BYLAWS

Councillor Young withdrew from the meeting at 1:45 a.m. due to a pecuniary conflict of interest with the following item, as he resides within 198 meters of the subject property.

5. Bylaw for Rezoning Application No. 00538 for 335 Moss Street

Motion:

It was moved by Councillor Lucas, seconded by Councillor Madoff, that the following bylaw **be given first** and second reading:

a. Zoning Regulation Bylaw, Amendment Bylaw (No. 1124) No. 17-111

Carried Unanimously

Motion:

It was moved by Councillor Lucas, seconded by Councillor Isitt:

Development Permit

"That Council authorize the issuance of a Development Permit for the subdivided portion of 335 Moss Street, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped September 25, 2017.
- 2. Development meeting all Zoning Regulation Bylaw requirements.
- 3. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

Development Variance Permit

"That Council authorize the issuance of a Development Variance Permit for the existing house at 335 Moss Street, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped September 25, 2017.
- 2. Development meeting all Zoning Regulation Bylaw requirements, except for the following variances:
 - a. reduce the minimum required side yard setback from 3.00m to 1.30m
 - b. reduce the minimum required combined side yard setback from 4.5 m to 3.1m.
- 3. That prior to the issuance of the Development Variance Permit, the City receive confirmation that a car share agreement between the applicant and MODO has been established for three memberships, to the satisfaction of the Director of Sustainable Planning and Community Development.
- 4. The Development Variance Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

Carried Unanimously

Councillor Young returned to the meeting at 1:46 a.m.



Council Report For the Meeting of November 23, 2017

To:CouncilDate:November 10, 2017From:Jonathan Tinney, Director, Sustainable Planning and Community DevelopmentSubject:Update Report for Rezoning, Development Permit and Development Varian

Subject: Update Report for Rezoning, Development Permit and Development Variance Applications No. 00538 for 335 Moss Street

RECOMMENDATION

Rezoning Application

That Council give first and second reading of Zoning Regulation Bylaw Amendment (No. 17-111) for Rezoning Application No. 00538 for a portion of 335 Moss Street, and a Public Hearing date be set.

That Council, after giving notice and after the Public Hearing for Rezoning Application No. 00538, if it is approved, consider this updated motion:

Development Permit

"That Council authorize the issuance of a Development Permit for the subdivided portion of 335 Moss Street, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped September 25, 2017.
- 2. Development meeting all Zoning Regulation Bylaw requirements.
- 3. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

Development Variance Permit

"That Council authorize the issuance of a Development Variance Permit for the existing house at 335 Moss Street, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped September 25, 2017.
- 2. Development meeting all *Zoning Regulation Bylaw* requirements, except for the following variances:
 - i. reduce the minimum required side yard setback from 3.00m to 1.30m
 - ii. reduce the minimum required combined side yard setback from 4.5 m to 3.1m.
- 3. That prior to the issuance of the Development Variance Permit, the City receive confirmation that a car share agreement between the applicant and MODO has been established for three memberships, to the satisfaction of the Director of Sustainable Planning and Community Development.
- 4. The Development Variance Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to update Council on the Rezoning, Development Permit and Development Variance Permit Applications for 335 Moss Street. At the Committee of the Whole meeting on July 6, 2017, Council requested that a new petition be conducted before the Applications advance to Council (minutes attached.) Since that time, the applicant has undertaken a new petition process and has also made changes to the site plan and landscaping to retain a rock wall along the property line. The applicant has also voluntarily offered to purchase three car share memberships in perpetuity for tenants in the existing house conversion. The staff recommendation, provided for Council's consideration, has been amended to reflect these changes.

BACKGROUND

The proposal is to subdivide 335 Moss Street and rezone the new lot to the R1-S2 Zone, Restricted Small Lot (Two Story) District. The existing house would remain in the R1-B Zone, Single Family Dwelling District, and requires a Development Variance Permit related to reduced side yard setbacks.

New Petition

Since the July 6, 2017 Committee of the Whole Meeting, the applicant reconducted the small lot house rezoning petition. As per policy, only the immediate neighbours and those located 10 metres or less away are required to be consulted (to capture anomalies such as neighbours separated by the driveway of a panhandle lot, for example). Despite this policy, the applicant also included the owners of 1 Briar Place, because they are part of a Strata that owns the private common property neighbouring to the rear of 335 Moss Street.

Based on this survey, the applicant reports that 78.3% were in support of the Application. Under Council's policy, "satisfactory support" is considered to be support in writing for the project by 75 percent of the neighbours. If the policy was rigorously followed and the residents of 1 Briar Place were not included in the survey, the level of support for the Application would be 85.7%. The new Small Lot House Rezoning Petitions and Summary provided by the applicant are attached to this report.

Plans

The landscape and site plans have changed slightly in order to maintain a rock wall along the south property line. The rock wall does not affect setbacks nor off street parking and would help maintain the present streetscape. New plans date stamped September 25, 2017 are attached to this report and the recommendation provided for Council's consideration reflects these updates.

Car share

The applicant has voluntarily offered to provide three car share memberships, in perpetuity, for tenants at 335 Moss Street in order to help alleviate potential on-street parking concerns. There is currently one off-street parking space for the house conversion, the legal non-conformity would not change and the proposed small lot house would comply with the required number of spaces as per Schedule C: Off-Street Parking. There are at least three MODO vehicles within a ten minute walk of the subject property (Oxford and Moss, 140m; Fairfield and Trutch, 500m; and on Chapman between Linden and Cook, 700 m).

While the car share agreement is entirely voluntary, the motion has been updated to ensure staff receive confirmation of a car share agreement being established between the applicant and MODO prior to the issuance of the Development Variance Permit.

CONCLUSIONS

As per Council's Committee of the Whole motion on July 6, 2017, the applicant has reconducted the neighbourhood petition and staff have prepared the necessary bylaw amendments. Since Council last considered this application, the applicant has made changes to the site plan in order to retain the rock wall on the south edge of the property line, and has offered to provide three car share memberships in perpetuity for tenants of the existing house.

As the applicant has carried out the recommendations set forth by Council, Staff recommend for Council's consideration that Council advance Rezoning Application No. 00538 to a Public Hearing.

Respectfully submitted,

Chelsea Medd, Planner Sustainable Planning and Community Development Department

Jonathan Tinney, Director Sustainable Planning and Community Development Department

Report accepted and recommended by the City Manager;

Date:

List of Attachments:

- Attachment A: Minutes from July 6th, 2017 Committee of the Whole
- Attachment B: Letter to Council from Applicant dated October 25, 2017
- Attachment C: New Small Lot House Rezoning Neighbourhood Support Petition
- Attachment D: Tenant Petitions (335 Moss) not included in Petition Summary
- Attachment E: Plans date stamped September 25, 2017
- Attachment F: Correspondence.

6. LAND USE MATTERS

6.1 Rezoning Application No. 00538 & Development Permit Application No. 00538 for 335 Moss Street (Fairfield)

Committee received a reports dated June 7, 2017, from the Director of Sustainable Planning and Community Development regarding applications to subdivide the lot to create one small lot, while maintaining the existing house on a regular lot.

Councillor Lucas withdrew from the meeting at 10:06 a.m. and returned at 10:08 a.m.

Committee discussed:

The height of the retaining wall and configuration of the parking space.

Councillor Alto withdrew from the meeting at 10:17 a.m. and returned at 10:18 a.m.

Motion:

It was moved by Councillor Coleman, seconded by Councillor Alto:

 That Council instruct staff to prepare the necessary Zoning Regulation Bylaw Amendment that would authorize the proposed development outlined in Rezoning Application No.00538 for 335 Moss Street, that first and second reading of the Zoning Regulation Bylaw Amendment be considered by Council and a Public Hearing date be set.

