

# Council Report For the Meeting of November 26, 2015

To:

Council

Date:

November 12, 2015

From:

Jonathan Tinney, Director, Sustainable Planning and Community Development

Subject:

Development Permit with Variances Application No. 000382 for 2560 Quadra

Street

#### RECOMMENDATION

That Council receive this report for information and that after giving notice and allowing an opportunity for public comment, that Council consider the following motion which has been updated to remove preconditions that have been satisfied, provide clarification of the parking variances, reference to the revised plan date and includes a provision to allow work within the Statutory Right-of-Way:

"That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit Application with Variances No. 000382 for 2560 Quadra Street, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped November 6, 2015.
- 2. Development meeting all *Zoning Regulation Bylaw* requirements, except for the following variances:
  - i) Schedule C, total number of parking stalls reduced from 21 to 9 stalls and the commercial parking stalls reduced from 1 to nil;
  - Setback from Quadra Street reduced from 6.0m to 3.2m for the third floor (C1-QV Zone, Section 4.52);
  - iii) Setback from the south side yard reduced from 3.55m to nil (C1-QV Zone, Section 4.52).
- 3. That Council authorize City of Victoria staff to execute an Encroachment Agreement for a fee of \$750 plus \$25 per m<sup>2</sup> of exposed shored face during construction, in a form satisfactory to City staff.
- 4. Final plans to be generally in accordance with the plans identified above to the satisfaction of City staff.
- 5. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The purpose of this report is to inform Council that, in accordance with Council's motion of August 27, 2015, the applicant has addressed the pre-conditions that Council set in relation to the Application, which included review by the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) and entering into a car share agreement. The minutes from the Council meeting are attached.

#### BACKGROUND

On August 27, 2015, the Planning and Land Use Committee (PLUC) considered the staff report related to Development Permit Application with Variances No. 000382. Council also referred the Application to the Advisory Design Panel (ADP) prior to allowing an opportunity for public comment.

The Application was referred to the ADP at a meeting on September 23, 2015 and the applicant's detailed response to the Panel recommendations (letter dated November 9, 2015) are attached to this report. The applicant has responded to ADP's recommendations as follows:

- The parapet walls have been raised on the north elevation to make the potted plants more
  of an architectural element, where the pots would be less visible.
- To create weather protection on the south elevation, roofs have been added over the exit stairs, and extend over the walkways. The roof will tie into the trellis and the vines will extend to the roof line, emphasizing the stair towers.
- Two styles of railing enclosure (glazing) on the south and east elevations have been replaced with one contiguous railing enclosure to create a more harmonious element.
- In order to distinguish the commercial component of the development, the size of the commercial sign has been enlarged.

In addition, in response to a request from the neighbouring property owner (Café Fantastico) to reduce overlook, fencing treatment on the north elevation has been modified by increasing the height of the glass fence detail and wires have been added to allow vegetation (vines) to screen the view of the parkade from the neighbouring property.

In response to the comments raised by the PLUC, the request for a variance to the visitor parking has been addressed by allocating one of the residential parking stalls for visitor parking. A variance will be required for one commercial parking stall for the commercial space that fronts Quadra Street.

#### CONCLUSIONS

The applicant has addressed the recommendations made by the ADP and also further responded to neighbourhood concerns and these are presented in the final plans attached to this report. The accompanying applicant letter dated November 9, 2015 details the changes that have been made along with a rationale for recommended changes that have not been incorporated into the final plans.

The recommendation provided above contains the appropriate language to advance the Development Permit with Variances Application No. 000382 to allow an opportunity for public comment.

Respectfully submitted,

Lucina Baryluk

Senior Process Planner

Development Services Division

Jonathan Tinney, Director

Sustainable Planning and Community

Development Department

Report accepted and recommended by the City Manager:

Naventer 17, 2015

#### List of Attachments

- PLUC Report dated August 13, 2015 (previous plans replaced with revised plans)
- PLUC Minutes dated August 27, 2015
- Council Minutes dated August 27, 2015
- Advisory Design Panel Minutes dated September 23, 2015
- Letter from applicant dated November 9, 2015
- Confirmation of Car Share Agreement dated September 21, 2015
- Revised plans dated November 6, 2015.



