring buildings. However, it should be genuinely "transitional", to be more compatible with the surrounding buildings. As a result of the anomalously high FSR, the proposal is for a tower of 17 stories plus a services floor. This would be way taller than any other building in the area, and would completely dominate James Bay. A shorter but broader building with the same FSR would be no less intrusive. The current proposal far exceeds existing zoning and Official Community Plan requirements. Beyond the FSR noted, it proposes significantly reducing setbacks and changing zoning, without providing anything to help alleviate Victoria's "missing middle" problem.

We urge Victoria City Council to review the proposal thoroughly. We recognise the need for this site to be developed but ask that it be done in a way that would not be so dominating. We ask Victoria City Council to limit the density of the development, preferably by limiting the FSR, to ensure that the site is not developed in a way that makes our city less attractive to both residents and visitors.

<u>Name</u>	Postal code	Telephone no.	Signature
Juhree Zimmernar	V8V4T0		_ 2
ROBERT HALL	~ √8√47	9 2	The tall
INGRID RUEFFEL		•	Skuffel
Jean Waye			JeanLurge
Les Waye.	V814T		I R llay
Donna Justi	ce 1814	14	A Misus

#### Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

We, the undersigned, are all residents of the Laurel Point neighbourhood of James Bay, near the site bounded by Québec, Montreal and Kingston Streets which is the subject of a development proposal put forward by Mike Geric Construction Ltd. However, we note that this development is important not just for us but for the whole city. Laurel Point is a prominent feature of Victoria; it is the area first seen by many visitors, including those arriving on cruise ships, many of whom walk past this site.

The proposal is presented by the developer as "a thoughtful transition between the scale and character of the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets, with that of the single-family houses and townhomes to the south" (sic). However, the current proposal is for a very high-density development. This is summed up by the Floor-to-Site Ratio (FSR). The current proposal has an FSR value of 2.94. This may be compared with the values for existing developments, cited in the City's examination of the rezoning and changes to the neighbouring Inn at Laurel Point as around 2.2 for "the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets" and 1.6 for the Inn at Laurel Point after it has been expanded. An FSR of 2.94 cannot be interpreted as "transitional" between values in the range 1.6 to 2.2 and the much lower values in the rest of James Bay.

We accept that the development will entail some loss of view and light for neighbouring buildings. However, it should be genuinely "transitional", to be more compatible with the surrounding buildings. As a result of the anomalously high FSR, the proposal is for a tower of 17 stories plus a services floor. This would be way taller than any other building in the area, and would completely dominate James Bay. A shorter but broader building with the same FSR would be no less intrusive. The current proposal far exceeds existing zoning and Official Community Plan requirements. Beyond the FSR noted, it proposes significantly reducing setbacks and changing zoning, without providing anything to help alleviate Victoria's "missing middle" problem.

We urge Victoria City Council to review the proposal thoroughly. We recognise the need for this site to be developed but ask that it be done in a way that would not be so dominating. We ask Victoria City Council to limit the density of the development, preferably by limiting the FSR, to ensure that the site is not developed in a way that makes our city less attractive to both residents and visitors.

Name
Postal code Telephone no.

Signature

Signature

V8V4T9

R. Elane Gray
V8V 4T9

Elane V8V 4T9

Control Tomilorine V8V 4T9

Control Tomilorine V8V 4T9

#### Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

We, the undersigned, are all residents of the Laurel Point neighbourhood of James Bay, near the site bounded by Québec, Montreal and Kingston Streets which is the subject of a development proposal put forward by Mike Geric Construction Ltd. However, we note that this development is important not just for us but for the whole city. Laurel Point is a prominent feature of Victoria; it is the area first seen by many visitors, including those arriving on cruise ships, many of whom walk past this site.