 That Council, after giving notice and allowing an opportunity for public comment at a meeting of Council and after the Public Hearing for Rezoning Application No. 00538, if it is approved, consider the following motion: "That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit with Variances Application No. 00538 for 335 Moss Street, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped May 19, 2017.
- 2. Development meeting all *Zoning Regulation Bylaw* requirements, except for the following variances for the Existing Dwelling:
 - i. Part 1.2.5 (c) reduce the minimum required side yard setback from 3.00m to 1.30m
 - ii. Part 1.2.5 (d) reduce the minimum required combined side yard setback from 4.5m to 3.1m.
- 3. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution.

<u>Amendment:</u> It was moved by Councillor Thornton-Joe, seconded by Councillor Alto, that the motion be amended in the following point:

1. That Council instruct staff to prepare the necessary Zoning Regulation Bylaw Amendment that would authorize the proposed development outlined in Rezoning Application No.00538 for 335 Moss Street, that first and second reading of the Zoning Regulation Bylaw Amendment be considered by Council and a Public Hearing date be set and that a new petition be conducted before the application comes to a public hearing.

Amendment to the amendment:

It was moved by Councillor Isitt, seconded by Councillor Young, that the amendment be amended as follows:

and that a new petition be conducted before the application comes to a public hearing Council.

On the amendment to the amendment:

CARRIED 17/COTW

For:

Mayor Helps, Councillors Coleman, Isitt, Loveday, Lucas, Madoff, Thornton-Joe, and Young Councillor Alto

Against:

On the amendment: CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY 17/COTW

Committee discussed:

- Concerns about the building's massing and site coverage.
- The original goals of the R1-S Zone and the current goals the City is trying to achieve with housing.

Main motion as amended:

 That Council instruct staff to prepare the necessary Zoning Regulation Bylaw Amendment that would authorize the proposed development outlined in Rezoning Application No.00538 for 335 Moss Street, that first and second reading of the Zoning Regulation Bylaw Amendment be considered by Council and a Public Hearing date be set and that a new petition be conducted before the application comes to Council.

 That Council, after giving notice and allowing an opportunity for public comment at a meeting of Council and after the Public Hearing for Rezoning Application No. 00538, if it is approved, consider the following motion:
 "That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit with Variances Application No. 00538 for 335 Moss Street, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped May 19, 2017.
- 2. Development meeting all *Zoning Regulation Bylaw* requirements, except for the following variances for the Existing Dwelling:
 - i. Part 1.2.5 (c) reduce the minimum required side yard setback from 3.00m to 1.30m
 - ii. Part 1.2.5 (d) reduce the minimum required combined side yard setback from 4.5m to 3.1m.
- 3. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution.

On the main motion as amended:

CARRIED 17/COTW

For:

Mayor Helps, Councillors Alto, Coleman, Loveday, Lucas, Madoff, Thornton-Joe, and Young Councillor Isitt

Against:

ATTACHMENT B

October 25, 2017

Received City of Victoria

OCT 2 5 2017

Plenning & Development Department Development Services Division

.../2

Mayor and Council City of Victoria 1 Centennial Square Victoria BC V8W 1P6

Dear Mayor and Council,

Re: Small Lot Rezoning & Subdivision Application for 335 Moss Street (#REZ00538) – Updated Correspondence

Due to circumstances advised by City staff, I would request that my letter dated September 27, 2017, be replaced with this letter and my comments contained herein, in response to the Committee of the Whole Meeting of July 6, 2017.

This letter is a follow up to my email of July 15, 2017, with respect to my small lot subdivision and rezoning application for 335 Moss Street. As requested in your motion at Committee of the Whole, I have reconducted the Small Lot House Rezoning Neighbourhood Support Petition.

Before recommencing the petition process, I again sought confirmation by City staff of the scope of properties that I was to petition. The list of properties was the same as the one I was provided for the first petition, including properties that fall outside of the scope of the small lot petition policy. I took City staff's direction and petitioned the resident electors of each of these properties.

I am pleased to report that I have exceeded the threshold required by the petition policy guidelines, obtaining a support level of 78.3%. Copies of the petitions have been submitted to City staff and I understand that they are preparing a report to Council, as per your motion at Committee of the Whole.

During the petition period, I also took the opportunity to follow up with my tenants at 335 Moss Street, other neighbours and also with various councillors, to better understand what other concerns there may be with this application. The two main trends were: (1) on-street parking and (2) housing affordability.

Street Parking

The proposed small lot does not require a parking variance and will not impact on-street parking in the 300 block of Moss Street. That said, the donor property (335 Moss St) housing the four-unit rental building, provides one unit of off-street parking and is legally non-conforming. In order to help address this issue and to take advantage of this highly walkable and cyclable location, I am prepared to purchase three carshare memberships in perpetuity for the four-unit rental building, in order to make up the difference. This will help make it easier for existing and future (carless) tenants, who may choose to live here based on access to a carshare vehicle; and also help to alleviate these on-street parking issues.

Housing Affordability

During the Committee of the Whole meeting, concerns were raised about how small lot subdivisions tend to not be affordable and therefore do not cater to those citizens looking for affordable housing. The Director of Sustainable Planning suggested in the meeting that the market will establish the price of the proposed small-scale housing development and that it will have the positive affect of adding to the housing stock and the mix of housing options available. Like many of the comments I heard at the meeting, I agree that we need to add supply along the entire range of housing options. That said, I also agree that housing affordability continues to be a concern in the City.

I have seen innovative and courageous proposals put forth at the council table, with respect to housing affordability. Back in July, I note that the Inclusive Housing and Community Amenity Policy proposal was tabled to City Council. Although still up for discussion, I think that it is a fine piece of policy work that provides one-time developers like myself an opportunity to participate in the City's overall affordability housing strategy.

Now that the Neighbourhood Support Petition has been redone with a positive outcome, I respectfully request that the application be advanced to a Public Hearing, upon receipt of a report from City staff.

Please don't hesitate to get in touch if you have any questions or concerns about this application.

Thank you,

Vittorio Cheli

SUMMARY SMALL LOT HOUSE REZONING PETITION

I, <u>Vittorio Francesco Cheli</u>, have petitioned the adjacent neighbours* in compliance with (applicant)

and the petitions submitted are those collected by <u>August 22, 2017</u>.**

Address	In Favour √	Opposed √	Neutral (30-day time expired) √
333 Moss Street	1	1	\$1
339 Moss Street	2	0	0
1-352 Moss Street	2	0	0
2-352 Moss Street	2	0	0
1272 McKenzie Street	3	0	0
354 Moss Street	2	0	0
356 Moss Street	4	0	0
1311 Fairfield Road	2	0	0
1 Briar Place	0	2	0
3 Briar Place	0	2	0
			÷

SUMMARY	Number	%
IN FAVOUR	18	78.3
OPPOSED	5	21.7
TOTAL RESPONSES	23	100%

*Do not include petitions from the applicant or persons occupying the property subject to rezoning.

**Note that petitions that are more than six months old will not be accepted by the City. It is the applicant's responsibility to obtain new petitions in this event.

212011

aument Department aervices Division

CITY OF VICTORIA

Neighbourhood Petition Support Results Map



Legend	
Blue =	Subject Property
Green =	Resident Electors in Support
Red =	Resident Electors in Opposition
White =	Resident Electors are Neutral

Properties Petitioned (as identified by City of Victoria staff)

333 Moss Street
339 Moss Street
352 Moss Street/1272 McKenzie Street
354 Moss Street
356 Moss Street
1311 Fairfield Road
1 Briar Place
3 Briar Place

SMALL LOT HOUSE REZONING PETITION

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,

Vittorio Francesco Cheli (print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the

property located at 335 Moss Street

to the following Small Lot Zone: R1-S2

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. **Please do not include your phone number or email address**.

