REPORTS OF COMMITTEES

2. Committee of the Whole – December 1, 2016

7. Rezoning Application No. 00511 and Development Permit with Variances Application No. 00020 for 90-92 Dallas Road

Motion:

It was moved by Councillor Lucas, seconded by Councillor Coleman:

Rezoning Application No. 00511

That Council instruct staff to prepare the necessary Zoning Regulation Bylaw Amendment that would authorize the proposed development outlined in Rezoning Application No. 00511 for 90 - 92 Dallas Road, that first and second reading of the Zoning Regulation Bylaw Amendment be considered by Council and a Public Hearing date be set once the following conditions are met:

- 1. Registration of a 2.41m Statutory Right-of-Way on the Dallas Road frontage; and
- 2. Registration of a 2.47m Statutory Right-of-Way on the St. Lawrence Street frontage.

Development Permit with Variances Application No. 00020

That Council after giving notice and allowing an opportunity for public comment at a meeting of Council and after the Public Hearing for Rezoning Application No.00020, if it is approved, consider the following motion: "That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit Application No.00020 for 90-92 Dallas Road, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped October 19, 2016
- 2. Development meeting all Zoning Regulation Bylaw requirements, except for the following variances:
 - i. reduce the front yard setback from 4.70m to 2.44m;
 - ii. reduce the rear yard setback from 12.93m to 11.90m;
 - iii. reduce the side yard setback (north) from 2.10m to 1.54m;
 - iv. reduce the side yard on a flanking street from 3.50m to 2.47m;
 - v. reduce the combined side yard from 4.50m to 4.01 m; and
 - vi. increase the site coverage from 40% to 40.5%
- 3. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

Carried

<u>For:</u> Mayor Helps, Councillors Alto, Coleman, Loveday, Lucas, Thornton-Joe, and Young Councillors Isitt and Madoff

5.1 b. Development Permit with Variances Application No. 00020 for 90-92 Dallas Road

Motion:

It was moved by Councillor Madoff, seconded by Councillor Coleman, that Council after giving notice and allowing an opportunity for public comment at a meeting of Council and after the Public Hearing for Rezoning Application No.00020, if it is approved, consider the following motion:

"That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit Application No.00020 for 90-92 Dallas Road, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped October 19, 2016
- 2. Development meeting all *Zoning Regulation Bylaw* requirements, except for the following variances:
 - i. reduce the front yard setback from 4.70m to 2.44m;
 - ii. reduce the rear yard setback from 12.93m to 11,90m;
 - iii. reduce the side yard setback (north) from 2.10m to 1.54m;
 - iv. reduce the side yard on a flanking street from 3.50m to 2.47m;
 - v. reduce the combined side yard from 4.50m to 4.01 m; and
 - vi. increase the site coverage from 40% to 40.5% '
- 3. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

For:

Mayor Helps, Councillors Alto, Coleman, Loveday, Lucas, Thornton-Joe

and Young

Against:

Councillors Isitt and Madoff

CARRIED 16/COTW



Committee of the Whole Report For the Meeting of December 1, 2016

To:

Committee of the Whole

Date:

November 16, 2016

From:

Jonathan Tinney, Director, Sustainable Planning and Community Development

Subject:

Development Permit with Variances Application No. 00020 for 90-92 Dallas

Road

RECOMMENDATION

That Council after giving notice and allowing an opportunity for public comment at a meeting of Council and after the Public Hearing for Rezoning Application No.00020, if it is approved, consider the following motion:

"That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit Application No.00020 for 90-92 Dallas Road, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped October 19, 2016
- 2. Development meeting all *Zoning Regulation Bylaw* requirements, except for the following variances:
 - i. reduce the front yard setback from 4.70m to 2.44m;
 - ii. reduce the rear yard setback from 12.93m to 11.90m;
 - iii. reduce the side yard setback (north) from 2.10m to 1.54m;
 - iv. reduce the side yard on a flanking street from 3.50m to 2.47m;
 - v. reduce the combined side yard from 4.50m to 4.01m; and
 - vi. increase the site coverage from 40% to 40.5%
- 3. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY

In accordance with Section 489 of the *Local Government Act*, Council may issue a Development Permit in accordance with the applicable guidelines specified in the *Community Plan*. A Development Permit may vary or supplement the *Zoning Regulation Bylaw* but may not vary the use or density of the land from that specified in the Bylaw.

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The purpose of this report is to present Council with information, analysis and recommendations for a Development Permit Application for the property located at 90-92 Dallas Road. The proposal is to demolish the existing legal, non-conforming duplex and construct a new side-by-side duplex. The variances are related to increased site coverage of the new building and a

reduction in the front, rear and side yard setbacks.

The following points were considered in assessing this application:

- the proposal is generally consistent with the building design objectives for sensitive infill contained in Development Permit Area 15D: Intensive Residential - Duplex of the Official Community Plan, 2012 (OCP)
- the proposal is generally consistent with the design guidelines contained in the Neighbourliness Guidelines for Duplexes, 1996
- the proposal is generally consistent with the goals and objectives for infill housing contained in the James Bay Neighbourhood Plan
- the proposal is a form of infill development that is compatible with the existing Urban Residential character of the area
- the variances are related to increasing the site coverage and reducing the front, rear and side yard setbacks. Privacy concerns are mitigated through the consideration of window placement and the siting of private outdoor amenity space.

BACKGROUND

Description of Proposal

The proposal is for a duplex. Specific details include:

- two-storey, side-by-side duplex on a corner lot incorporating a contemporary design and high quality materials
- the primary orientation of the large windows is south-facing to maximize natural light and capitalize on the view along Dallas Road
- exterior materials include: cedar siding, stucco and stone veneer
- each unit is provided with an enclosed parking space with access from St. Lawrence Street
- wood decks and at-grade outdoor space are provided for each unit
- each unit has direct pedestrian access to the street.

