## **Christine Havelka**

From:

glenda vanderende

Sent:

Thursday, November 26, 2015 9:29 AM

To:

Rob Bateman; Public Hearings

Cc:

Brooke Daitl

Subject:

Development Permit No. 000438 for 2918 Hipwood Lane

## Dear Mr. Bateman and Council:

- > > Your response suggests that you did
- > not fully review the letter to council that has been submitted.
- > We have
- > reviewed the arborist report from 2011 and are aware there is a
- > covenant to protect the tree.
- > Per our previous note, we are
- > requesting, should the development of 2918 proceed, that subsequent to
- > any work that might impact the tree and its root system that a
- > requirement for an arborist to check that the tree and root system
- > remains stable be placed on the developer following the construction
- > activity. We understand that an arborist "will be
- > involved>
- >> throughout the development on the property".
- > However, although there are requirements for measures to minimize
- > impact to the tree and roots at the time of the construction, there is
- > no requirement for subsequent follow-up to confirm that the measures
- > implemented at the time of construction were successful.
- >
- > We believe that it
- > is not unreasonable to request that future monitoring by an arborist,
- > at intervals specified by an arborist, be a requirement to confirm
- > that the works to protect the integrity of the tree and roots has been
- > effective particularly given the risks to property, life and limb
- > involved.
- > Further, we
- > would like to know whose responsibility it becomes if the integrity of
- > the tree is compromised.
- > We would like to ensure that the
- > foregoing is specifically brought to the attention of council in this
- > matter.
- > Submitted on behalf of Strata
- > VIS4635
- Regards,
- > Glenda Vander Ende
- Strata Council Member
- >
- >

>> Date: Thu, 26 Nov > 2015 03:14:41 +0000 > > From: > > To: > > Subject: Fw: > RE: Development Permit No. 000438 for 2918 Hipwood Lane >> >> > > >> --- On Wed, > 11/25/15, Rob Bateman <rbateman@victoria.ca> wrote: >> >>> From: Rob > Bateman <rbateman@victoria.ca> >> >> Subject: RE: Development Permit No. 000438 for 2918 > Hipwood Lane >>> To: "glenda > vanderende" >>> Cc: "Public Hearings" > < Public Hearings@victoria.ca> > Received: Wednesday, November 25, 2015, 1:17 PM >>> Hello Glenda, >> >> >>> Thank you for your email. > This >>> email will be included on the > November 26, 2015 Council >>> Meeting > Agenda. >>> >>> > Regarding >>> your questions about the > arborist report, a covenant has > been registered on title to make sure the tree protection >>> measures are followed. The City will > ensure that the >>> covenant is > followed and an arborist will be involved >>> throughout the development on the > property. If you have more >>> > questions regarding the covenant and arborist report, please >>> contact Brooke Daitl, Park > Development Officer at bdaitl@victoria.ca

>> or 250.361.0614.

```
>>
>>
>>> Please
>> don't hesitate to contact me if you have further
>>> questions or concerns.
>>>
>>>
> Regards,
>>>
>>
> > Rob
>>> Bateman, MCIP, RPP, LEED
> AP
>>> Planner
>>
> > Sustainable Planning and Community
>>
>> Development
> > City of
> Victoria
>>> 1 Centennial Square,
> Victoria BC V8W 1P6
>>>
>>> T 250.361.0292 F
>>> 250.361.0557
>>
>>
>>> -----Original
>>> Message-----
>>
>> From: glenda vanderende
>
>>>
>>> Sent: Wednesday, November 25, 2015
> 10:00
>>> AM
>>>
> To: Rob Bateman
>>> Subject:
>>> Development Permit No. 000438 for
> 2918 Hipwood Lane
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>
>>>>
> Dear City
>>> Council,
>>> This is a submission to City
>>> Council
```

```
>>>
>> regarding the proposed
>>>
> development of 2918 Hipwood Lane.
> > We
>>> are the
>>> neighboring property, 2921
>>> Street, Morgan Oaks townhouse
> strata.
>>>>
>>
> > We
>>> would like to have on
> record our
>>> concern regarding the
> development and
>>>>
>>> the potential impacts to the health
> and stability of the
>>> redwood tree
>>> that is situated on the
>>> boundary of the proposed development
> property
>>> and adjacent
> properties including ours.
>>> We
> have reviewed the arborist
>>>>
> report, from 2011 has been provided in the
>>> current submission, from a
>>> previous
>>
> > proposed development, noting "we can encroach into
> this
>>>
>>>
>> critical root zone if care is
>>>
> taken".
>>> We are
>>> obviously concerned with any
> activity that
>>> could jeopardize the
>>> stability of the
>>> tree as should the integrity of the
> roots be
>>> compromised, the
```

> tree could fall into our