# Planning and Land Use Committee Report For the Meeting of August 27, 2015

To:

Planning and Land Use Committee

Date:

August 13, 2015

From:

Jonathan Tinney, Director, Sustainable Planning and Community Development

Subject:

Development Permit with Variances Application No. 000382 for 2560 Quadra

Street

#### RECOMMENDATION

Staff recommend that Committee forward this report to Council and that after giving notice and allowing an opportunity for public comment, that Council consider the following motion:

"That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit Application No. 000382 for 2560 Quadra Street, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped June 11, 2015.
- 2. Development meeting all *Zoning Regulation Bylaw* requirements except for the following variances:
  - a. Schedule C, total number of parking stalls reduced from 21 to 9, with no provision for visitor or commercial parking.
  - Setback from Quadra Street reduced from 6.0m to 3.2m for the third floor (C1-QV Zone, Section 4.52).
  - c. Setback from the south side yard reduced from 3.55m to nil (C1-QV Zone, Section 4.52).
- 3. Review by Advisory Design Panel.
- 4. The applicant entering into a Car Share agreement with MODO to secure car share membership for each unit of the project.
- 5. Final plans to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Public Works for any works within the Statutory Right-of-Way.
- 6. Final plans to be generally in accordance with the plans identified above to the satisfaction of the Assistant Director of Development Services.
- 7. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

#### LEGISLATIVE AUTHORITY

In accordance with Section 920(2) of the Local Government Act, Council may issue a Development Permit in accordance with the applicable guidelines specified in the Official Community Plan. A Development Permit may vary or supplement the Zoning Regulation Bylaw but may not vary the use or density of the land from that specified in the bylaw.

Pursuant to Section 920(8) of the *Local Government Act*, where the purpose of the designation is the revitalization of an area in which a commercial use is permitted, a Development Permit may include requirements respecting the character of the development, including landscaping, and the siting, form, exterior design and finish of buildings and other structures.

#### **EXECUTIVE SUMMARY**

The purpose of this report is to present Council with information, analysis and recommendations for a Development Permit Application for the property located at 2560 Quadra Street. The proposal is construct a four-storey, 15-unit residential building with ground-floor commercial. The variances are related to a reduction in the off-street vehicle parking requirements and siting requirements.

The following points were considered in assessing this Application:

- The subject property is within Development Permit Area 5, Large Urban Villages, Quadra Village. The applicable guidelines are the Quadra Village Design Guidelines.
   The proposal is generally consistent with these Design Guidelines.
- The Hillside-Quadra Neighbourhood Plan stipulates a residential component in any redevelopment and also encourages the continuity of the street front without blank walls or garage entrances. This development satisfies both these objectives.
- The Application has been modified since the previous submission. The redesign has responded to the concerns of the community with respect to the transition to the adjacent properties.
- A parking variance is required to reduce the required number of off-site parking stalls from 21 to 9 stalls. The applicant has provided a Parking Demand Study (attached) justifying the parking variance. The parking reduction is supportable based on the findings of the consultant and the applicant providing a car share membership for each residential unit.

#### BACKGROUND

## **Description of Proposal**

The proposal is for a mixed-use building within Quadra Village. Specific details include:

- a four-storey building with a maximum height of 14.21m
- vehicular access from the lane
- vehicle parking for nine cars on the ground floor under the building, accessed from the lane
- the main building cladding material is fiber cement siding, in two colours, with a wood tone composite panel to provide a change of materials on all elevations emphasizing the fenestration
- landscaping is proposed on every level: along the Quadra Street frontage to define the commercial space on the north and south elevations to soften the visual appearance of the building and to provide greenery for each balcony.

The proposed variances are related to:

- The total number of parking stalls are reduced from 21 to 9 stalls for 15 residential units, with no provision for visitor or commercial parking.
- Setback variances from Quadra Street are reduced from 6.0m to 3.2m for the third floor.
- Setback from the south side yard are reduced from 3.55m to nil. This setback is based on a percentage of the building height (25%). The stairs are on the lot line, the elevator housing is 1.83m from the property line and the majority of the building face is 4.3m from the south property line.

## **Sustainability Features**

As indicated in the applicant's letter dated July 23, 2015, the following sustainability features are associated with this Application:

- stormwater treatment swale for run-off from the roof and parking
- ENERGY STAR appliances
- recycling 35% of construction materials
- sourcing 35% of construction materials within 500km
- diverting 70% of construction waste from landfill
- choice of green materials (paints, carpets, glazing)
- provide infrastructure for one electric vehicle charging station
- increase bike parking (see Section on Active Transportation Impacts).