The proposal is presented by the developer as "a thoughtful transition between the scale and character of the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets, with that of the single-family houses and townhomes to the south" (sic). However, the current proposal is for a very high-density development. This is summed up by the Floor-to-Site Ratio (FSR). The current proposal has an FSR value of 2.94. This may be compared with the values for existing developments, cited in the City's examination of the rezoning and changes to the neighbouring Inn at Laurel Point as around 2.2 for "the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets" and 1.6 for the Inn at Laurel Point after it has been expanded. An FSR of 2.94 cannot be interpreted as "transitional" between values in the range 1.6 to 2.2 and the much lower values in the rest of James Bay.

We accept that the development will entail some loss of view and light for neighbouring buildings. However, it should be genuinely "transitional", to be more compatible with the surrounding buildings. As a result of the anomalously high FSR, the proposal is for a tower of 17 stories plus a services floor. This would be way taller than any other building in the area, and would completely dominate James Bay. A shorter but broader building with the same FSR would be no less intrusive. The current proposal far exceeds existing zoning and Official Community Plan requirements. Beyond the FSR noted, it proposes significantly reducing setbacks and changing zoning, without providing anything to help alleviate Victoria's "missing middle" problem.

We urge Victoria City Council to review the proposal thoroughly. We recognise the need for this site to be developed but ask that it be done in a way that would not be so dominating. We ask Victoria City Council to limit the density of the development, preferably by limiting the FSR, to ensure that the site is not developed in a way that makes our city less attractive to both residents and visitors.

Name
Postal code Telephone no.

Signature

DEBRA ANDERON VBN 4T9

ANITA STUART

LITVA CLIFFORD JONES V8V

JOHN CLIFFORD JONES V8V

GAIL THOMAS V8V4T9

NORIAKI & KAZUKO MITO

#### Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

We, the undersigned, are all residents of the Laurel Point neighbourhood of James Bay, near the site bounded by Québec, Montreal and Kingston Streets which is the subject of a development proposal put forward by Mike Geric Construction Ltd. However, we note that this development is important not just for us but for the whole city. Laurel Point is a prominent feature of Victoria; it is the area first seen by many visitors, including those arriving on cruise ships, many of whom walk past this site.

The proposal is presented by the developer as "a thoughtful transition between the scale and character of the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets, with that of the single-family houses and townhomes to the south" (sic). However, the current proposal is for a very high-density development. This is summed up by the Floor-to-Site Ratio (FSR). The current proposal has an FSR value of 2.94. This may be compared with the values for existing developments, cited in the City's examination of the rezoning and changes to the neighbouring Inn at Laurel Point as around 2.2 for "the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets" and 1.6 for the Inn at Laurel Point after it has been expanded. An FSR of 2.94 cannot be interpreted as "transitional" between values in the range 1.6 to 2.2 and the much lower values in the rest of James Bay.

We accept that the development will entail some loss of view and light for neighbouring buildings. However, it should be genuinely "transitional", to be more compatible with the surrounding buildings. As a result of the anomalously high FSR, the proposal is for a tower of 17 stories plus a services floor. This would be way taller than any other building in the area, and would completely dominate James Bay. A shorter but broader building with the same FSR would be no less intrusive. The current proposal far exceeds existing zoning and Official Community Plan requirements. Beyond the FSR noted, it proposes significantly reducing setbacks and changing zoning, without providing anything to help alleviate Victoria's "missing middle" problem.

We urge Victoria City Council to review the proposal thoroughly. We recognise the need for this site to be developed but ask that it be done in a way that would not be so dominating. We ask Victoria City Council to limit the density of the development, preferably by limiting the FSR, to ensure that the site is not developed in a way that makes our city less attractive to both residents and visitors.

Name
Postal code Telephone no.

Signature

Patricia Bryant
V8V 4T9

## Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

We, the undersigned, are all residents of the Laurel Point neighbourhood of James Bay, near the site bounded by Québec, Montreal and Kingston Streets which is the subject of a development proposal put forward by Mike Geric Construction Ltd. However, we note that this development is important not just for us but for the whole city. Laurel Point is a prominent feature of Victoria; it is the area first seen by many visitors, including those arriving on cruise ships, many of whom walk past this site.