The proposed variances are related to reducing the front, rear and side yard setbacks, and increasing the allowable site coverage.

Sustainability Features

The applicant has not identified any sustainability features associated with this proposal.

Active Transportation Impacts

The applicant has not identified any active transportation impacts associated with this application.

Public Realm Improvements

Proposed public realm improvements are discussed in association with the concurrent Rezoning Application associated with this property.

Existing Site Development and Development Potential

According to City records, the house that currently occupies the site was constructed in 1907. It was later converted to an up-down duplex and is considered legal non-conforming. The house

is not registered or designated heritage and is in disrepair.

Under the current R-2 Zone, Two Family Dwelling District, the property could be developed as a single family dwelling with a secondary suite. The existing lot area is less than the 555m² and therefor a new duplex would not be permitted under the existing R-2 Zone.

Community Consultation

Consistent with the Community Association Land Use Committee (CALUC) Procedures for Processing Rezoning and Variances Applications, the applicant has consulted the James Bay CALUC regarding the rezoning proposal at a Community Meeting held on February 10, 2016. A letter dated February 13, 2016 is attached to this report.

ANALYSIS

Development Permit Area and Design Guidelines

The Official Community Plan (OCP) identifies this property within Development Permit Area 15D: Intensive Residential – Duplex and the property is subject to the Neighbourliness Guidelines for Duplexes. DPA 15D encourages new duplex development to be integrated in a manner that respects the established character of the area and achieves a high quality of architecture, landscape and urban design. The design guidelines also emphasize the importance of new development fitting in with the established streetscape and complimenting the existing building patterns and architectural rhythms.

The subject property is adjacent to higher density development with a mix of architectural styles. Drawing on design cues from the surrounding neighbourhood, the applicant is proposing a contemporary building with architectural elements and exterior finishes, such as a pitched roofline, cedar siding and stucco to ensure the building fits in with the established character of the neighbourhood. In addition, the orientation of the windows, doors, decks and outdoor amenity spaces towards Dallas Road and St. Lawrence Street ensures the building has a positive street presence.

Regulatory Considerations

The applicant is requesting six variances with this proposal (see table below). The irregular lot shape, smaller lot size and limitations on basement construction necessitate these variances.

Zoning Criteria	Proposed Variances	Zone Standard R-2
Site Coverage (%) - maximum	40.5	40.0
Setbacks (m) - minimum Front (Dallas Road)	2.44m	4.70m
Rear (northeast) Side (north)	11.90m 1.54m	12.93m 2.10m
Side (Flanking Street)	2.47m	3.50m
Combined Side Yard	4.01m	4.5m

The proposed front and flanking yard setbacks are consistent with the setback for the adjacent existing buildings on Dallas Road and St. Lawrence Street. To mitigate privacy concerns with

respect to window placement, the windows are maximized on the south elevation and the window openings on the west side elevation are placed so that they do not directly align with those of the adjacent dwelling units to the west. Siting the private open space on the south, east and north sides of the property facing Dallas Road and St. Lawrence Street, respectively, also helps mitigate privacy concerns.

CONCLUSIONS

The Application to permit a duplex is consistent with the *Neighbourliness Guidelines for Duplexes* as prescribed in DPA 15D. The proposed building design, exterior finishes and landscaping are sensitive to the established character of the area. The proposed variances for front, rear and side yard setbacks, and site coverage are supportable as they would not alter the character of the streetscape or adversely impact adjacent residential properties. Staff recommend that Council consider supporting this Application.

ALTERNATE MOTION

That Council decline DPV Application No. 00020 for the property located at 90-92 Dallas Road.

Respectfully submitted,

Alec Johnston

Planner

Development Services Division

Jonathan Tinney, Director

Sustainable Planning and Community

Development Department


Report accepted and recommended by the City Manager:

Date:


November 22,206

List of Attachments


- Zoning Map
- Aerial Map
- Applicant's Letters to Mayor and Council dated, February 15, 2016 and November 16, 2016
- Letter from the James Bay Community Association CALUC dated February 13, 2016
- Neighbourhood Correspondence
- Plans date stamped October 19, 2016




















November 16, 2016

City of Victoria #1 Centennial Square Victoria, British Columbia V8W 1P6

Attention:

Mayor Helps and Council

Re:

Rezoning proposal at 90/92 Dallas Road, Victoria, British Columbia

Your Worship Mayor Helps and Councillors;

We are pleased to finally submit this site specific rezoning application for your consideration. Over the past 4 years, we have been working on this application to rezone this property at 90/92 Dallas Road to allow for a legal duplex to be built on this site.

The site in question is zone R-2 and has a legal non-conforming duplex on the property. The existing house is in disrepair and our client would like to build a new duplex on this property that they would reside in with their elderly mother in her 90s. Our first proposal submitted to the James Bay Neighbourhood Association CALUC meeting on October 10, 2012 consisted of a two storey duplex with a basement. During that time, the BC Archeological Branch had contacted us advising us that this property was within an area that has been flagged by the Province as having potential archeological remains. During the past two years, we have been working with our Archeological team to produce a report to alleviate some of the Province's concerns. One of our mitigating factors was to delete the proposed basement from the proposal so that there would be less impact from excavation.

We attended a JBNA CALUC meeting on December 9, 2015 to shared our proposed changes with the neighbourhood association. We were subsequently advised by the City that since 6 months had passed since our official CALUC meeting, that we had to go through a second official CALUC meeting prior to submitting our application. The second official CALUC meeting occurred on February 10, 2016 and the comments from the JBNA have been sent to the City for your information.