NAME: (please print) MARK	ALLPRI	TT	_(see note a	above)
ADDRESS: 333 MOSS	ST.			
Are you the registered owner?	Yes 🔀	No 🗌		
I have reviewed the plans of the	applicant and	have the follo	wing comme	nts:
I support the application.				
I am opposed to the applicat	ion.			
Comments:				
	ł.			
		a	к	
5587 18 2017 Date	14	I lell	Signature	Received City of Victoria SEP 2 1 2017 Planning & Development Department Development Services Division

SMALL LOT HOUSE REZONING PETITION

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,

Vittorio Francesco Cheli (print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the

property located at 335 Moss Street

to the following Small Lot Zone: R1-S2

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. **Please do not include your phone number or email address**.

Please review the plans and indicate the following:

NAME: (please print) Anya Alldriff	(see note above)	
ADDRESS: 333 Moss St.		
Are you the registered owner? Yes D No 📈		
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the foll	owing comments:	
I support the application.		
I am opposed to the application.		
Comments:		
09(17/2017	Received City of Victorie	×
	Signature SEP 2 1 201	17

Planning & Development Department Development Services Division

(print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the
property located at SSS Noss STREET
to the following Small Lot Zone: <u>CL-SZ</u>
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address .
Please review the plans and indicate the following:
NAME: (please print) Anne Mane Hone Hogge (see note above)
ADDRESS: 331 AUSS St
Are you the registered owner? Yes 🕅 No 🗌
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments:
×
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
July 23/17
Date Signature

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,
(print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the
property located at 335 Noss STREET
to the following Small Lot Zone: <u>C1-5Z</u>
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address .
Please review the plans and indicate the following:
NAME: (please print) Black Hugh (see note above) ADDRESS: 379 Moss ST
ADDRESS: ((3)
Are you the registered owner? Yes No
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments:
Suny 23/17

(print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the
property located at 535 Ness STREET
to the following Small Lot Zone: <u>CI-52</u>
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address .
Please review the plans and indicate the following:
NAME: (please print) Andrew Wream (see note above)
ADDRESS: 352 Mars St
Are you the registered owner? Yes No
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments: Use the space, more frames needed.
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
-vo 20/17 Date Signature

(print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the
property located at
to the following Small Lot Zone:
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address .
Please review the plans and indicate the following:
NAME: (please print) <u>incle Heron</u> (see note above)
ADDRESS: 352 Moss S-
Are you the registered owner? Yes No
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments:
a
Jate Signature

moleco CUELT, am conducting the petition requirements for the property located at ______ to the following Small Lot Zone: <u>C1-52</u> The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address. Please review the plans and indicate the following: 352 Moss \$5 ADDRESS: No Are you the registered owner? Yes I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments: I support the application. I am opposed to the application. Comments: Nowak AFTER 6 pm & Weekends Signature

(print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the
property located at
to the following Small Lot Zone:
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address .
Please review the plans and indicate the following:
NAME: (please print) JAUREALE Sims (see note above)
ADDRESS: 352 Muss ST
Are you the registered owner? Yes Are No
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments:
July 27/17 Date Signature

(print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the
property located at
to the following Small Lot Zone:
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address .
Please review the plans and indicate the following:
NAME: (please print) (see note above)
ADDRESS: 1272 McKennie Stuet
Are you the registered owner? Yes No
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments:
They 26207 Max PCAK Date Signature

511: 1

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of victoria, i,
(print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the
property located at
to the following Small Lot Zone:
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.
Please review the plans and indicate the following:
NAME: (please print) Mileille Tahiani (see note above)
ADDRESS: 1272 m-Kenzie Street
Are you the registered owner? Yes No No
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·

July 26

Miri li ahean Signature

(print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the
property located at
to the following Small Lot Zone:
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address .
Please review the plans and indicate the following:
NAME: (please print) KISDoch (see note above)
ADDRESS: 1272 Mckenie Street
Are you the registered owner? Yes No
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments:
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
· · · ·
TUY26,17 Date RBDock Signature

(print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the
property located at SSS Moss STREET
to the following Small Lot Zone: <u>CL-52</u>
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address .
Please review the plans and indicate the following:
NAME: (please print) (see note above)
ADDRESS: 354 Mas St.
Are you the registered owner? Yes No
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments: Darking for men developme
la Keyl
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Aug 20. 2017 Signature

A

age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. **Please do not include your phone number or email address**.

Please review the plans and indicate the following:

CITY OF VICTORIA

SMALL LOT HOUSE REZONING PETITION

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,

Vittorio Francesco Cheli ____, am conducting the petition requirements for the _____, (print name)

property located at 335 Moss Street

to the following Small Lot Zone: R1-S2

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.

NAME: (please print)
ADDRESS: #308 1007 BOWEN R.D NAWAMPO OWNER OF 356 MOSS
Are you the registered owner? Yes X No
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments:
• • •
- The state of the second seco
1 - 20
Aug. 15/2017- Manne Gones Date Signature
V

(print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the property located at 335 Noss STREET

to the following Small Lot Zone: <u>C1-52</u>

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.

NAME: (please print) Chance Pryds (see note above)
ADDRESS: 356 Moss st.
Are you the registered owner? Yes D No
have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments:
*
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
Suly 23/17 Alsonature

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. **Please do not include your phone number or email address**.

NAME: (please print) Taliz Stirton	(see note above)
ADDRESS: 356 Mass St.	
Are you the registered owner? Yes No	
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the	following comments:
I support the application.	
I am opposed to the application.	
Comments:	
A +2/217	lant
August 7 (2017)	Signature

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. **Please do not include your phone number or email address**.

NAME: (please print) Mat Clarke	(see note above)
ADDRESS: 356 Moss storet	
Are you the registered owner? Yes 🗌 No 🖂	
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the foll	owing comments:
I support the application.	
I am opposed to the application.	
Comments:	
*	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	20 20
r.	
July 22/2017 24(Signature

FILLORIO (L)	, am conducting the petition requirements for	r the
(print name)		
property located at _	335 NOSA STREET	
to the following Sma	Il Lot Zone: RI-52	

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. **Please do not include your phone number or email address**.

Please review the plans and indicate the following:

Date

NAME: (please print) MARK MALLET (see note above)
ADDRESS: 1311 FAIRFIELD RD.
Are you the registered owner? Yes 🖄 No 🗌
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments: <u>In general</u> , I am supportive of increased housing density in <u>our neighbourhood near the downtown core</u> . I would prefe <u>to see more rental housing (2-3 smaller units instead of</u> <u>one large 2400 seff house) in this location, in exchange for</u> <u>a relaxing of setbacks as requested</u> .
AVG 21,2017 Mtt

Signature

JIMOLIO (L)	ELE	, am conducting the p	petition requirements for the
(print name)			<i></i>
property located at _	335 N.	STREET	
to the following Sma	II Lot Zone:	R1-52	10 ¹⁰

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. **Please do not include your phone number or email address**.