The proposal is presented by the developer as "a thoughtful transition between the scale and character of the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets, with that of the single-family houses and townhomes to the south" (sic). However, the current proposal is for a very high-density development. This is summed up by the Floor-to-Site Ratio (FSR). The current proposal has an FSR value of 2.94. This may be compared with the values for existing developments, cited in the City's examination of the rezoning and changes to the neighbouring Inn at Laurel Point as around 2.2 for "the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets" and 1.6 for the Inn at Laurel Point after it has been expanded. An FSR of 2.94 cannot be interpreted as "transitional" between values in the range 1.6 to 2.2 and the much lower values in the rest of James Bay.

We accept that the development will entail some loss of view and light for neighbouring buildings. However, it should be genuinely "transitional", to be more compatible with the surrounding buildings. As a result of the anomalously high FSR, the proposal is for a tower of 17 stories plus a services floor. This would be way taller than any other building in the area, and would completely dominate James Bay. A shorter but broader building with the same FSR would be no less intrusive. The current proposal far exceeds existing zoning and Official Community Plan requirements. Beyond the FSR noted, it proposes significantly reducing setbacks and changing zoning, without providing anything to help alleviate Victoria's "missing middle" problem.

We urge Victoria City Council to review the proposal thoroughly. We recognise the need for this site to be developed but ask that it be done in a way that would not be so dominating. We ask Victoria City Council to limit the density of the development, preferably by limiting the FSR, to ensure that the site is not developed in a way that makes our city less attractive to both residents and visitors.

Name

Postal code
Telephone no.

Signature

Shirley Young

V8V479

PATRICIA DIRKS V8V479

Skaron & Seen

V8V479

Peter Tryfos

V8V479

Vani Davidoff

V8V479

Cary Johnston

V8V479

Postal code
Telephone no.

Signature

Signature

Sharon & Sharon

#### Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

We, the undersigned, are all residents of the Laurel Point neighbourhood of James Bay, near the site bounded by Québec, Montreal and Kingston Streets which is the subject of a development proposal put forward by Mike Geric Construction Ltd. However, we note that this development is important not just for us but for the whole city. Laurel Point is a prominent feature of Victoria; it is the area first seen by many visitors, including those arriving on cruise ships, many of whom walk past this site.

The proposal is presented by the developer as "a thoughtful transition between the scale and character of the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets, with that of the single-family houses and townhomes to the south" (sic). However, the current proposal is for a very high-density development. This is summed up by the Floor-to-Site Ratio (FSR). The current proposal has an FSR value of 2.94. This may be compared with the values for existing developments, cited in the City's examination of the rezoning and changes to the neighbouring Inn at Laurel Point as around 2.2 for "the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets" and 1.6 for the Inn at Laurel Point after it has been expanded. An FSR of 2.94 cannot be interpreted as "transitional" between values in the range 1.6 to 2.2 and the much lower values in the rest of James Bay.

We accept that the development will entail some loss of view and light for neighbouring buildings. However, it should be genuinely "transitional", to be more compatible with the surrounding buildings. As a result of the anomalously high FSR, the proposal is for a tower of 17 stories plus a services floor. This would be way taller than any other building in the area, and would completely dominate James Bay. A shorter but broader building with the same FSR would be no less intrusive. The current proposal far exceeds existing zoning and Official Community Plan requirements. Beyond the FSR noted, it proposes significantly reducing setbacks and changing zoning, without providing anything to help alleviate Victoria's "missing middle" problem.

We urge Victoria City Council to review the proposal thoroughly. We recognise the need for this site to be developed but ask that it be done in a way that would not be so dominating. We ask Victoria City Council to limit the density of the development, preferably by limiting the FSR, to ensure that the site is not developed in a way that makes our city less attractive to both residents and visitors.

