



MAKING A PRESENTATION TO VICTORIA CITY COUNCIL

Complete and submit your request to address Council to Legislative Services by 11:00 a.m. on the Wednesday the day before the scheduled meeting. To ensure the Council receives your submission with their full agenda package, please submit it by 4:30 p.m. on the Monday two weeks before the Council meeting. Requests received after this time will be added to the Amended Agenda produced the Wednesday immediately prior to the Council meeting.

Presentations are a maximum of five (5) minutes in duration.

Name: Mary E. Doody Jones Date: April 25, 2016
Address: 435 Kipling St.

I wish to appear at the following Council meeting: April 28, 2016

I represent: Myself (Name of organization, if applicable)

Topic: See attached with update

Action you wish Council to take: to start to deal with the

See attached
amplification problem and give developers account
2. Ensure that the field is "level" financially for
heril

Are you providing any supporting documentation (a letter or a PowerPoint presentation)?

Yes ☐ 10mb limit* No ☐

If you are providing supporting documentation the documentation **must** accompany this request or your letter. Placement on the agenda cannot be confirmed until supporting documentation has been received. Handouts will not be distributed at the meeting.

*If presentation is larger, please bring into the Council Secretary on a thumb drive to allow downloading.

Alternatively supporting documentation may be emailed to: councilsecretary@victoria.ca

Please note that all presentations are held at a public meeting, therefore, the first page of this form, along with the supporting documentation is added to the agenda, which is made available to the public and posted on the City of Victoria's website. The second page of this form, containing your contact information, does not form part of the agenda, but may be released pursuant to the provisions of the *Freedom of Information and Protection of Privacy Act*.

Please complete both sides of the form and submit to:

Council Secretary
Legislative Services Department
City of Victoria
1 Centennial Square
Victoria, BC V8W 1P6
T 250.361.0571
F 250.361.0348
Email: councilsecretary@victoria.ca

PRESENTATION TO CITY COUNCIL for April 28 2016

Mary E. Doody Jones

APRIL 25, 2016

To Mayor and Council, Planning and Heritage Deptment

Council is presently involved in two big projects (sewage and a bridge). However, other problems can accumulate with some possible losses.

1 Reminder RE NEED FOR REGULATION on BUILDING IN AMPLIFICATION AREAS AND ACCOUNTABILITY BY DEVELOPERS FOR THEIR EFFECTS ON NEIGHBOURS

Update

The sale price of the Brooke St. house, with considerable amplification problems, increases the incentive for developers rushing to activity without accountability.

- The price of 1.75 million was given immediately , over asking price of 1,689,000,
- Great profits result without any recompense to neighbours for damage,
- "Favoured" areas with unstable geological base, like Fairfield, will be swarmed.
- The middle class will not be able to buy houses in certain areas.
- Rentals become more pressured and limited, especially for those on lower income.

Requests: Please

- Quickly begin changing rules for developers working under the zoning.
- Include neighbourhood accountability regulations like for those rezoning.
- Add methods of ensuring accountability for rezoning and not.
- See lists in *Amplification Study Report* and my last letter.

2. New RE CONCERN ABOUT HERITAGE PROCESS HAVING A "LEVEL PLAYING FIELD" IN FINANCIAL ANALYSES WITH MAKING DECISIONS

Having served 4 years on the Heritage Advisory Council in the 1990s, I am not up-to date with the present process and will check.

The recent information about the "Economic Land Lift Analysis," as developers' means to calculate loss by heritage restrictions, is worrying:

- Council will be asked to pay more by developers In decisions re saving heritage buildings/ sites of any significance.
- Developers like density and clearing land and call it "highest and best use."
- Developing is a privilege requiring direction from Council and regulations.
- Heritage requires extra respect for retaining what was and is wanted for the future.
- Heritage values only in aesthetic and historic terms are inadequate against financial lists.
- The city should have its own balance, If developers' financial is to be given.
- Financial components exist in terms of: 1) authenticity, 2) tourism, 3) human scale, and 4) pleasantness of ambiance (e.g., favoured Fairfield)? If the plan causes losses to the original, the loss of that value, multiplied by future time, could be compared to the developer's figure.
- Payback is needed for public grant money into heritage parts removed or modified.

Requests: Please:

Officially place specific ways to have financial worth from both sides in heritage applications or no financial at all

Mary E. Doody Jones