NAME: (please print) Rachel Mallet (see note above)
ADDRESS: 1311 Fairfield Rd
Are you the registered owner? Yes 🔀 No 🗌
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments: We support increased density in the neighbourhood.
It would be great to see a development with
smaller units, instead of one big house, that
could become rental mits, or at least more
affordable units for people to buy.
AUF ZZ/17 Date Disignature

	Received City of Victoria
In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I	AUG 2 1 2017
(print name), am conducting the petition red	
property located at <u>335 Mass Street</u> to the following Small Lot Zone: <u>R1-52</u>	
to the following Small Lot Zone.	0
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the a proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the C response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City con relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you owner. Please do not include your phone number or email ac	cceptability of the City of Victoria in I be published in a nsiders your address this personal wish to include your u are the registered
Please review the plans and indicate the following:	
NAME: (please print) <u>CHRISTINE BECHER</u> (see	e note above)
ADDRESS: 1 BRIAR PLACE	
Are you the registered owner? Yes Z	
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following	comments:
I support the application.	5.
I am opposed to the application.	
O There is already inade quake parking in neigh	bouch al atting a deput worse
(2) House proposed in too large for the lot	r.
3) The proposal contravenes the minimum set back	s required.
(4) There is a 10 foot utilities case ment that will	be adversely offerded
(3) The proposal contra venes the minimum set back (4) There is a 10 foot utilities case ment that will and probably distribed. The proposal is for t	hand scoping over the essence.
21 August 2017 Date Chit Be Signatu	
*1	

	Received City of Victoria
	AUG 2 1 2017
In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,	Planning & Development Department
(print name), am conducting the petition require	ements for the
property located at <u>555 Ness STREET</u>	
	an fan ar fan
to the following Small Lot Zone: 121-52	
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the app age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the accept proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City consider relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are owner. Please do not include your phone number or email addre	ptability of the of Victoria in published in a ers your address personal h to include your e the registered
Please review the plans and indicate the following:	· ·
NAME: (please print) PAUL BOUEKE (see no	ote above)
ADDRESS: #1 GRIAR PLACE, VICT	TO RIA
Are you the registered owner? Yes D No	
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following com	nments:
I support the application.	
I am opposed to the application.	
Comments:	
O There is insufficient lond to sug	sport a regoring
FOR a small lat.	- 11 1 11 (1)
(1) This is not a "handolip" Case NOES NOT LIVE at 335 Mors on	ad has no intentio
of building a home for promolly - he is	itend, To Sell
the 1 to man m he with the server	The second se
3 The proposed set bock on the North	A Sina 15 Loo
close To the existing house	mantal
I 4 houses on Brigon place have economic	to on the property
+ August 21, 2017 + Paul	Bankl

 $\gamma_{\rm s}$

(print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the

property located at

to the following Small Lot Zone:

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.

Please review the plans and indicate the following:

NAME: (please print) MEGHAN DA	(see note above)
ADDRESS: 3 BRIAR PL.	
Are you the registered owner? Yes	No

I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:

I support the application.

I am opposed to the application.

Zoning and setback requirements were established after extensive community consultation and consideration. We built our home 5 years ago and assumed these rules would be applied equitably. Though narrow, the proposed new home will be 2400 square feet and will not increase the affordable housing stock in the area. The new owner of 335 Moss stands to profit handsomely – should he be granted set back variances for subdivision. His intention is to sell the narrow lot should council agree to narrower setbacks than what is permitted under the current zoning. Shading, fire safety and utility impacts are of primary concern.

Underground utilities easement granted to the Briar residents through 335 Moss will be disrupted. – They were installed at great cost to the Briar residents over the past few years. The property owner at Moss should be required to be responsible for any short or long-term utility issues – resulting from changes made proposed subdivision. Four Briar PI properties are on title for the utility easement that traverses 335 Moss St. It is our feeling that all 4 should be petitioned.

Date

Signature

(print name), am conducting the petition requirements for the

property located at

to the following Small Lot Zone:

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. **Please do not include your phone number or email address**.

Please review the plans and indicate the following:

NAME: (please print) ______ (see note above) ADDRESS: Are you the registered owner? Yes 🗹 No 🗌

I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:

I support the application.

I am opposed to the application.

Zoning and setback requirements were established after extensive community consultation and consideration. We built our home 5 years ago and assumed these rules would be applied equitably. Though narrow, the proposed new home will be 2400 square feet and will not increase

the affordable housing stock in the area. The new owner of 335 Moss stands to profit handsomely should he be granted set back variances for subdivision. His intention is to sell the narrow lot should council agree to narrower setbacks than what is permitted under the current zoning.

Shading, fire safety and utility impacts are of primary concern.

Underground utilities easement granted to the Briar residents through 335 Moss will be disrupted. They were installed at great cost to the Briar residents over the past few years. The property owner at Moss should be required to be responsible for any short or long-term utility issues resulting from changes made proposed subdivision. Four Briar PI properties are on title for the utility easement that traverses 335 Moss St. It is our feeling that all 4 should be petitioned.

Signature

ATTACHMENT D Received City of Victoria OCT 0 3 2017 Planning & Development Department Development Services Division

Not part of Petition Summery

SMALL LOT HOUSE REZONING PETITION

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,

Vittorio Francesco Cheli____, am conducting the petition requirements for the (print name)

property located at 335 Moss Street

to the following Small Lot Zone: R1-S2

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.

Please review the plans and indicate the following:

NAME: (please print) Willia	m Wig	ht	_(see note above)
ADDRESS: #1 - 375	MOSS.	51.	
Are you the registered owner?	Yes 🗌	NoX	

I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:


I support the application.

I am opposed to the application.

Comments:

July 18, 2016

Signature



SMALL LOT HOUSE REZONING PETITION

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,

Vittorio Francesco Cheli , am conducting the petition requirements for the (print name)

property located at 335 Moss Street

to the following Small Lot Zone: $__{R1-S2}$

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.

Please review the plans and indicate the following:

NAME: (please print) <u>Seko</u>	ya Wer	llings	_(see note above)
ADDRESS: <u>#1-335</u>	MOSS	57.	
Are you the registered owner?	Yes 🗌	No	

I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:

I support the application.

I am opposed to the application.

Comments:

July 18, 2016

Swilling

SMALL LOT HOUSE REZONING PETITION

Received Cay of Victoria

OCT 0 3 2017

Planning & Development Department Development Services Division

NOt part of petition summer

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,

Vittorio Francesco Cheli _____, am conducting the petition requirements for the ______, print name)

property located at 335 Moss Street

to the following Small Lot Zone: R1-S2

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.

Please review the plans and indicate the following:

NAME: (please print) <u>KURSTIE</u> SOMNER	_(see note above)
ADDRESS: #1-335 MOSS ST.	
Are you the registered owner? Yes D No	
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the follow	wing comments:

I support the application.

I am opposed to the application.

Comments:

July 18, 2016

Kinsteinen Signature

~~~~			oria
OCT	N	3	2017

Development Department Development Services Division

#### SMALL LOT HOUSE REZONING PETITION

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I, <u>Unolso Rewcesco (uesc</u>, am conducting the petition requirements for the (print name) property located at <u>335 Moss Street</u> to the following Small Lot Zone: <u>121-52</u>

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. **Please do not include your phone number or email address**.

Please review the plans and indicate the following:

NAME: (please print)	n Marsho	(see note above)
ADDRESS: 2-335 Mos	s Street	
Are you the registered owner? Y	′es 🗌	No 🕞

I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:

✓ I support the application.

I am opposed to the application.

Comments:

May 24, 2016 Date

Signature

City	of Vic	ræð torie
OCT	03	2017
		ent Department Ces Division

petition summe

Not port of

#### SMALL LOT HOUSE REZONING PETITION

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,

Vittorio Francesco Cheli _____, am conducting the petition requirements for the ______,

property located at 335 Moss Street

to the following Small Lot Zone: R1-S2

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.

Please review the plans and indicate the following:

NAME: (please print) Hayley	Marshall	(see not	e above)
ADDRESS: _ #3 - 335 Mo	ss st.		
Are you the registered owner?	Yes 🗌	No	
I have reviewed the plans of the	applicant and	have the following com	ments:
I support the application.			•
I am opposed to the applica	tion.		
Comments:			erre en
July 19/2016 Date	_	Hayle Ma Signature	moll

)	Received City of Victoria
	OCT 0 3 2017
re la sur la	Planning & Development Department Development Services Division

#### SMALL LOT HOUSE REZONING PETITION

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,

Vittorio Francesco Cheli , am conducting the petition requirements for the

(print name)

property located at 335 Moss Street

to the following Small Lot Zone: R1-S2

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.

Please review the plans and indicate the following:

NAME: (please print) <u>Patrick Glanc</u>	(see note above)
ADDRESS: 3-335 Moss Streed	*
Are you the registered owner? Yes	No
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have	e the following comments:
I support the application.	

I am opposed to the application.

Comments:

Signature

#### SMALL LOT HOUSE REZONING PETITION

Received

OCT 0 3 2017

Planning expressionment Department characteristic on Services Division

Not pert of petchin summer

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,

Vittorio Francesco Cheli ____, am conducting the petition requirements for the ______

property located at 335 Moss Street

to the following Small Lot Zone: R1-S2

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.

Please review the plans and indicate the following:

NAME: (please print)	Rodney	Aike	n	(	see note above)
	ESS: $4-335$ moss st v8v 4m9 u the registered owner? Yes No Z reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments: upport the application. m opposed to the application.				
Are you the registered ov	vner? Yes	;	No		
I have reviewed the plan	s of the appli	icant an	d have th	e followii	ng comments:
I support the applicat	ion.				
I am opposed to the	application.				
Comments:					
				The second	
				an dia setta s	
		-			
Aug 17/2016			Roa	Ing si	gnature

#### SMALL LOT HOUSE REZONING PETITION

Received

TTO 3 2017

Subment Department

nt Services Division

Athen

Parameters, a

'n 179[c]+

NOT our of

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,

Vittorio Francesco Cheli _____, am conducting the petition requirements for the

property located at 335 Moss Street

to the following Small Lot Zone: R1-S2

The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.

Please review the plans and indicate the following:

NAME: (please print) <u>Vate</u>	- Jacks	son	(see note above)
ADDRESS: 4.335	MOSS	street	
Are you the registered owner?	Yes 🗌	No 🖉	

I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:

I support the application.

I am opposed to the application.

12016

Comments:


Signature

## PROPOSED SUBDIVISION: 335 Moss St.

VICTORIA, BC




#### KEY PLAN:




PROJECT INFO	DRMATION:	SHEET INDEX:	PROJECT	DIRECTORY:	SCOPE OF WORK:
SITE ADDRESS:	335 MOSS STREET VICTORIA, B.C VBV 4MB LOT 15, PLAN VIP1456, SECTION FFLD, LAND DISTRICT 57, AMENDED LOT 15 (DD790764)	A0.0 COVER SHEET A1.0 COMMUNITY PLAN A1.1 EXISTING & PROPOSED NEIGHBORHOOD PLAN A1.2 EXISTING & PROPOSED SITE PLAN A1.3 PROPOSED SITE SERVICE PLAN	DESIGNER:	RYAN HOYT DESIGNS INC. 250 999 9893	1.) SUBDIVISION OF EXISTING R1-B PARCEL INTO ONE R1-B (LOT B) & ONE R1-S2 (LOT A).
OWNER:	0975116 BC LTD.	A1.4 EXISTING & PROPOSED LANDSCAPE PLAN A1.5 SITE IMAGES A1.6 DEVELOPMENT ELEVATIONS A1.7 DEVELOPMENT PERSPECTIVES A1.8 DEVELOPMENT PERSPECTIVES	GENERAL CONTRACTOR:	TBD	
		A2.0 LOT A - COVER SHEET A2.1 LOT A - FLOOR PLANS	STRUCTURAL	TBD	
ZONING ANA	LYSIS: (EXISTING HOUSE 335)	A2.2 LOT A - SECTION A2.3 LOT A - ELEVATIONS A2.4 LOT A - PERSPECTIVES	SURVEYOR:	BRAD CUNNIN LAND SURVEYO 250.381.2257	
ZONE:	R1-8	A3.0 LOT B - COVER SHEET A3.1 LOT B - FLOOR PLANS A3.2 LOT B - FLOOR PLANS			<b>Received</b> Oty of Victoria
LOT AREA: LOT COVERAGE:	878 3m2 EXISTING	A3.2 LOT B - FLOOR PLANS A3.3 LOT B - SECTION A3.4 LOT B - ELEVATIONS A3.5 LOT B - ELEVATIONS			city of victoria
HOUSE	19.8% (173.6m/878.3m)	A36 LOT B - PERSPECTIVES			SEP 2 5 2017
				: J C :	Planning & Development Department Development Services Division






τ



Ð NI 212.91 × ... LOT AREA 878.3m2 sh. toper site Sec. 14 CANTLEVER 1456 NO 335 DWELLING PEAK + 24.5 EAVE + 20.46 (LOWER EAVE) 22.60 (DORMER EAVE) **** = 35 Bit 11 35 hang P/U FOOTPRINT + 154.2m2 (NELUDES DECK & PORCH netphodur's PLAN TOTAL FLOOR AREA = 258 0m2 TWO MAIN FLOORS & ATTIC < 2 15 CELING 115 March CANTLEVER CANTLEVER 04 CANTLEVER CANTELEVER OVER DECK 14. SI WY -3 4.14 12 A. Vert 613 71 ---14. UTUTY FOLE FLOWERNOC BY 0.25 BRY 0 26m al sat dales MOSS STREET Proposed Site Plan 1:100 1 Existing Site Plan



NAME OF THE A design of the Books, and you to the design of the Books of the Books Real part of the Books of the Books Real of the Books of the Books of the Real of the Books of the Books of the States of the Books of the Books of the Instant Real part of the Instant Real part of the Books of the Instant Real part of the Instant Real part of the Books of the Instant Real part of the Books of the Instant Real part of the Instant Real par

~ ....

The Design of the Court of the Second Second

-~~~

문원원원

16Nov08 17Feb10 17April05 12Mav12 12Sept21

> Ryan Hayt Designs Int. Suite 207, 4475 Viewme Victoria, BC V&Z 6L8 250,999,9893 www.rysnhoytdesigns.co

> > Existing & Proposed Site Plan

Sheet Tido:

16031

🖁 A1.2

No

By:

å

Date:

335 Moss Street

-








PHOTO #1 VIEW OF PROPOSED LOT LOOKING NORTH EAST FROM NORS STREET NOTE AREA OF EXISTING SIDE YARD AVAILABLE



HOTO #2 VIEW OF PI NO EAST TE EXISTING



PHOTO AS VIEW OF PROPOSED LOT LOOKING NORTH EAST FROM MOSS STREET. NOTE EXISTING RETAINING WALL TO BE SALVAGED AND RE USED DURING CONSTRUCTION




17Feb Tyten Picton 335 Moss Street Site Images No. 16031 🖁 A1.5 🔊


333


-



















# EXISTING SINGLE FAMILY DWELLING: 337 MOSS St. (Lot B)

EXISTING

0.78 (N/A)

EXISTING

EXISTING

EXISTING

9.0m

8.1m

1.8m

1.3m

173.6m2 (173.6543.0 + 32.0%) EXISTING 10.57m

113.5m2 117.9m2 124.9m2 65.3m2 421.6m2

### VICTORIA, BC



	SITE ADDRE	SS:	337 MOSS STREET
-			SECTION FFLD, LAND D LOT 15 (DD79076-I)
	OWNER:		VITTORIO CHELI
	SCOPE C	DF WC	RK:
-	1.) MODIFICATIO	ON OF EXIS	TING BUILDING
1.00	PROJECT	DIRE	CTORY:
	DESIGNER	RYAN HO 250.999.9	YT DESIGNS INC
1	GENERAL CONTRACTOR	TBD	
	STRUCTURAL ENGINEER:	TBD	
	SURVEYOR	BRAD CU 250 381 2	NNING LAND SURVEYOR LTD

ZONING ANALYSI	<u>S:</u>
ZONE:	R1-8
LOT AREA:	543.0m2
GRADES: AV	ERAGE GRADE 13.2m
F.S.R:	ALLOWABLE (N/A)
GROSS FLOOR AREA:	ALLOWABLE
BASEMENT: MAIN FLOOR: SECOND FLOOR:	280.0m2
TOTAL:	300.0m2 (AS PER 81-8 20NING
LOT COVERAGE: HOUSE:	ALLOWABLE 40% (040'325 3m2 = 134 1m2)
HEIGHT: HOUSE:	ALLOWABLE 7.5m
SETBACKS: FRONT (W): REAR (E): (33% (07 05PTH) SIDE (N): (19% (07 WDTH)	ALLOWABLE 7.5m 8.4m 1.52m


SIDE (S):

3.0m

	A3.1 A3.2 A3.3 A3.4 A3.5	LOT B LOT B LOT B LOT B	COVER SHEE FLOOR PLAN FLOOR PLAN SECTION ELEVATIONS ELEVATIONS PERSPECTIV	S
PROPOSED	)			
0.78 (N/A)(NO	CHANGE	9		
PROPOSED	,			
113.5m2 (NO C 117.9m2 (NO C 124.9m2 (NO C 65.3m2 (NO C 421.6m2 (112.5	HANGE! HANGE! HANGE! +117 9+124	9+65.3) (N	D CHANGE)	
PROPOSED	-	0.1 (NO C	MANGEY	
PROPOSEI	)			
PROPOSED	2			

SHEET INDEX:

#### 10.57m (NO CHANGE) PROPOSED 8.1m (REDUCED BY 0 BM FOR ROAD DEDICATION) 8.1m (NO CHANGE) 1.3m (NO CHANGE) 1.3m (NO CHANGE)



-----39 . 3" 40 - 3* 36-3 11-5, 3+11 112 18-5 1/2" T-0117 . T. 5 1/2 7.8 8-0 UNE OF DECK ABOVE (TVP.) Deck The Dependence of A second of these and the end of the Dependence of the Dependence of the other and the Dependence of the Second Dependence of the Dependenc G c 211 1-5 O Breaklast F-F- 5-4 LINE OF FLOOR ABOVE (TYP ) ..... COLATER Baciroom Dining 88 D No ~~~~ By: Æ -ſ DROP BEAME (TYP) Her. Room 50 SUITERT Living Room Entranca S-PAINO Dervillender 12-anz 12-ar 16Nov08 17Feb10 12Auril05 12Mav17 12Sept21 Date: Ryan Huyt Draigna Inc. Sulta 207, 4475 Viewm Victaria, 8C V8Z 6L8 250,999,9893 280,999,9893 eww.yanboytisetigna.cc NE OF FLOOR ABOVE Diornos 7-8-107 rands 1.012 -Lot B - Floor Plans 335 Moss Street Sheet Tide: Į.Į 7.0 2.11 V2 5 - 2* 15-1 12 8-4 1/2 15-1* 7.1112 11'+0' 7 - 11 1/2" 5.5 2-10112 1-611-6 39.3 40 - 2 @ Main Floor 1/4* = 1'-0* SUITE LOCATION & SIZE FLOOR AREA SUITE #1 SUITE #2 SUITE #3 SUITE #4 2453 SF 574 SF 508 SF 764 SF 171 SF BASEMEN MAIN SECOND THRD TOTAL GARAGE 1008 SF 1296 SF 1292 SF 354 SF 36027 6F 244 SF No 16031

----

¥ A3.1

Received City of Victoria SEP 2 5 2017

COMMON AREA

543210

DRAWING SCALE: 1/4" "= 1"- 0" ALL MEASUREMENTS ARE IN FEET

5

10

15

Planning & Development Department Development Services Division

1-5

57-912 24-412

Г

21112


a


1.6

1/4" = 1'.0.

Flex Room


61








---------



.



...

#### Lacey Maxwell

From:	Vittorio Cheli
Sent:	July 15, 2017 8:48 AM
То:	Victoria Mayor and Council
Cc:	Chelsea Medd; Alison Meyer; Jonathan Tinney;
Subject:	Committee of the Whole Follow Up: 335 Moss Street (#REZ00538)
Categories:	Lacey in Progress, Awaiting Staff Response

Dear Mayor and Council,

#### Re: Small Lot Rezoning & Subdivision Application for 335 Moss Street (#REZ00538)

I am the owner of the aforementioned small lot rezoning and subdivision proposal, which was first presented to you at the Committee of the Whole Meeting of July 6, 2017. I would like to take this opportunity to address some concerns that came to light in the correspondence, resulting in the adoption of the amended motion to send to Public Hearing, pending the outcome of a new Small Lot House Rezoning Neighbourhood Support Petition.

Specifically, I would like to address the concerns raised by Committee members about how the Small Lot House Rezoning Neighbourhood Support Petition was conducted and the allegations that resident electors were not adequately or correctly consulted. I want to assure you that the process was respected, that neighbours were consulted and that good faith efforts were made to ensure that all eligible resident electors were correctly identified and given ample opportunities to submit their petitions and provide feedback.

Before undertaking the Petition, I met with the assigned area planner at the time, Mr. Robert Bateman, to confirm the scope of properties that needed to be petitioned. I presented Mr. Bateman with a map of the neighbourhood and he pointed to the properties that fell within the scope of the petition policy requirements (i.e., properties abutting the subject property and within 10m across the street). He also advised to include the tenants of 335 Moss Street, the subject property, in the petition – which I did – suggesting that they should not be excluded from having a voice simply because they would be most impacted. The subsequent area planner assigned to this application, Mr. Alec Johnston, provided direction in his first review of the plans to remove the tenants and also to include the resident electors of 1 Briar Place, who called City staff after I had submitted the petition and demanded that the scope of the petition be broadened to include them, as their property is accessed via a common strata driveway that abuts my property. I expressed my concerns that 1 Briar Place did not meet the eligibility criteria stated in the petition policy requirements. A common strata driveway is by definition uninhabited and therefore there are no resident electors to petition there. However, Mr. Johnston acquiesced to the demands of the resident electors of 1 Briar Place and requested that I petition them, despite this falling outside of the scope of the petition's eligibility criteria. I did as was requested of me, in the spirit of inclusive consultation.

I mention all of this to demonstrate that I approached the petition process methodically and that I also sought out guidance from the City not only before I undertook the petition, but also during the petition, as a measure to ensure that I followed the process as prescribed, and adapted even when that guidance changed after the fact. Although the policy requirements are explicitly stated and I followed the petition process to the letter, City staff took a broad policy interpretation beyond the scope of the policy to include ineligible resident electors. When I asked for the same broad policy lens to be applied to allow the otherwise disenfranchised tenants of the subject property to be included, City staff took a narrow policy interpretation and excluded them. It is my opinion that this creates an administrative unfairness at the common law.

I would also like to take this opportunity to specifically address the letter submitted by the resident electors residing at 3 Briar Place, as "late, late correspondence." As this property was identified to me by Mr. Bateman as a property within the scope of the petition policy requirements, naturally I sought out these resident electors for consultation. On the first two occasions, I met with the resident electors' teenaged son, with whom I left my contact information (i.e., name, mobile number and email address), a set of the proposed plans and copies of the petition forms. I asked him to please pass along the package and my contact information to his parents and have them contact me if they had any questions or concerns. On three subsequent occasions when I attended the property, no one came to the door. In my meetings with the resident electors at 1311 Fairfield Road, they had mentioned that they were friends with the resident electors at 3 Briar Place: and so I left yet another set of plans and petition with them, in hopes that they may have more luck than me in contacting them and could pass them along when they saw them next. Finally, three days before the end of the petition period, I managed make face-to-face contact with one of the resident electors herself (i.e., Mrs. Day). I went over the proposal with her and urged her to get the petition in before the deadline. She acknowledged receiving copies of the plans and petition from her son, and so I offered to wait if she wanted to fill out the petition while I waited. She wanted to speak with her husband, first, and agreed to submit the petition by the deadline. I followed up once again on the deadline day and found no one home, nor were any petition forms left out for me. As a result of my multiple good faith efforts to engage and consult with these resident electors and their lack of response, I did as the policy requirements state and marked them as Neutral.

In reading the resident electors' letter to the Committee of the Whole, I was shocked and confused to read that they "had never met with the applicant." This is untrue. It is also untrue that, "[n]one of the Briar residents were not (sic) notified of this or subsequent CALUC Meetings." Of course, City staff mailed out CALUC notices to residents within a 100m radius of my property – which includes all of Briar Place – and the proposal is listed on the City's Development Tracker. I also had several conversations with the resident elector for 1 Briar Place, who indicated to me that he was the ringleader for the strata and that he was engaging me on behalf of them all. It is further untrue that, "[o]nly two residents were able to attend on such short notice." Mr. Day omitted to mention that he did indeed attend the CALUC meeting that evening, along with two other Briar Place residents; however, he could not be bothered to stay long enough for me to make my presentation, which was last on the agenda. The resident elector from 1 Briar Place informed me that Mr. & Mrs. Day had a "date night" planned and could not stick around for my presentation. It is unfortunate that the CALUC meeting did not mesh with Mr. & Mrs. Day's social calendar. As Councilor Coleman mentioned, the meeting was "passionate." The two Briar Place residents verbally assailed me moments into my presentation, and I did my best to respond to their barrage. It is a shame that the Acting Chair of CALUC allowed the meeting to get out of control in this manner. The previous presentations that evening were much more orderly, with the applicant allowed to present and attendees asking questions afterwards. There were also neighbours in attendance from Franklin Terrace that were supportive of my application.