Name
Lynn Weedmark

CRUSE GREES VSV 4-77

CAROLE F-RANZEN VSV 4-77

Chard Din-sin VSV 4-79

Chard Din-sin VSV 4-79

# Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

We, the undersigned, are all residents of the Laurel Point neighbourhood of James Bay, near the site bounded by Québec, Montreal and Kingston Streets which is the subject of a development proposal put forward by Mike Geric Construction Ltd. However, we note that this development is important not just for us but for the whole city. Laurel Point is a prominent feature of Victoria; it is the area first seen by many visitors, including those arriving on cruise ships, many of whom walk past this site.

The proposal is presented by the developer as "a thoughtful transition between the scale and character of the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets, with that of the singlefamily houses and townhomes to the south" (sic). However, the current proposal is for a very high-density development. This is summed up by the Floor-to-Site Ratio (FSR). The current proposal has an FSR value of 2.94. This may be compared with the values for existing developments, cited in the City's examination of the rezoning and changes to the neighbouring Inn at Laurel Point as around 2.2 for "the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets" and 1.6 for the Inn at Laurel Point after it has been expanded. An FSR of 2.94 cannot be interpreted as "transitional" between values in the range 1.6 to 2.2 and the much lower values in the rest of James Bay.

We accept that the development will entail some loss of view and light for neighbouring buildings. However, it should be genuinely "transitional", to be more compatible with the surrounding buildings. As a result of the anomalously high FSR, the proposal is for a tower of 17 stories plus a services floor. This would be way taller than any other building in the area, and would completely dominate James Bay. A shorter but broader building with the same FSR would be no less intrusive. The current proposal far exceeds existing zoning and Official Community Plan requirements. Beyond the FSR noted, it proposes significantly reducing setbacks and changing zoning, without providing anything to help alleviate Victoria's "missing middle" problem.

We urge Victoria City Council to review the proposal thoroughly. We recognise the need for this site to be developed but ask that it be done in a way that would not be so dominating. We ask Victoria City Council to limit the density of the development, preferably by limiting the FSR, to ensure that the site is not developed in a way that makes our city less attractive to both residents and visitors.

Name

Postal code

Telephone no.

STAN HACK

larger rodo YSU 479 actions Kinneally VRO 1579

VRV 4T9

Signature

#### Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

We, the undersigned, are all residents of the Laurel Point neighbourhood of James Bay, near the site bounded by Québec, Montreal and Kingston Streets which is the subject of a development proposal put forward by Mike Geric Construction Ltd. However, we note that this development is important not just for us but for the whole city. Laurel Point is a prominent feature of Victoria; it is the area first seen by many visitors, including those arriving on cruise ships, many of whom walk past this site.

The proposal is presented by the developer as "a thoughtful transition between the scale and character of the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets, with that of the single-family houses and townhomes to the south" (sic). However, the current proposal is for a very high-density development. This is summed up by the Floor-to-Site Ratio (FSR). The current proposal has an FSR value of 2.94. This may be compared with the values for existing developments, cited in the City's examination of the rezoning and changes to the neighbouring Inn at Laurel Point as around 2.2 for "the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets" and 1.6 for the Inn at Laurel Point after it has been expanded. An FSR of 2.94 cannot be interpreted as "transitional" between values in the range 1.6 to 2.2 and the much lower values in the rest of James Bay.

We accept that the development will entail some loss of view and light for neighbouring buildings. However, it should be genuinely "transitional", to be more compatible with the surrounding buildings. As a result of the anomalously high FSR, the proposal is for a tower of 17 stories plus a services floor. This would be way taller than any other building in the area, and would completely dominate James Bay. A shorter but broader building with the same FSR would be no less intrusive. The current proposal far exceeds existing zoning and Official Community Plan requirements. Beyond the FSR noted, it proposes significantly reducing setbacks and changing zoning, without providing anything to help alleviate Victoria's "missing middle" problem.

We urge Victoria City Council to review the proposal thoroughly. We recognise the need for this site to be developed but ask that it be done in a way that would not be so dominating. We ask Victoria City Council to limit the density of the development, preferably by limiting the FSR, to ensure that the site is not developed in a way that makes our city less attractive to both residents and visitors.