## **Active Transportation Impacts**

The Application proposes the following feature which supports active transportation:

- bike storage that exceeds Bylaw requirements (bike lockers in the parkade and additional storage accessible from the corridor on every floor)
- car share membership (MODO) for each unit. The closest MODO car is located on North Park Street and Vancouver Street.

#### **Public Realm Improvements**

No public realm improvements are proposed in association with this Development Permit Application.

## **Existing Site Development and Development Potential**

The site is presently occupied by a single family dwelling that will be removed.

Under the current C1-QV Zone, Quadra Village District, the property could be developed for a mixed-use building (commercial-residential) with a maximum floor space ratio of 1.4:1 and a maximum height of four storeys and 15.5m.

#### Data Table

The following data table compares the proposal with the Quadra Village District Zone. An asterisk is used to identify where the proposal is less stringent than the existing zone.

Zoning Criteria	Proposal	Zone Standard C1-QV		
Site area (m²) - minimum	620	N/A		
Total floor area (m²) – maximum	862	868		
Commercial floor area (m²)	54	Ground floor requirement		
Density (Floor Space Ratio) - maximum	1.4:1	1.4:1		
Number of units - maximum	15	N/A		
Height (m) - maximum	14.21	15.5		
Site coverage (%) – maximum	79	N/A		
Open site space (%) – minimum	18	N/A		
Storeys - maximum	4	4		
Setbacks (m) - minimum Front - 1 <sup>st</sup> and 2 <sup>nd</sup> storey Front - 3 <sup>rd</sup> storey Front - 4 <sup>th</sup> storey	3.2 3.2* 7.4	3 6 6		
Rear	0.45	N/A		
Side (north) Side (south)	0 0*stairs 1.83* building	0 3.55 (1/4 building height)		
Parking: Residential – minimum	9*	21 1.4 per unit		
Parking: Visitor – minimum	0*	1		
Parking: Commercial - minimum	0*	1		
Bicycle storage for residential units	23	15		
Bicycle racks for short-term and commercial use	6 space rack provided	6 space rack required		

### **Relevant History**

On November 24, 2011, Council approved a Development Permit for this site. The previously approved Development Permit was for 17 residential units with ground-floor commercial. The four-storey building did not require a rezoning as the density provisions of the current zoning (Quadra Village District) were not exceeded. However, this previously approved Development Permit has expired. On January 29, 2015, the Planning and Land Use Committee reviewed a new Application for this site that required a rezoning due to the increased density. Based on comments from the community and Council, the applicant has revised the proposal that now complies with the density provisions of the C1-QV Zone, and, as such only a development permit is required.

The minutes of the previous approval and of the January 29, 2015 meeting are provided in the attachments for Council's information.

The main changes from the previous proposal can be summarized as follows:

- Density reduced from 1.8:1 FSR to 1.4:1 FSR
- Total floor area reduced from 1120 m² to 863 m²
- Number of units reduced from 18 to 15
- Height reduced from 15.07 m to 14.21 m

The design implications of the changes are as follows:

- The building is more articulated on the north and south elevations.
- Increased landscaping materials include using the car deck as a structural element for trees on the north elevation.
- The staircase access to the units has been relocated from the north elevation to the south elevation, accented by a landscape screen which will provide visual interest, privacy and shade. The roof element of the walkway has been eliminated decreasing the visual mass of the building.
- The balconies have been relocated to the north elevation, and every unit has a private balcony, or shared outdoor space.

## **Community Consultation**

Consistent with the Community Association Land Use Committee (CALUC) Procedures for Processing Rezoning and Variance Applications, the Development Permit was referred to the Hillside-Quadra Neighbourhood Action Group. An email summarizing the comments of NAG is attached to this report.

This Application proposes variances, therefore, in accordance with the City's Land Use Procedures Bylaw, it requires notice, sign posting and a meeting of Council to consider the variances.

## **ANALYSIS**

#### Development Permit Area and Design Guidelines

The Official Community Plan (OCP) identifies this property within DPA 5, Large Urban Village. The specific guidelines that apply to the area are the Quadra Street Design Guidelines. Council approval is required for exterior design, finish and landscaping of new developments, as the subject property is within a revitalization area.

The Quadra Village Design Guidelines encourage infill and continuity of street frontage. This project meets this objective by fully developing the frontage, without parking stalls or parking drive aisles, thus eliminating conflicts with pedestrian activity along Quadra Street. In addition, the Quadra Street frontage with commercial space and the entrance to the residential units will be attractive to pedestrians and provide for an active pedestrian environment. The landscaping and potential for an outdoor seating area provides a visual definition of the outdoor commercial space. The applicant has provided a drawing showing details of the street elevation.

The Guidelines encourage terracing back of upper floors. The intent is to achieve a built form that is human scale by mitigating perceived building mass at upper levels. While the building does not totally achieve this objective, as there is a setback variance required from the front lot line at the 3<sup>rd</sup> storey from 6.0m to 3.3m, the fourth storey does achieve this objective by creating a deck area on the fourth storey. As such, the perceived building mass along Quadra Street is three storeys. In addition, the change of materials and colours and variety in fenestration serve to break up the façade and create visual interest. The inclusion of balconies along the south elevation, that also overlook Quadra Street, adds to the interest to this elevation in addition to providing usable open space for the building occupants.

With respect to the comments from the community, there was concern about the prominence of the blank wall proposed on the northwest elevation adjacent to the lane. As there is a grade change, the wall is required on this property boundary as a structural element, and will be used as tree planter. The applicant has partially eliminated the retaining wall and replaced it with a glazing element. As the properties to the north are redeveloped, this retaining wall will be less evident.

## Hillside-Quadra Neighbourhood Plan

The *Hillside-Quadra Neighbourhood Plan* stipulates a residential component in any redevelopment and also encourages the continuity of the street front without blank walls or garage entrances. This development satisfies both these objectives.

## Regulatory Considerations - Zoning Regulation Bylaw

The required off-street parking for the 15 residential units and the commercial space is 22 stalls, and only 9 stalls are proposed. The magnitude of the parking variance was similar for the previous proposal and was supported as there was significant participation in the Victoria Car Share Cooperative (now MODO) including membership for all units, vehicle purchase and marketing participation.

The applicant has scaled back car share participation to providing memberships for all units, and provided a Parking Demand Study (attached) to support the parking variance. Staff have found the data supporting the parking variance acceptable, and are supportive of this variance request.

#### Other Considerations

As a significant redevelopment within Quadra Village, it is appropriate that this Application be reviewed by the Advisory Design Panel.

### CONCLUSIONS

The continuous building frontage along Quadra Street will create an active pedestrian environment, with visible access to both the entrance to the residential units and the commercial component. The proposal generally complies with the directions provided in the *Quadra Street Design Guidelines*. As the applicant is proposing to provide membership for each residential unit, and has supported the request for a parking variance with a Parking Demand Study, the parking variance is recommended for Council's consideration. Staff also recommend that he design and landscaping proceed for public comment, subject to referral to the Advisory Design Panel.

#### ALTERNATE MOTION

That Council decline Development Permit Application No. 000382 for the property located at 2560 Quadra Street.

Respectfully submitted,

Lucina Baryluk, Senior
Process Planner,
<b>Development Services</b>
Division

-47	•	<i>)</i>	1.1
15	11/2	SM.	7/11/20
Alison	Меу	er, Ás	sistant
Direct	or, De	evelop	ment
Service			

Jonathan	Tinney,	Dire	ector
Sustainab	le Plani	ning	and
Communi	ty Deve	lopn	nent

Report ac	ccepted an	d recomme	ended by	the City	Manager:

Jason Johnson

Date:	August 19, 2015

#### List of Attachments

- Aerial map
- Zoning map
- Development Permit Plans submission dated June 11, 2015
- Letter from the applicant dated July 23, 2015
- Planning and Land Use minutes of January 29, 2015
- Adept Transportation Solutions, Parking Demand Study
- Comments from Hillside Quadra Neighbourhood Action Group (email).

July 23, 2015

Mayor and Council, City of Victoria, 1 Centennial Square, Victoria, BC, V8w 1P6

Re: 2560 Quadra

Dear Mayor and Council



The site in question is located at the south end of Quadra Village acting as a gateway to the commercial area. The OCP describes the need to develop Quadra Village as a complete Urban Village with increased residential and commercial density . This is an opportunity to intensify use in an important Urban Village in the City of Victoria to take advantage of a strong local commercial base supported by good public transportation close to Victoria's downtown.

My client is a developer of a different type – building property to rent and hold for the long term . Their experience as a property management firm informs them that a MIXTURE of small bachelor units combined with larger two and three bedroom units works better in the long term attracting a more stable mix of tenants .

In the spring of last year, we had submitted a rezoning appliacation to increase the density from the 1.4 allowed by the zone to 1.8. After consulting with the community, we have decided to withdraw the application to re-zone the site. The feeling of the community was that the existing zone was more appropriate as a framework for re-development. We are now applying for approval of a new development variance permit based on a project that fits the existing C1-QV zone or the

The basic concept and organization of the current proposal is the same as the re-zoning application but reduced in size . On the ground floor there is commercial space fronting on Quadra reinforcing the commercial character of the Village . The parking is provided on grade behind the commercial accessed off the public lane behind thereby not disturbing the streetscape with a driveway .The parking is screened from the neighbouring residential property to the south by a landscaped area between the open stairs and to the commercial property to the north by a metal architectural fencing with vines .

A concern expressed by the community was that the original proposal abutted the property line in too many locations not leaving enough open space on site . The area of the suites on the largest typical floor has reduced by 20 % in the new proposal . The site coverage of the new proposal is only slightly smaller than the original because we have increased the width of the open walkways to create seating opportunities and space for social interaction . In the original proposal the rear of the building filled the end of the site on the lane touching both property lines to the north and south . That has been eliminated and more landscaping added around the perimeter both on the ground and on a planter platform covering the parking .

While the organization of the key elements is largely the same, we have essentially "flipped "the plan to now enter the residential building on the south side by the existing apartment building with the parking now adjacent the north property line . We felt this to be more appropriate as we could orient a significant landscape area to screen the residential use to the south . The parking along the north property line made sense as the adjacent use is commercial with parking and storage in the adjacent rear yard .

The number of units have reduced from 18 to 15 but the object of providing a mix of larger and smaller units hasn't changed –8 of the units are bachelor/ one bedroom in the 400 sf range, 2 units are one bedroom units at 550 sf, three are 2 bedroom at 680 sf and one is a three bedroom at 1120 sf. This unit mix will serve a diverse cross section of the community.

There are 9 parking stalls for 15 units on site which is a 60 % parking ratio versus the original application which had 10 parking stalls for 18 units which is a 55 % ratio. To mitigate the parking variance, we exceed the bicycle parking standard by 50% and it is located both in lockers on level one and on the open walkways providing access to the units .

There are three areas of variance . The first is that the zone requires the third and fourth floors on the Quadra face step back 3M from the lower floors . Our fourth floor steps back 4.4M but the third floor does not . We argue that the scaling intent of this measure has been met and impacts , if any , are only to the street . Item 6 in the zone requires that the building be 3.5M - 25% of the height of the building-from a property line abutting a residential building . Our building is 3.81M but the width of the elevator shaft and the open stairs and walkways are closer .As we are on the north side of the adjacent property there is no daylight or sun intrusion . The interior space of the building meets the by-law requirement and only a short blank wall and open stairs are within the setback . We provide a signicant landscape screen on the south face screening the walkways and the stairs . Lastly we have a parking variance which is the subject of a traffic study and mitigation measures – MODO membership and additional bicycle parking .

We have amended the appearance of the building by eliminating the roof element over the walkway to reduce the apparent mass of the building . Landscaping is integrated with the open stairs and walkway . The parking has been screened from the neighboring property by a combination of a metal architectural panels and by grounding the rear of the building with a planter platform . The exterior of the building has been simplified and a wood element added to create warmth .

The building will have the following 'green features'

- 1. Drain parking area and roof into treatment swale in landscaped area
- 2. Energy Star appliances
- 3. 35 % of all construction material will be re-cycled
- 4. 35 % of all construction material will be produced within 500 k
- 5. 70 % of all construction waste will be diverted from the landfill
- 6. Low VOC paints / green label carpets / formaldehyde free products
- 7. Wire one parking stall for rapid charging EV
- 8. Reduced car parking and increased bicycle parking
- 9. Low E glazing

In summary our proposal is worthy of support as it builds on a previously approved application with a very similar scheme, maintaining the same density of area fitting the zone, reduces the number of units, provides a mixture of small and large units, and enlarges the affordable pool of rental housing in an important urban village.

Regarde

Eric Barker Architect AIBC / LEED AP



## **Adept Transportation Solutions**

PLANNING AND ENGINEERING

2560 Quadra Street, Victoria, BC

PROPOSED RENTAL APARTMENT COMPLEX

Parking Demand Study In Support of Parking Variance Application

## **FINAL REPORT**

Prepared for:

Carmague Properties Inc.