Unfortunately, given the nature of Committee of the Whole, I was unable dispute the claims made in the letter nor was even table the petition notes I had made, capturing the dates, times and outcomes of my petitioning efforts. The lateness of the correspondence also meant that I was unable to discuss the letter with the planner before the meeting, nor was I able show her my notes, disputing the veracity of the resident electors' false claims. With the other side of the story unable to come to light at the time, the Committee's resulting unease was understandable and I empathize with having to make a decision based on imperfect information. Perhaps Committee members would have voted to send this application directly to Public Hearing, rather than amending the motion and requiring me to redo a petition that was conducted methodically, in good faith and as per the petition policy requirements.

Notwithstanding these events, I am now preparing to undertake the petition process once again, as per the amended motion. I certainly hope that the version of events that I have presented in this letter will help to demonstrate that I undertook a methodical and good faith approach to administering the Small Lot House Rezoning Neighbourhood Support Petition and at least have assuaged any prejudices or misgivings against me, and that I somehow tried to subvert the process or "pull a fast one" on my neighbours. Humbly, I hope that I have demonstrated that this is not the case.

Thank you for considering my correspondence.

Vitto

Vittorio Cheli

Paul Lima
August 16, 2017 11:02 AM
Victoria Mayor and Council
Chelsea Medd;
Re: Small Lot Subdivision an Rezoning Proposal for 335 Moss Street
Follow up
Flagged

Dear Mayor and Council,

I am writing in support of the small lot rezoning and subdivision application associated with 335 Moss Street. I see this application as a wonderfully opportunity for a family to call Fairfield home.

While I do not live in Fairfield, I am a resident of the City of Victoria and feel compelled to support any project that adds units of housing in a minimally invasive manner. I feel that Victoria needs more sympathetic infill housing like this to add to the scarce supply of housing. To that end, I appreciate that the applicant has found a way to add an additional unit of housing while maintaining the existing four units of much needed rental housing. This application respects the existing neighbourhood character while adding a much needed unit of housing to what is currently an underused patch of lawn.

The addition of a new family home makes perfect sense in this space. The home fits perfectly onto this site, provides important off-street parking and the design is in keeping with the rest of the homes on this street. While a new build on this property is not likely to be affordable, it will give a family an opportunity to live in Fairfield while potentially freeing up another more affordable space elsewhere in town. Victoria needs housing all along the affordability spectrum.

I have had an opportunity to review the proposal and the drawings for the proposed small lot house at 335 Moss Street and have also spoken with the applicant. I am sensitive to changes in the neighbourhood and I am also relieved that the applicant is not demolishing the existing building on the lot, which provides four.

I think will be a wonderful addition to our neighbourhood and I fully support this application.

From:	Laurens Besier
Sent:	August 16, 2017 10:49 AM
To:	Victoria Mayor and Council
Cc:	Chelsea Medd; Vittorio Cheli
Subject:	Small Lot Subdivision and Rezoning Proposal : 335 Moss Street
Follow Up Flag:	Follow up
Flag Status:	Flagged

#### Dear Mayor and Council,

I am writing in support of the small lot rezoning and subdivision application associated with 335 Moss Street. I currently live in Fairfield, within walking distance of the property and I love my neighbourhood! It provides a diversity of housing and services, catering to renters and homeowners alike.

I have had an opportunity to review the proposal and the drawings for the proposed small lot house at 335 Moss Street and have also spoken with the applicant. I am sensitive to changes in the neighbourhood and I feel that this application respects the existing neighbourhood character while adding a much needed unit of housing to what is currently an underused patch of lawn. I am also relieved that the applicant is not demolishing the existing building on the lot, which provides four much needed units of rental housing.

The addition of a new family home makes perfect sense in this space. The home fits perfectly onto this site, provides important off-street parking and the design is in keeping with the rest of the homes on this street. While a new build on this property is not likely to be affordable, it will give a family an opportunity to live in Fairfield while potentially freeing up another more affordable space elsewhere in town. Victoria needs housing all along the affordability spectrum.

I think this will be a wonderful addition to our neighbourhood and I fully support this application!

Regards,

Laurens Besier

From:	
Sent:	
To:	
Cc:	
Subject:	

Lee Herrin August 17, 2017 10:04 AM Victoria Mayor and Council Chelsea Medd; Vittorio Cheli Small Lot Subdivision and Rezoning Proposal for 335 Moss Street

Dear Mayor and Council,

I am writing in support of the small lot rezoning and subdivision application associated with 335 Moss Street. I live within walking distance of the property and so have seen the sign and studied the proposal.

I am sensitive to changes in the neighbourhood and I feel that this application respects the existing neighbourhood character. The proposed new home fits well on the site, provides off-street parking and the design is in keeping with the rest of the homes on this street. I am also relieved that the applicant is not demolishing the existing building on the lot, which provides four much needed units of rental housing.

Affordability is about more than price. It's also about the total cost of ownership and the costs of living in a particular location. A new home a 335 Moss is walking distance to the Cook Street Village, cycling distance to the services at Fairfield Plaza, and an easy bike ride or bus trip from downtown. While the owner will likely have a car, they may in fact end up using it very little. And for a family with children, the new home is also a block from a school with the Fairfield Gonzales Community Association and all of its services located on the same site.

I think this is an intelligent infill and I am in full support of the proposal.

Sincerely,

Lee Herrin

1145 Collinson Street

Sarah Murphy
August 18, 2017 11:04 AM
Victoria Mayor and Council
Chelsea Medd;
Small Lot Subdivision an Rezoning Proposal for 335 Moss Street

Dear Mayor and Council,

I am writing to support of the small lot rezoning and subdivision application associated with <u>335 Moss</u> <u>Street</u>. I rent an apartment in the Fairfield/Rockland area, spend a lot of time walking around this neighbourhood and attending community events. I love how my neighbourhood provides a diversity of housing and services that caters to renters and homeowners alike.

I have had an opportunity to review the proposal and the drawings for the proposed small lot house at <u>335 Moss Street</u> and have also spoken with the applicant. I feel that this application respects the existing character of the neighbourhood, while adding a much needed unit of housing to what is currently an underused space. I am also relieved that the applicant is not demolishing the existing building on the lot, which is a lovely house that provides four units of rental housing (much needed in Victoria). The addition of a new family home makes perfect sense in this space. The home fits perfectly onto this site, provides important off-street parking and the design is in keeping with the rest of the homes on this street. I think will be a wonderful addition to my neighbourhood and I fully support this application.

Thanks,

Sarah

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: Susan Fowles **Constant Constant Constan** 

Dear Mayor and Council,

I am writing in support of the small lot rezoning and subdivision application associated with 335 Moss Street. I see this application as a wonderful opportunity for a family, such as mine, to purchase our first home in an area where I spent most of my childhood (Thurlow Road).

Currently, my husband and I, along with our 2 year old son, live in an overpriced rental in Saanich that is falling apart and will be torn down within the next year. My husband and I both grew up in Victoria and Oak Bay and work in well-paying professional jobs and are struggling to purchase a home due to the shortage in supply, fierce competition and increasing prices.

It is extremely devastating being a professional family that works hard and saves money, to not be able to purchase a home. Add on top of that, having a toddler and constantly living in a state of instability in your living situation because you rent, is very stressful and emotional.

I feel that Victoria needs more sympathetic infill housing like this to add to the scarce supply of housing and to keep young families such as mine from being priced out of the city completely. Having to potentially move a grandson away from his grandparents because we cannot afford to live in the same city, would be heartbreaking.

As my husband works as a project manager in construction management, it is obtainable for us to purchase a lot like 335 Moss Street and implement the plans that the applicant has brought forward. I appreciate that the applicant has found a way to add an additional unit of housing on this lot while maintaining the existing four units of much needed rental housing. This application respects the existing neighbourhood character while adding a much needed unit of housing to what is currently an underused patch of lawn.

The home would fit perfectly onto this site, provide important off-street parking, and the design is in keeping with the rest of the homes on this street. This home would allow me to walk to work instead of drive and park downtown, and also allows my toddler to walk to school once he is of age. Most importantly, a home like this provides stability for my child and my family, knowing we will potentially always be in the same neighbourhood and school catchment.

If for some reason a new build on this property is not affordable for us, it will give another family similar to ours an opportunity to live in Fairfield while potentially freeing up another more affordable space elsewhere in town. Victoria needs housing all along the affordability spectrum.

I think this will be a wonderful addition to the neighbourhood and I fully support this application.

Regards,

Susan Fowles Saanich, B.C.

Jordan Thome August 21, 2017 2:59 PM Victoria Mayor and Council Chelsea Medd; Vittorio Cheli Subject: Support of the proposed lot Subdivision located at 335 Moss st

Follow Up Flag: **Flag Status:** 

From:

Sent: To:

Cc:

#### Follow up Flagged

Dear Mayor and Council,

I am writing in support of the small lot rezoning and subdivision application associated with 335 Moss Street. I see this application as a wonderfully opportunity for a family to call Fairfield home. I am currently a active Realtor and I have seen first hand what the lack of housing available has done to the market and also the rental market.

While I do not live in Fairfield, I am a resident of the City of Victoria and live only 5 min away which makes me feel compelled to support any project that adds units of housing in a minimally invasive manner. I feel that Victoria needs more sympathetic infill housing like this to add to the scarce supply of housing. To that end, I appreciate that the applicant has found a way to add an additional unit of housing while maintaining the existing four units of much needed rental housing. This application respects the existing neighbourhood character while adding a much needed unit of housing to what is currently an underused patch of lawn.

The addition of a new family home makes perfect sense in this space. The home fits perfectly onto this site, provides important offstreet parking and the design is in keeping with the rest of the homes on this street. While a new build on this property is not likely to be affordable, it will give a family an opportunity to live in Fairfield while potentially freeing up another more affordable space elsewhere in town. Victoria needs housing all along the affordability spectrum.

I have had an opportunity to review the proposal and the drawings for the proposed small lot house at 335 Moss Street and have also spoken with the applicant. I am sensitive to changes in the neighbourhood and I am also relieved that the applicant is not demolishing the existing building on the lot, which provides four.

I think will be a wonderful addition to our neighbourhood and I fully support this application.

Regards Jordan T.

From:	Ally Downs
Sent:	August 19, 2017 10:51 PM
То:	Victoria Mayor and Council
Cc:	Vittorio Cheli; Chelsea Medd
Subject:	Re: Small Lot Subdivision and Rezoning Proposal for 335 Moss Street

Dear Mayor and Council,

I am writing in support of the small lot rezoning and subdivision application associated with 335 Moss Street. I have lived in Fairfield for the past 6 years, initially as a renter and now as a home owner. I live within walking distance of the property and I love my neighbourhood! I like that it provides a diversity of housing that caters to renters and homeowners alike while keeping the neighbourhood feel.

I have had an opportunity to review the proposal and the drawings for the proposed small lot house at 335 Moss Street and have also spoken with the applicant. As it is my intention to live and raise my family in Fairfield I am sensitive to changes in the neighbourhood. I feel that this application respects the existing neighbourhood character while adding a much needed unit of housing to what is currently an underused patch of lawn. I am also relieved that the applicant is not demolishing the existing building on the lot, which provides four much needed units of rental housing.

The addition of a new family home makes perfect sense in this space. The home fits perfectly onto this site, provides important off-street parking and the design is in keeping with the rest of the homes on this street. I also feel this small lot style of house will allow for a more affordable option for a family trying to make beautiful Fairfield their home. Victoria needs housing all along the affordability spectrum.

I think will be a wonderful addition to our neighbourhood and I fully support this application.

Sincerely,

Ally Downs

### Lacey Maxwell

From:	Pheng Heng
Sent:	August 21, 2017 12:27 PM
To:	Victoria Mayor and Council
Cc:	Chelsea Medd
Subject:	Small Lot Subdivision and Rezoning Proposal for 335 Moss Street

Dear Mayor and Council,

I am writing in support of the small lot rezoning and subdivision application associated with 335 Moss Street. I currently live in Fairfield on Moss Street with my family and walk by the property on my daily walk to work. We appreciate that the community has a diversity of housing and services, catering to renters and homeowners alike.

I have had an opportunity to review the proposal and the drawings for the proposed small lot house at 335 Moss Street and have also spoken with the applicant. As a homeowner, I feel that this application respects the existing neighbourhood character while adding a much needed unit of housing to what is currently an underused patch of lawn. I am also relieved that the applicant is not demolishing the existing building on the lot, which provides four much needed units of rental housing.

The addition of a new family home would fit perfectly onto this site, provides important off-street parking (as this particular part of Moss Street is very congested with street parking) and the design is in keeping with the rest of the homes on this street. While a new build on this property is not likely to be affordable, it will give a family an opportunity to live in Fairfield while potentially freeing up another more affordable space elsewhere in town. Victoria needs housing all along the affordability spectrum.

I think this would be a wonderful addition to our neighbourhood and I fully support this application.

Yours truly,

Pheng C. Heng 2-1308 Dallas Road Victoria, BC V8S 1A1

From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject: michael Colwill August 22, 2017 8:45 AM Victoria Mayor and Council Vittorio Cheli; Chelsea Medd 335 Moss Street Letter of Support

Dear Mayor and Council,

I am writing in support of the small lot rezoning and subdivision application associated with 335 Moss Street. I currently live at 1457 Richardson Street, within walking distance of the property and I love my neighbourhood! It provides a diversity of housing and services, catering to renters and homeowners alike.

I have had an opportunity to review the proposal and the drawings for the proposed small lot house at 335 Moss Street and have also spoken with the applicant. I am sensitive to changes in the neighbourhood and I feel that this application respects the existing neighbourhood character while adding a much needed unit of housing to what is currently an underused patch of lawn. I am also relieved that the applicant is not demolishing the existing building on the lot, which provides four much needed units of rental housing.

The addition of a new family home makes perfect sense in this space. The home fits perfectly onto this site, provides important off-street parking and the design is in keeping with the rest of the homes on this street. While a new build on this property is not likely to be affordable, it will give a family an opportunity to live in Fairfield while potentially freeing up another more affordable space elsewhere in town. Victoria needs housing all along the affordability spectrum.

I think will be a wonderful addition to our neighbourhood and I fully support this application.

Sincerely,

Michael Colwill