Middle Mc Lean V8V 479

Torr Romber V8V 479

JOHN IRVINDE V8V 479

CINDY VAN VOLSEM V8V 479

Telephone no. Signature

MMMc Li
MMM Li

# Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

We, the undersigned, are all residents of the Laurel Point neighbourhood of James Bay, near the site bounded by Québec, Montreal and Kingston Streets which is the subject of a development proposal put forward by Mike Geric Construction Ltd. However, we note that this development is important not just for us but for the whole city. Laurel Point is a prominent feature of Victoria; it is the area first seen by many visitors, including those arriving on cruise ships, many of whom walk past this site.

The proposal is presented by the developer as "a thoughtful transition between the scale and character of the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets, with that of the single-family houses and townhomes to the south" (sic). However, the current proposal is for a very high-density development. This is summed up by the Floor-to-Site Ratio (FSR). The current proposal has an FSR value of 2.94. This may be compared with the values for existing developments, cited in the City's examination of the rezoning and changes to the neighbouring Inn at Laurel Point as around 2.2 for "the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets" and 1.6 for the Inn at Laurel Point after it has been expanded. An FSR of 2.94 cannot be interpreted as "transitional" between values in the range 1.6 to 2.2 and the much lower values in the rest of James Bay.

We accept that the development will entail some loss of view and light for neighbouring buildings. However, it should be genuinely "transitional", to be more compatible with the surrounding buildings. As a result of the anomalously high FSR, the proposal is for a tower of 17 stories plus a services floor. This would be way taller than any other building in the area, and would completely dominate James Bay. A shorter but broader building with the same FSR would be no less intrusive. The current proposal far exceeds existing zoning and Official Community Plan requirements. Beyond the FSR noted, it proposes significantly reducing setbacks and changing zoning, without providing anything to help alleviate Victoria's "missing middle" problem.

We urge Victoria City Council to review the proposal thoroughly. We recognise the need for this site to be developed but ask that it be done in a way that would not be so dominating. We ask Victoria City Council to limit the density of the development, preferably by limiting the FSR, to ensure that the site is not developed in a way that makes our city less attractive to both residents and visitors.

Name South VSV5A8
Laurie MacDuff V8V5A8
Laurie MacDuff V8V5A8
Laurie MacDuff.

## Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

We, the undersigned, are all residents of the Laurel Point neighbourhood of James Bay, near the site bounded by Québec, Montreal and Kingston Streets which is the subject of a development proposal put forward by Mike Geric Construction Ltd. However, we note that this development is important not just for us but for the whole city. Laurel Point is a prominent feature of Victoria; it is the area first seen by many visitors, including those arriving on cruise ships, many of whom walk past this site.

The proposal is presented by the developer as "a thoughtful transition between the scale and character of the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets, with that of the single-family houses and townhomes to the south" (sic). However, the current proposal is for a very high-density development. This is summed up by the Floor-to-Site Ratio (FSR). The current proposal has an FSR value of 2.94. This may be compared with the values for existing developments, cited in the City's examination of the rezoning and changes to the neighbouring Inn at Laurel Point as around 2.2 for "the waterfront buildings along Quebec and Montreal Streets" and 1.6 for the Inn at Laurel Point after it has been expanded. An FSR of 2.94 cannot be interpreted as "transitional" between values in the range 1.6 to 2.2 and the much lower values in the rest of James Bay.

We accept that the development will entail some loss of view and light for neighbouring buildings. However, it should be genuinely "transitional", to be more compatible with the surrounding buildings. As a result of the anomalously high FSR, the proposal is for a tower of 17 stories plus a services floor. This would be way taller than any other building in the area, and would completely dominate James Bay. A shorter but broader building with the same FSR would be no less intrusive. The current proposal far exceeds existing zoning and Official Community Plan requirements. Beyond the FSR noted, it proposes significantly reducing setbacks and changing zoning, without providing anything to help alleviate Victoria's "missing middle" problem.

