Appendix B - Delegated Process and Timelines

The following is a description of the likely DP Application and HAP Application processes should Council delegate authority to staff to approve these types of permits. The process time frame could vary significantly depending on the complexity of an application, whether or not Advisory Design Panel or Heritage Advisory Panel review is appropriate, or how quickly the applicant responds to suggestions from staff or requests for information. It should also be noted that applications which are excluded from Delegated Authority would continue to be reviewed under the current established process.

Following application submission, DP Applications and HAP Applications would follow the delegated process outlined below:

I. Staff Review of Application

The application would be reviewed by the relevant City Departments. A weekly list of DP and HAP Applications received would be prepared for Council's review as well as being posted on the City's website. Staff would review the application against the relevant policy, design guidelines, bylaws, and any other pertinent regulations to determine whether the project can be supported. Staff from the various Departments would hold a "Technical Review Committee" (TRC) meeting to discuss the application and identify any issues. The TRC minutes would then be sent to the applicant clearly identifying any outstanding issues that need to be resolved (if any) prior to a decision being made.

Estimated time:

2 - 4 weeks

II. Community Consultation (only when a Variance is proposed)

If a DP Application or HAP Application includes variances, the application could be referred to the Community Association Land Use Committee (CALUC) who would be invited to provide comments within 30 days (consistent with current practice). A notice would also be posted at the application site advertising the proposal and the owners and occupiers of adjacent parcels would be notified of the application in writing. The notice posting and adjacent neighbour consultation currently occurs 10 days prior to the Hearing, therefore, in the absence of a Hearing, this consultation would occur concurrently with the CALUC referral. A decision would not be made by staff during this consultation period.

Staff will consider any comments received regarding the DP or HAP with variances in the 30-day consultation period, prior to issuing a decision.

Estimated Time:

5 weeks (if a variance is proposed)

III. Applicant Responds to Outstanding Issues

Staff comments, as outlined in the TRC minutes, could require that the applicant submit amended plans and/or additional information to support the application. It often takes the applicant several weeks to make plan revisions and submit a revised application package to the City, although this very much depends on the range and significance of

the issues that need to be addressed and the applicant's response time, both of which cannot be accurately anticipated.

This process may not be required if no issues are raised in relation to the review of the initial submission.

Estimated time:

2 - 8 weeks

IV. Staff Review of Revised Plans

When revised plans or additional project information is submitted to the City, further staff review is required. This process would continue until staff are satisfied that they are in a position to make a decision.

Estimated time: required)

2 weeks (based on a single iteration of revised plans being

V. Advisory Design Panel or Heritage Advisory Committee Review

Subject to the nature of the application (e.g. scale, location, complexity, etc.) and at the discretion of the Director of Sustainable Planning and Community Development Department, staff may bring a proposal before the Advisory Design Panel or Heritage Advisory Panel for review and input. Staff would prepare a report to the Panel or Committee, prepare an agenda, attend the meeting, provide a brief presentation and, subsequently, a motion from the meeting would be prepared.

Given the nature of the delegation criteria identified in the staff recommendation (e.g. only relatively minor HAPs would be delegated and DP proposals that exceed certain thresholds based on scale would be referred to Council), it is likely that more significant and/or complex applications would be referred to Council in the first instance and relatively few delegated applications would merit referral to Advisory Design Panel or Heritage Advisory Panel.

Estimated time:

2 – 4 weeks (dependent on monthly meeting schedule)

VI. Design Revisions

If an application goes before the Advisory Design Panel or Heritage Advisory Panel, there may be design changes as a result of suggestions by the Panel or Committee. Staff would need to conduct a review of any design changes. Again, the timeline associated with this process could vary significantly depending on the applicant's response time.

Estimated time:

2 - 4 weeks

VII. Staff Decision

When it is determined by staff that the application is acceptable and should be approved, a Decision Letter would then be prepared clearly outlining the rationale for the decision, based on relevant City policy and design guidelines.

If approved, staff would then issue the DP or HAP and have the document registered on property title.

Estimated Time: <u>1 week</u>

Based on the above process, it is estimated that where applications are supportable and no revisions or additional information is required, an approval could be issued for a DP Application or HAP Application with no variances within two to four weeks and, where a variance is proposed, in just over 30 days. This timeline could be significantly affected by the following factors:

- the complexity of a project
- whether the design needs to be altered significantly to meet application design guidelines
- whether additional supporting information (i.e. a parking study or other specialist consultant report) is required
- applicant response times to requests for amended plans and/or additional information
- whether or not a project needs to be reviewed by the Advisory Design Panel or Heritage Advisory Panel.

The actual timeline associated with these factors is not easily quantifiable, however, most of these issues are not unique to a delegated process.