As noted, he property is presently zoned R-2 – Two Family Dwelling but the actual lot area is less than what the R-2 zone requires. The present structure on the site was built as a single family dwelling and was converted to a legal duplex. The present duplex and the structure on the site are legal non-conforming. We have been informed by the city that we must therefore apply for a rezoning in order for us to be allowed to erect a new duplex on this property as the R-2 zone specifically states that each dwelling unit requires 277.5 square metres of lot area.

The duplex that we are proposing will not increase the number of units on this site nor will it increase traffic in the neighbourhood. The proposed height of the building is within the allowable height limits under the R-2 zone.

We are requesting that the zone allow for a R-2 – Two Family Dwelling be allowed to be built on a reduced site area of 443 square metres instead of the normal 555 square metres required. We are also requesting variances to the front yard, side yard and rear yard setbacks. The setbacks that we are requesting are in keeping with the context of the other building fronting Dallas Road and along St. Lawrence Street. The existing structure on the site also extends out past the requested setback along St. Lawrence Street.

We are requesting that we be allowed to have a FSR of 0.53:1 instead of the 0.5:1 normally allowed under the R-2 zone. Due to the fact that we have deleted the basement, we can only use the floor area on the first and second floors. Normally, a R-2 zone would allow up to 380 square metres of floor area, with space in the basement not counted within the FSR. With the site conditions, we do not have the option of using allowable area in the basement.

Due to the fact that we need to spread the duplex out on the first two levels, a minor variance for our site coverage is also required. We are requesting that the site coverage be increased from 40% to 40.5%. We have areas of our duplex that are only one storey in height and we feel that this creates a better footprint for the usable spaces as well as a better massing on the property. We trust that this is variance is minor.

Through this rezoning, we have dedicated statutory rights of ways along both Dallas Road (2.41 metres) and St. Lawrence Street (2.47 metres) as requested by the City.

The exterior materials being proposed for this project are cedar siding, stucco, and stone veneer. We trust that this proposed rezoning meets the intent of the neighbourhood plan and the official community plan. If you have questions or concerns, please contact our office at 250-360-2888.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Yours truly,

Howkere

Alan Lowe, MAIBC
Alan Lowe Architect Inc.



February 15, 2016

City of Victoria #1 Centennial Square Victoria, British Columbia V8W 1P6

Attention:

Mayor Helps and Council

Re:

Rezoning proposal at 90/92 Dallas Road, Victoria, British Columbia

Your Worship Mayor Helps and Councillors;

We are pleased to finally submit this site specific rezoning application for your consideration. Over the past 4 years, we have been working on this application to rezone this property at 90/92 Dallas Road to allow for a legal duplex to be built on this site.

The site in question is zone R-2 and has a legal non-conforming duplex on the property. The existing house is in disrepair and our client would like to build a new duplex on this property that they could possibly reside in the future. Our first proposal submitted to the James Bay Neighbourhood Association CALUC meeting on October 10, 2012 consisted of a two storey duplex with a basement. During that time, the BC Archeological Branch has contacted us advising us that this property was within an area that has been flagged by the Province as having potential archeological remains. During the past two years, we have been working with our Archeological team to produce a report to alleviate some of the Province's concerns. One of our mitigating factors was to delete the proposed basement from the proposal so that there would be less impact from excavation.

We attended a JBNA CALUC meeting on December 9, 2015 to shared our proposed changes with the neighbourhood association. We were subsequently advised by the City that since 6 months had passed since our official CALUC meeting, that we had to go through a second official CALUC meeting prior to submitting our application. The second official CALUC meeting occurred on February 10, 2016 and the comments from the JBNA have been sent to the City for your information.

As noted, he property is presently zoned R-2 – Two Family Dwelling but the actual lot area is less than what the R-2 zone requires. The present structure on the site was built as a single family dwelling and was converted to a legal duplex. The present duplex and the structure on the site are legal non-conforming. We have been informed by the city that we must therefore apply for a rezoning in order for us to be allowed to erect a new duplex on this property.

The duplex that we are proposing will not increase the number of units on this site nor will it increase traffic in the neighbourhood. The proposed height of the building is within the allowable height limits under the R-2 zone.

We are requesting that the zone allow for a R-2 – Two Family Dwelling be allowed to be built on a reduced site area of 443 sqaure metres instead of the normal 555 square metres required. We are also requesting variances to the front yard, side yard and rear yard setbacks. The setbacks that we are requesting are in keeping with the context of the other building fronting Dallas Road and along St. Lawrence Street. The existing structure on the site also extends out to the requested setback along St. Lawrence Street.

The exterior materials being proposed for this project are cedar siding, stucco, and stone veneer. We trust that this proposed rezoning meets the intent of the neighbourhood plan and the official community plan. If you have questions or concerns, please contact our office at 250-360-2888.

Thank you for your time and consideration.

Yours truly,

Alan Lowe, MAIBC
Alan Lowe Architect Inc.



James Bay Neighbourhood Association

jbna@vcn.bc.ca Victoria, B.C., Canada www.jbna.org

February 13th, 2016

Mayor & Council, City of Victoria,

Re: CALUC Community Meeting - 90-92 Dallas Rd - duplex

The third community meeting, and second CALUC meeting, to consider revisions to the 90-92 Dallas Rd duplex proposal first considered at CALUC meeting on October 10, 2012, was held February 10th, 2016 (63 present).

Resident objections to the proposal raised at the meeting were focused on the tenants being forced to relocate and the missed opportunity to regenerate an old house, which may be of heritage value.