We urge Victoria City Council to review the proposal thoroughly. We recognise the need for this site to be developed but ask that it be done in a way that would not be so dominating. We ask Victoria City Council to limit the density of the development, preferably by limiting the FSR, to ensure that the site is not developed in a way that makes our city less attractive to both residents and visitors.

<u>Nar</u>	<u>ne</u>	Postal code	Telephone no.	Signature
ECLAND	CLIFT	V8V 4T9		G. C.
	mecrady	V84479	1	Cintleady
ANA		V8V4T9		Town Clips
GALL	NYGREN	U8V419		Sail lygion
FREP	NEVEAUX	V87 4701	1	7 Me war
DAUNA	NEVEDUX	48V 479		Sheen 7/20/ every
LNDREA	WUSSLER	V8V4T9		Amber Wessler
			× 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2 × 2	

Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

Name

Postal code

Telephone no.

Signature

ROBERT DORKEN V8V4T9
BEVERLY BALL V8V4T9

RW Dorker Ba Bau

	<u>Name</u>	Postal code	Telephone no.	Signature
	JASMEEN GRIFFIN	V8V 4T9		Jasma Gryl
	Ann Rempel	il		Ome Rempel fill
×	FRICE + BILL SMITH	V8V479	Sales	Staggenth Wilmin
~	Davil + Wine Coole	V8V479		Inte h Cook
	1 GRIA SMILLINGT.	10		
	Cell ne A ren			
	Niew Tor	ner		

Name	Postal code Telephone no.	Signature
Judy GAUDREAU	V8V4T9	& Handilan
LARRY CAUDREAL	V8V479 3	- December

Name	Postal code Telephone no.	Signature
Guen Topfer	V8V4T9 VBV4T9	Stroppe Stroppe
ANN Fraser DOUG MOSS Steven Saunder	V8V4T9 V8V 4T9 SV8V 479	Suite
WAYNE MOLENS Kelly Saundus	VEV 479	Ph Sounders
Leigh Taylor	V8V HT9	LATaylan

<u>Name</u>	Postal code Telephone no.	Signature
Peter Wussler	V8V4T9	P. Wurles
Deborah Begoray	V8V49	Segray.
LINDA MARTIN	D V8V1479:	Lenda Marten
SANDY HOPKINS	/	Sys Hopkin
SEAN Mc Crady	V8V 479	afriling
John Begaray	V8V4T9	J. Begon
Ocally I upen	181479	
•		
·		

Name Ticher	Postal code	Telephone no.	Signature
Lloyd Fisher	V8V 4T9		History
Ber Verbover	V851E4		57
Matt Larkey	V8V1V5		2 Com

Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

KATE HITWLEY VOV 479

Telephone no.

Signature C. Harley

Name	Postal code	Telephone no.	Signature
I was the solor Lossage	181 NBN		
		1 1 1	
Cate Isaci (	18V4T	<u></u>	of the second
Stine Singran !	180 47	7	200

# Proxies

To: The Mayor and Council of the City of Victoria, BC

Re: Development in James Bay, at Quebec, Montreal and Kingston Streets

Name	Postal code	Telephone no.	Signature
TERRY HARRISON	V8V4T9	F.	Proxy
JANE HARRISON	. V8V 459	2	Pary
ART ROSS	V8V 479	77 3 - 3	Proxy
JOHN CRUZIER	V8V 4T9		Proxy
DONNA CROZIERE	V8V 4T9	( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( ) ( )	Roxy
LARRY FINE	V8V 4T9		Prexy
ELANE FINE	V8V 4T9		Piory
KATH HOOSEN	V8V 4T9	4	Proxy
GEORGE CHONG	V8V 4-9		Proxy

Contination of all proxy signatories is available on request from Polard Clift:

810 - 225 Belleville Street

Victoria VSV 459

celo: