### **Janice Appleby**

From:

Derek Reimer

Sent:

Wednesday, Apr 16, 2014 11:10 AM

To:

Janice Appleby

Subject:

Fw: Authorization to act on my behalf

----Original Message-----

From: jveran

Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2014 10:58 AM

To: Derek Reimer

Subject: Authorization to act on my behalf

April 16, 2014

To whom it may concern:

I, the undersigned, authorize Derek Reimer to re-date and re-submit my petition AGAINST the proposed development at 62 Cambridge St.

Jean-Pierre Veran 1147 Faithful St, Victoria BC

Planning & Land Use Standing Committee

APR 1 8 2014

Late Item#

Page#

# **Janice Appleby**

From:

Derek Reimer

Sent:

Wednesday, Apr 16, 2014 11:10 AM

To:

Janice Appleby

Subject:

Fw: Authorization to act on my behalf

----Original Message-----From: Marie Véran

Sent: Wednesday, April 16, 2014 10:57 AM

To: Derek Reimer

Subject: Authorization to act on my behalf

April 16, 2014

To whom it may concern:

I, the undersigned, authorize Derek Reimer to re-date and re-submit my petition AGAINST the proposed development at 62 Cambridge St.

Marie-Josee Lepage 1147 Faithful St, Victoria BC

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,
Peter Waldhaber, am conducting the petition requirements for the
property located at 62 Cambridge 5+  to the following Small Lot Zone: R152
to the following Small Lot Zone: $\frac{R152}{}$
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.
Please review the plans and indicate the following:
NAME: (please print) 1907 (see note above)
ADDRESS: 77 Cambridge
Are you the registered owner? Yes X No
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
☐ I support the application.
I am opposed to the application.
Comments:
Date

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,
, am conducting the petition requirements for the
property located at 6.2 /combrudge St
to the following Small Lot Zone: R 1 = 2
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.
Please review the plans and indicate the following:
NAME: (please print) ACICIA (OSAGE (see note above)  ADDRESS: 27 Cambridge St
Are you the registered owner? Yes \( \bigcap \) No \( \bigcap \)
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
☐ I support the application.
🔟 I am opposed to the application.
Comments:
Opril 15 2014 Signature

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,
PETER WALD HILATO, am conducting the petition requirements for the
property located at 62 CAMBEINGE STREET
to the following Small Lot Zone: R/82
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.
Please review the plans and indicate the following:
NAME: (please print) MANIE - JOSEF IT PACE (see note above)
ADDRESS: 1147 FAITHFUL STREET
Are you the registered owner? Yes \square No \square
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
☐ I support the application.
☐ I am opposed to the application.
Comments:  SEE ENAILS & EXPIRED PETITION, MY CEPESITION REMAINS FOR THE SAME REASONS SHOWN ON THE EARLIER SETITION
16 APRIL 2014 Marie-Jesee Le page Signature Signature

In preparation for my rezoning application to the City of Victoria, I,
$\frac{p_{c77}}{p_{cont}} \frac{\sqrt{NNN}}{NNN}$ , am conducting the petition requirements for the
property located at 62 CANRAINGE STRIET
to the following Small Lot Zone:
The City of Victoria's Small Lot Rezoning Policy requires that the applicant poll voting age residents and owners of neighbouring lots to determine the acceptability of the proposal. Please note that all correspondence submitted to the City of Victoria in response to this Petition will form part of the public record and will be published in a meeting agenda when this matter is before Council. The City considers your address relevant to Council's consideration of this matter and will disclose this personal information. However, if for personal privacy reasons you do not wish to include your name, please indicate your address and indicate (yes or no) if you are the registered owner. Please do not include your phone number or email address.
Please review the plans and indicate the following:
NAME: (please print) <u>JEAN PIERRY VERAN</u> (see note above)
ADDRESS: 1147 / AMHILL STEET
Are you the registered owner? Yes No No
I have reviewed the plans of the applicant and have the following comments:
☐ I support the application.
☑ I am opposed to the application.
Comments:  SCE EMAILS & EXPIRED POSITION, MY  CIPPOSITION REMAINS FOR THE SAME REASONS  SHOWN ON THE EARLIER POSITION
Loude Reimon ( author yet
16 AFRIL 2014 to sign for Jean-Pierre Veran) Signature

### Janice Appleby

From:

Helen Cain

Sent:

Wednesday, Apr 16, 2014 1:14 PM

To:

'Masson,

Cc:

'dlr47@shaw.ca'; Janice Appleby

Subject:

RE: Small lot subdivision, 62 Cambridge street

#### Hi Michael,

Thank you for these comments on the Rezoning Application for 62 Cambridge Street, which I'm forwarding to the secretary in Legislative Services. This is the department that is responsible for receiving public input on planning applications, and ensuring that comments become part of the package to be considered by Councilors.

### Sincerely,

Helen Cain MCIP RPP Senior Planner Community Planning and Sustainable Development City of Victoria 1 Centennial Square, Victoria BC V8W 1P6

T 250.361.0282

F 250.361.0388









From: Masson, Michael

Sent: Wednesday, Apr 16, 2014 1:03 PM

To: Helen Cain

**Cc:** 'dlr47@shaw.ca'; Pam Madoff (Councillor) **Subject:** Small lot subdivision, 62 Cambridge street

### Good afternoon,

I'm writing this in regard to a meeting that I chaired on the above mentioned subject at the Fairfield Gonzales community Association office on September 19,2012.

The meeting was attended by the proponent and his architect as well as approx.. 25-30 individuals from the community.

The proponent, Mr. Peter Wald Huber and his architect presented their proposal for a small lot subdivision of 62 Cambridge street.

The proposal was for the construction of a two storey single family dwelling.

While the proponent was obviously in favour, that was certainly not the general sentiment.

Several neighbours spoke in opposition to the project with most of the concerns relating to the height of the proposed home, lack of appropriate setbacks, concerns over shding of neighbouring gardens etc.

One neighbour spoke on behalf of the owners of an adjacent property who were unable to attend the meeting and who were opposed to the rezoning.

The general reception of the project was not at all positive.

Sincerely,

Michael Masson