There were two letters submitted by nearby residents, one objecting to the "variances" while the second contains a request to ensure that BC Transit bus service continues during any construction and that access to St Lawrence from Dallas remain during demolition and construction if the proposal is approved.

Following please find;

- excerpt from the draft minutes of the February 10th, 2016;
- o two e-mails dated February 3rd and 6th from residents who did not attend the meeting;
- letter to Mayor and council, dated December 14th, 2015 reporting on the December 9th, 2015 meeting, also containing the minute from the October 10, 2012 CALUC; and
- correspondence from resident, attached to minute from the October, 2012 CALUC meeting.

Submitted for your consideration,

Marg Gardiner, Co-Chair CALUC & President, JBNA

Cc: Alan Lowe

Excerpt of minutes of February 10th, 2016: 90-92 Dallas Rd proposal

5. 90-92 Dallas: Architect, John Williams for Alan Lowe

Tim and Marg Gardiner met with Alan Lowe to discuss the changes of the proposal from the proposal reviewed on October 10, 2012; this was the JBNA Development Review Committee meeting (DRC). Major change is that, due to archeological issues, no basement will be built. Adjustments to the plans were made to reflect a slab foundation. Alan Lowe also presented the proposal in December, 2015. The City subsequently notified Alan Lowe and JBNA that since more than 6 months had lapsed between the original CALUC meeting and the submission of the application to the City that the proposal must repeat the CALUC process. The minutes from the 2012 and December 2015 meetings will be appended to the excerpt minute from this meeting and forwarded to the City. Two letters have been received from nearby neighbours and will be appended to the minutes.

John Williams, architect with Alan Lowe Architects, described the development which replaces an older duplex with a new duplex. Rezoning was required because the lot size was irregular and smaller than R2. Mr. Williams showed the original site plan and explained the differences from the original. The property is zoned R2 but is too small to permit development of a duplex which resulted in the need for changes. Non-conforming site. Need to make it a legal zoning. Archeology Branch identified some significant archeological interest so there will not be a basement on this site. Some footprint change and room shape changes. Without a basement, the front entrance is a foot lower but the roof higher at the peak than the previous design. Existing landscaping will remain intact; no trees removed. The proposed duplex will be compatible with neighbourhood buildings.

Q – 90 Dallas Road resident: Recently moved into 90 Dallas Road and unaware of development. Will he be evicted? Will he have opportunity to move into property?
 A – No imminent eviction but cannot answer questions about tenancy.
 (Note: Chair enquired as to whether the tenant had a lease – response was month to month)

Q – 92 Dallas Rd resident: Was not notified about 2012 meeting but did receive notice about this one. Will you remove cherry tree? In addition this is a beautiful house. Another developer just moved 2 old houses in disrepair onto Dallas Road. 92 Dallas was neglected but why not restore it as existing duplex. It is one of the last original houses on Dallas Road. Objects to proposal, and to tearing down heritage houses for cookie-cutter replacements.

A – No intent on removing the large tree or the cherry tree, will try to keep the trees.

Q - Ladysmith resident: How does height compare to previous design? Is it strata?

A – Eaves are at same height. No it going to be a 2 story duplex.
(Note: there was discussion a to the final division, or not, of the property. Advice sought from Tim VanAlstine who suggested that the approach would ultimately lie with the developer. The outside space could be either common-use property or divided)

Q - Heather resident: Does Victoria have design bylaws? What is facing of building? It looks like concrete and glass building which will not be compatible with neighbouring properties.

A - Cladding is cedar, chimney is stone veneer, and there are small portions of stucco, and wood doors.

(Note: Chair commented that Council, at committee) sometimes sends projects to the design Committee. That decision would be made by Council)

Q - Berwick resident: Will there be a public hearing? Do we know when? And is the plan to sell these units.

A - Yes there will be a hearing - date not yet known. Believes intent will be to sell the units.

Q – do you have a picture of existing residence?

A - Yes (brought photo up on the screen)

Q - Lewis resident: current design appears to be higher than original? Also request that you convey to developer that this house is absolutely restorable. I have been involved restoring houses that neighbours would be pleased to see demolished because of the disrepair and won awards for the restoration.

A - Yes, allowable height is 25 feet. Original was 23+ and this is 24. Will convey message to the owner/developer.

Q-Dallas resident: This is an existing rental accommodation, which is in short supply. This demolishes existing rental to replace with a non-rental property. JBNA supports rental housing and needs to take direct steps to retain rental properties in the community.

A - JBNA will report to Council about concerns raised about the demolition of this property.

Attachment to minutes of February 10th, 2016: 90-92 Dallas Rd proposal

From:

Subject:

development proposal notice 90-92 Dallas Road

Date:

February 3, 2016 5:09:04 PM PST

To:

JBNA Marg Gardiner

I received the notice of this development and am not able to come to the community meeting.

One concern I have is that there is a bus stop right in front of that property and I ask that the bus stop not be closed but moved across the street to the corner of Niagara and Dallas during the demolition and construction phases. I also would ask that St Lawrence St be kept accessible from Dallas Road at the same time.

Will the new property then be a strata?

thank you, Mary Ellis XXXXXXXX Ladysmith St. From:

John Fry

Subject:

Re: Letter to JBCA regarding meeting concerning 90-92 Dallas

Road

Date:

February 6, 2016 3:27:14 PM PST

Hi;

We are unable to attend the community meeting on this proposal Scheduled for 10/02/2016 @ 7pm. Being immediate neighbours to this property, we do however have serious objections to the variances requested, and have expressed some of those in writing on the occasion of a community meeting regarding this same property (re: September 10, 2012). This letter is simply to inform you of our continued concerns and opposition and of the fact that we shall in due course be submitting those concerns to the City of Victoria and others concerned at the appropriate time.

Sincerely, John A Fry & Ulla Ressner



James Bay Neighbourhood Association

jbna@vcn.bc.ca Victoria, B.C., Canada www.jbna.org

December 14th, 2015

Mayor & Council, City of Victoria,

Re: CALUC Community Meeting - 90-92 Dallas Rd - duplex

The community meeting to consider revisions to the 90-92 Dallas Dr duplex proposal first considered at CALUC meeting on October 10, 2012, was held December 9th (62 present).

Following please find an excerpt from the draft minutes of the December 9th, 2015; attached is the minute from the October 10, 2012 CALUC meeting:

5. 90-92 Dallas Development: Project Update: Alan Lowe, architect

Tim Van Alstine reported on the JBNA Development Review Committee meeting (DRC).

Tim and Marg Gardiner met with Alan Lowe to discuss the changes of the proposal from the proposal reviewed on October 10, 2012. Major change is that, due to archeological issues, no basement will be built. Adjustments to the plans were made to reflect a slab foundation. The comments of the 2012 meeting were summarized. Since there was uncertainty with level of consultation required, Alan Lowe committee to delivering a notice to nearby residents alerting them to tonight's meeting. The minute from the 2012 meeting will be appended to the minute from this meeting and forwarded to the City.

Alan Lowe, Architect described the development which replaces a derelict duplex with a new duplex. Rezoning was required because the lot size was irregular and smaller than R2. Non-conforming site. Need to make it a legal zoning. Alan explained the differences from the original. Some footprint change and room shape changes. Modernised the building in design.

Staff delivered notice to the property and neighbours. Couldn't deliver/access The Dolphins.

- Q Niagara St resident: Not notified feels should have been. Would rather see house retained.
- A house is in great disrepair. To have a house that would last some years, couldn't do.
- Q Dallas Rd resident: Is the property right on Dallas? What zone being sought and what variance? And what is across the street? Scared about building in that location
- A Ogden Point opposite. Front yard variance sought to line up with next door set-backs. R2 zone from R1B is zoned as single but has a duplex a legal non-conforming building.
- Q Simcoe St is there a precedent for letting things decline so far that heritage houses can't be saved?
- A Doesn't know why/how this house has deteriorated so badly
- Q Montreal St Resident In sympathy with previous speaker, term is "demolition by neglect" But this house is very deteriorated. Some argument could be made to save it. Set-backs are important, have to be careful of alignment on street.
- Q when can Public Hearing be expected?
- A probably in about 4 months till public hearing

Marg Gardiner, Co-Chair CALUC & President, JBNA

JAMES BAY NEIGHBOURHOOD ASSOCIATION

MINUTES - General Meeting October 10th, 2012

6. Development proposal: 90-92 Dallas - Architect Alan Lowe

The proposed rezoning is to demolish the existing legal duplex and replace it with a new duplex. The rezoning is required because the site area is less than the minimum site area required in the R2 zone.

Comments:

Note: E-mails from residents that will be appended to the JBNA submission to the City.

- Q: 92 Dallas Rd tenant: will she be evicted has 6 months on lease
- A: Will take 6-9 months to go through process will advise owner of lack of notification.
- Q: Menzies resident: environmental safeguards (asbestos?)
- A: Worksafe BC requires an environmental assessment if hazardous materials found disposed of properly.
- Q: St Andrews Resident: Is there heritage value to the existing house
- A: Tenant replied (and so did A. Lowe) that it was in severe disrepair and needed to come down. Alan also stated the additions and changes etc would take away heritage value. Tenant thought house was too far gone to be saved.
- C: Same resident: Opinion that the house should be saved if possible and if we lose any heritage potential the community loses would like to see something special there on the unusual triangular lot.
- Q: Fisherman's Wharf resident: How long has it been owned by this owner
- A: In the family for 50 years.
- Q: Same resident: If in the family then it shouldn't be so run down.
- C: Tenant commented that the house is the only remaining old house near the docks as others have been converted and cruise-ship tourists take photos of it all the time.
- C: Niagara resident: This location is important. Prefer heritage but if need rebuild then something special
- C: St Andrews resident: Will views be lost for residents to the N.
- A: Due to window locations, the back portion will be lower than existing structure and 2-storey portion will be at same elevation as neighbour and views of N neighbour not impacted.
- Q: Dallas neighbour: Is it closer to Dallas?
- Q: Niagara St neighbour: Are trees going to remain? (A: yes.) Then my view will be better because building will be better but neighbour will be affected
- C: St Lawrence neighbour: Will be affected. Were neighbour's views taken into account?
- A: Yes, the lower levels would be negatively affected but not the upper residents.
- Q: Same resident: Did you research parking now no surplus parking residents of new place will not be able to park on their lawns which is currently done.
- A: Yes, parking requirements for 2 spots will be met.

October 2012 - Letter from resident re 90/92 Dallas Road Rezoning Application

Dear Sir;

We have in short order received both information regarding a proposal to seek re-zoning of 90/92 Dallas Road from Mr Alan lowe, and a notice of a community meeting on October 10, 2012 regarding the proposal, from your organization. We are unable because of the shortness of notice and previous commitments to attend this meeting. As immediate neighbours of the subject property, we do however have a keen interest and personal stake in the outcome of this rezoning application. Consequently, we have written down our thoughts regarding this application in the appended letter.

Sincerely,

Ulla Ressner and John Fry # 6 - 118 St. Lawrence Street

Good letter John, I can support your letter, Jack Unit 2

October 9, 2012

To: Mr. Tom Coyne
Land Use Committee Chair
James Bay Neighbourhood Association
234 Menzies Street
Victoria, BC

Email:

And, when timely, also to:

The City of Victoria
Planning and Development Department
1 Centennial Square
Victoria, B.C.

With copies to: Our fellow strata neighbours, GVHA, and the Songhees First Nation, for their information and eventual interest

Re: Information regarding intent to apply for residential rezoning from Mr. Allan Lowe: Rezoning proposal at 90/92 Dallas Road, Victoria, British Columbia

Our Strata home (Strata Plan VIS 5549), is a designated Victoria Heritage property which fronts onto both Dallas Road (88-86) and St. Lawrence Street (118), and shares a south facing property line with the subject property above. Further, our specific townhouse (#6 – 118 St Lawrence), faces the subject property through a long side with two high floors of tall light-filled bay windows. The subject property, the St. Lawrence street scene, the high enveloping Dallas Road tree scape above and around the subject property, as well a scene of the Dallas Road walkway with its grand trees and shimmering ocean through that greenery, constitute the present lovely city view from our home, porch and balcony. It was a major factor in our decision to purchase our home. And consequently, we carried out our proper due diligence regarding the possible changes that could occur on the subject property in terms of height, street and property set-backs, and allowable building foot print in the eventuality of

future new construction. After visiting the Victoria City planning department and discussing our queries and concerns at length with their helpful staff, we received answers which informed and reassured us in our decision to purchase our home facing the neighbouring subject property. Over the past five years of permanent residency, we have also taken the opportunity revisit the Victoria City planning department staff, to be certain of the correctness of the response we initially received. It was, and those assessments have been further reinforced by the comments of various city inspectors who have visited our own and the subject property over the past five years. In short, their view has consistently and uniformly been that due to the historical development of this old neighbouring heritage subject property, its small size, its irregular triangular shape and frontage onto two roads, and despite its grandfathered R-2 zoning, any new construction would be required to fit onto a foundation foot print and to a height defined by current height and road and neighbouring property line set-back by-laws.

Not surprisingly, Mr. Lowe's sole argumentation for seeking this rezoning request for reduced property set-backs on behalf of his clients, the owners of this property, is that: "We have been reviewing options (to build a duplex) ... that would best suit this property and still allow this project to be economically viable."

In the above context, let us say first, and as one of the neighbours most immediately affected by the sight and condition of this property, how pleased we are that the owners are finally showing an interest in improving the grounds and structure of this sadly long dilapidated James Bay heritage building - such a shame because of its style and unique welcoming location to visitors leaving the cruise ship docks for a walk to town, and such a shame because apart from our own, it is the last heritage residence left along this entire stretch of Dallas Road.

While we certainly understand as nothing new under the sun, the owner's desire to enhance the utility and thereby increase the value of their property - in this case, by finding an "economically viable" duplex plan for the property. And we also understand, that just as we carried out our own due diligence regarding what could and could not conceivably be built on the neighbouring subject property prior to our own home purchase, so too, must the owners of the subject property have carried out their own due diligence when deciding to purchase the property in question. And if they had done as they should have and most certainly did in this regard, they would have long known the precise building limitations of the property they purchased. In other words, they got what they bought. Consequently, we fail to see in either principle or persuasive rationale, why any of the requested deviations from current relevant height and set-back zoning by-laws should be granted in this case.

However, and to speak specifically of the current building proposal (the so-called 'option preferred by the city' - facing Dallas with a flat roof), and as presented in Mr. Lowe's 'information to neighbours': We have done a number of measurements in terms of the ground coverage, height and proximity of the existing building and the proposed new construction, in terms of sight-line and spatial relation to our own home (#6 - 118 St Lawrence), as well as to our strata building in general. It is both a fact and our 'view', that the requested reductions in setbacks from the shared property line between the subject property and our own Strata Corp VIS5549, would substantially diminish the open space between our homes and dramatically darken what is the entire adjacent southern sunny side of our home in specific, and of our beautiful heritage building in general. Further, and in addition to concealing the current Dallas and St Lawrence views from the street of the southern side of this lovely restored heritage building, it would also climinate the entire part of our own existing view, described above, as:

"... the high enveloping Dallas Road tree scape above and around the subject property, and a scene of the Dallas Road walkway with its grand trees and shimmering ocean through that greenery.

Considering the dramatic reduction in the open air space, light, view, liveability, and value of our home as a consequence of granting the requested reduction of shared property line set-backs, and further considering all our past cautious efforts at due diligence and the authoritative reassurances received on this matter, we fail to see any motivation, legitimacy or fairness in having the light, liveability, view, and value of our own property substantially reduced, all so that the utility and thereby value of the neighbours property may be enhanced to achieve what they have arbitrarily and for themselves chosen to deem as "economically viable". Again, they got what they bought.

Finally, and quite apart from the specific plan submitted to increase the value of the subject property, but rather at a more general neighbourhood historical and cultural level, perhaps there are other opportunities for the property owners to realize the 'economically viability' they seek. It is true that the existing heritage structure on the subject property is dilapidated through long neglect and overdue care - much as was our own property prior to its complete refurbishment as a designated heritage conversion building in 2004. Nevertheless, it remains a culturally and historically significant part of the original James Bay working harbour and community, as well as being one of only two remaining original working harbour waterfront homes along this entire stretch of Dallas Road. And of course long prior to the rich history of that original Victoria working class community, it was the sight of settlement for millennium of Songhees people. The extensive pre-construction archaeological excavation of our own adjacent property as required by the Archaeological Branch of the BC Department of Forests, Lands and Natural Resources, revealed a wealth of objects and information regarding the Songhees peoples long residency on these specific lands. There is no reason to believe that anything other would be the result of the necessary pre-construction archaeological excavation that would invariably be required of the subject property under the proposed plan. Furthermore, this juncture of Dallas Road, the exit from the GVHA working docks for cruise ship passengers, and St. Lawrence and Niagara Streets, make it a funnel for both cruise ship tourists walking to and from the docks to town, as well as a much travelled recreational path for James Bay residents walking, running, cycling and skate-boarding their way to and from the Dallas Walkway and the ocean. We have also been made aware from informed sources, that the Victoria City already has plans and funds set aside for the enhancement and beautification of city land at this specific juncture.

So why not, rather than build one more over-extended duplex at this quiet and much used pedestrian juncture, why not a small green space under the grand old trees of the Dallas Road walkway as a pedestrian respite and memorial to the history and cultures of past peoples who once made this waterfront land their home. Perhaps between the City, the Songhees Nation, and the Greater Victoria Harbour Authority, there is room and resource to realize and develop the past multi-cultural history and current pedestrian value of such a rare green oasis on this long stretch of lovely Dallas Road. And perhaps doing so would be an alternate way for the property owners to realize an "economically viable" outcome. Finally, and in this latter context, we must of course admit that such an alternate land use suggestion to the one proposed in this application, would enhance both the living experience and value of our own home. However, that fact does not in any way diminish the value of our suggestion. Sincerely,

From: Jim Sproule

Sent: Friday, Mar 11, 2016 3:00 PM

To: Engineering Email inquiry < eng@victoria.ca>

Subject: 90-92 Dallas Rd zoning

Attention Land Development Division of the Engineering Department

hello,

I'm a resident of Niagara St. living within a block of Dallas Rd. I'm not in favour of the development proposal to demolish the existing house at 90-92 Dallas Rd.

>>> At a JBNA meeting in December, the architect, Alan Lowe, said the reason that option hadn't been chosen, was because of the poor condition of the house. A Past-President of JBNA, Tim Van Alstine, noted that that was also the case for the house next door, yet it was nicely redeveloped retaining the character of the original house, a few years ago.

>>> In this edition of the James Bay Beacon, the JBNA notes "with regret" the "projected loss by demolition of one of the original houses on Dallas Road"

>>> If a variance to give legal status for duplex units is given for this project, I suggest in the strongest possible terms, that it be conditional on retaining the exterior of the existing house, the yard, and the cherry tree.

>>> Our neighbourhood suffered the accidental loss of a lovely mature tree recently when the sidewalk was put in along Montreal St. We also gained by the addition of two original homes that were moved to the corner of Dock and Dallas. The City must be alert to only mandating change that retains the character of James Bay. Residents obviously enjoy this unique area, and so do quite a few cruise ship visitors, who walk to town through an area that still exudes some of the charm of earlier days.

Sincerely, Jim Sproule

This email has been sent from a virus-free computer protected by Avast.

www.avast.com

PROJECT INFORMATION (Existing)

LEGAL ADDRESS: LOT 3, BECKLEY FARM WICTORIA CITY, PLAN 224
SO / 92 DALLAS ROAD VICTORIA, BRITISH COLUMBIA

ZONING DATA

ZONING: R-2 (TWO FAMILY DWELLING) SITE AREA: 443.28 m² [4771.21 s.l.] 555m² TYPICAL of R-2

EXISTING: 7.0 approx. BUILDING HEIGHT: FLOOR AREAS: GROUND FLOOR AREA: SECOND FLOOR AREA: GROSS FLOOR AREA: 121.77 m² 121.77 m² 243.54 m²

27.47% 20.98 m 42.25 m

LOT COVERAGE
LOT WIDTH:
LOT GEPTH
NUMBER OF STOREYS:
SETBACKS:

SETBACKS:

\$25 TOREYS:
2 STOREYS:
3 STOREYS:
3



RE-ISSUED FOR REZONING

90 - 92 DALLAS ROAD VICTORIA, BRITISH COLUMBIA PROJECT NUMBER: 13-394 21 SEPTEMBER 2016

INDEX OF DRAWINGS

ARCHIT	ECTURAL
A1.0	EXISTING SITE PLAN / COVER SHEET
A1.1	SITE PLAN PROPOSED / PROJECT DATA
L1	LANDSCAPE PLAN
A2.0	GROUND FLOOR PLAN
A2.1	SECOND FLOOR PLAN
A3.0	ELEVATIONS
A3.1	ELEVATIONS
A3.2	STREETSCAPE
A4.0	SECTION

No	tiound a Renumber	Date
,	REZUNING APPLICATION	15 DEC.
2	REZUNNIG APPLICATION	12 MAY. 1
3	REZONDIG APPLICATION	24 AUG 1
	REJUNING APPLICATION	SI SEPT





EXISTING SITE PLAN

project no.:		15-434
*** 24 AUGUST 2016	***	1.100
standing LOWE	ercer by	20

A1.0

SITE PLAN (EXISTING)

LOT 3 LOT AREA 443.21m² (4771.21 sk/t.)

42

4.0

Setback

Gutter

Civic #90 & #92

EXISITNG BLDG, AREA 121.77 m² (1310.8 sq.ft.)

ST. LAWRENCE STREET

4.5 Dropcurb 4.6

D


3.9

0

PROJECT DATA TABLE

÷	ZONING D	DATA, E	XISTING.	PROPOSED I	4	VARIANC

SUBJECT	R-2 ZONE (typical)	EXISTING BUILDING	PROPOSED	VARIANCE
ZONING:	R-2	H-2	R-2 Reduced Area	
LAND USE:	Two Family Residential	Residential / Duplex	Two Family Dwelling	
LOT AREA:	555 m*	443.26 m²	443.26 m²	111.74 m²
LOT WIDTH:	15 m min. Average	20 99 m	20.99 m²	
LOT DEPTH:	n/a	40.25 m	40.25 m²	
SITE COVERAGE	40%	27.4%	40.5%	5%
F.S.R.:	.50:1	.54:1 m	.53:1	.03:1
SETBACKS:				
FRONT	3.5 m	4.1 m	3.2 m	.3 m
SIDE FLANKING	3.5 m	1 m	2.47 m	1.03 m
SIDE INTERIOR	1.5 m	2.6 m	1.5 m	
BLDG. HEIGHT:	7.6 m	7.0 approx.	7.57 m	
No. OF FLOORS:	2	12	2	
No. OF UNITS:	2	2	2	
UNIT TYPE:	Two Family Dwelling	duplex	duplex	
PARKING	2	2	2 (Off Street / Garage)	-



SITE PLAN (PROPOSED)

PROJECT INFORMATION (Proposed)

LEGAL ADDRESS: LOT 3, BECKLEY FARM VICTORIA CITY, PLAN 224

CIVIC ADDRESS: 90/92 DALLAS ROAD VICTORIA, BRITISH COLUMBIA

ZONING DATA

R-2 Reduced Area - See Project Data Table this sheet. 443.26 m² * [4771.21 s.f.] (555m² TYPICAL of R-2) ZONING:

BUILDING HEIGHT: 7.6 m 7.57m (24 7.5 PROPOSED: 7.57m (24'-10") 40 32 m² (434 s 1) 118.6 m² (1276 s.1) 116.3 m² (1252 s.1) 234.9 m² (2528 s.1) 0.53.1° 178.46 m² (1921 s.1) 40.5 %² 20.97 m 42.25 m

PARKING: 2 STALLS (VARIANCE, SEE PROJECT DATA THIS SHEET)


AVERAGE GRADE CALCULATION:

POINTS A & B (4.1+4.1)/2 = 4.1 x 1.11m =	4.55	
POINTS B & C (4.1+4.1)/2 = 4.1 x 3.66 m =	15.0	
POINTS C & D (4.1 + 4.45)/2 = 4.27 x 6.44 m =	27.5	
POINTS D & E (4.45 + 4.63)/2 = 4.54 x 3.11m =	14,12	
POINTS E & F (4.63 + 4.63)/2 = 4.63 x 1.37m =	6.34	
POINTS F & G (4.63 + 4.8)2 = 4.71 x 1.68m =	7.90	
POINTS G & H (4.8 + 4.8)/2 = 4.8 x 9.63 m =	46.22	
POINTS H & 1 (4.8 + 4.8)/2 = 4.8 x 5.95 m =	28.56	
POINTS &J (4.8 + 4.8)/2 = 4.8 x 1.14 m =	5.47	
POINTS J & K (4.8 + 4.8)/2 = 4.8 x .94 m =	4.5	
POINTS K & L (4.4 + 4.8)/2 = 4.6 x 12.18 m =	55.93	
POINTS L & A (4.4 + 4.1)/2 = 4.25 x 11.59 m =	49.25	

BUILDING PERIMETER = 48.78m 265.34/ 58.78 = 4.51m




15-434



LEGAL ADDRESS: LOT 3, BECKLEY FARM VICTORIA CITY, PLAN 224
CIVIC ADDRESS: 400/82 DALLAS ROAD VICTORIA, BRITISH COLUMBIA

SITE AREA: 443 26 m² * [4771 21 s.f.] (555m² TYPICAL of R-2)



LEGEND

GROUND COVER TO BE A SELECTION OF PERMANNIC KINIMUMICA, A WINTERGREEN


alan lowe architect inc.

NEW DUPLEX RESIDENCE

LANDSCAPE PLAN PROPOSED

project no.:		15-434
No. 15 NAT 5010	ace y	1,100
stementy LOWE		34

united and



MAIN FLOOR PLAN



.....

No	Statut J. Fermore	Osia
1	REZONING APPLICATION	IS DEC. IS
	REZUMENO APPLICATION	12 MAY 16
3	REZUNING APPLICATION	24 AUG 14



203 - 1110 Government St. 1 250.360 : Victoria, British Columbia



MAIN FLOOR PLAN

project no.:		15-434	
Nº 24 AUGUST 2016	1000	190 - 1	
showed by LONE	by	w	
-	_		

A2.0

armiet.



Nz	Mount, Personne	Date
	REZUMING APPLICATION	15.0EC. 15
2	REZUMING APPLICATION	12 MAY 16
3	RECURING APPLICATION	24 AUG 11




262 - 1110 Government St. 1 250 360 360 Follows British Columbia




UPPER FLOOR PLAN


project no.:			15-434
em ze Audu	51 2916	***	114" - 1
or and the same of	LOWE		,00

A2.1



1 UPPER FLOOR PLAN





A3.1

Legislant Services Three coverage are at an arrest the property of the Andréese. Reproduction or whole or in part endead enter

-



3 RECURNS APPLICATION 24 MIG 2 BECOMMS APPLICATION 12 MIS 1 RECORD APPLICATION IS DEC

alan fowe architect inc

203 - 1110 Government Si 1 250 350 200 Victoria, Britan Countrie

NEW DUPLEX RESIDENCE

90-90 DALLAS ROA

streetscape

project no.		15-434
Ery 24 AUGUST 2016	soute.	10-1
course LOWE	State 14	46


A3.2

SOUTH ELEVATION STREETSCAPE (DALLAS ROAD)

A32 SCALE IN - 10

Copyright Reserved. These standings are at forces the property of the Australia. Reproduction is according to part without and

-



A4.0