Date:

August 28, 2014

Prepared by:

Adept Transportation Solutions

W. Wayne Gibson, CTech, Principal Senior Transportation Technician

Adept Transportation Solutions 2426 Mill Bay Road, Mill Bay, BC VOR-2P4 17781-678-1020



#### BACKGROUND

Camargue Properties Inc. engaged Adept Transportation Solutions (Adept) to undertake an analysis of off-street parking demand for the proposed redevelopment of 2560 Quadra Street, in the City of Victoria, BC. The intent of the study was to determine an appropriate off-street parking supply for the proposed rental apartment component of the building.

In 2011, under a similar application, the existing single-family home site was granted a rezoning for a Strata Residential building with 17 units consisting of - 9 bachelors @  $360 \, \text{ft}^2 / 3 - \text{I Br.}$  @  $650 \, \text{ft}^2$  and  $5 - 2 \, \text{Br.}$  @  $750 \, \text{ft}^2$  with commercial uses on the ground floor. The permit was approved by the City with 11 surface stalls for the residential component under the building (a rate of  $0.65 \, \text{stalls}$  per unit) and involvement of the Car Share Co Op along with other TDM measures; however, the project was not constructed.

At this time, the application at 2560 Quadra Street proposes a four-storey building with commercial space on the main floor and 18 rental apartment units above. It will include a mix of 9 - bachelor units under 450 ft<sup>2</sup> and 9 - 2 bedroom units above 750 ft<sup>2</sup>. The commercial space is approx. 655ft<sup>2</sup>. It is proposed that 10 off-street parking spaces be provided as shown on the attached Site Plan.

To advance the project at this time, a new development permit is being sought along with a revised parking variance.

The site location is depicted in the following Area Plan illustrated in Exhibit 1.

Site

Exhibit 1: Area Plan with Site Location

In order to assess the actual parking demand for this specific land use, Adept Transportation Solutions carried out research related to parking demand for adjacent rental apartments and has analyzed the infrastructure available to support alternative mode of travel. Our findings are described in the following sections.



## EXISTING CONDITIONS


#### WALKING:

The Hillside – Quadra neighbourhood is extremely walkable as it is served by the commercial centre at the intersection of Hillside Avenue and Quadra Street known as Quadra Village. Quadra Village provides a mix of retail, service and small office facilities.

The location of the subject development site is also within close proximity to excellent transit services for longer trips. In this mature neighbourhood, infrastructure to accommodate pedestrians is already in place. There are existing concrete sidewalks along both sides of the roads in the vicinity of the site, along with controlled crossings at major intersections.

The following Exhibit 2 – Walkability Map shows the approximate walk distance and times for up to a 30 minute one-way walk trip. Major destinations are also identified. For this estimate, an average walk speed was assumed at 1.2 m/s.

Exhibit 2: Walkability Map





#### CYCLING:

Victoria, the "Cycling Capital of Canada" has a mild climate most of the year, moderate topography, and most of the population in the region is located within 6 km of the downtown, which is an ideal range for attracting cyclists.

Cycling is one of the most sustainable modes of transportation and displacement of auto trips by cycling helps to reduce traffic congestion and reduces noxious emissions. The main market segment for journey-to-work trips by bicycle as the primary mode of transportation are less than 8km in length. Cycling can be combined with other travel modes, such as transit.

The following Exhibit 3 - Victoria, Oak Bay & Saanich Cycling Network illustrates the City of Victoria, District of Oak Bay and connecting District of Saanich bikeway networks. The proposed development is located a short distance between two major existing north / south bikeway routes (Blanshard Street and Vancouver Street) and the proposed east / west Bay Street route and is approximately 1 kilometre from the downtown core.




Exhibit 3: Victoria, Oak Bay & Saanich Cycling Networks

Bicycle travel distance estimates from the subject development site are shown. These are estimates based on a 10km/h bicycle travel speed and are for illustrative purposes only as there can be a large variation in cyclist travel speed based on trip purpose and rider ability.



#### TRANSIT:

Convenient Transit service with excellent headways is provided along Quadra Street as well as Hillside Avenue which is one block north of the subject site.

Route #6 travels along Quadra Street with sheltered bus stops approximately 100m north of the site. Route #6 operates on 15 minute headways or better for the majority of the day and connects the site to HMCS Dockyard to the southwest via the downtown core as well as to the Royal Oak Exchange to the north. Route #4 operates along Hillside Avenue, which is approximately 260m north of the site, with very short headways and connects Downtown Victoria to Camosun College's Lansdowne Campus and beyond to UVIC via the Quadra Village and Hillside Town Centre areas.

A transit map is provided in Exhibit 4 for reference to the proximity of the site to the transit network.

Fortier Company of the Company of th

Exhibit 4: Transit Routes near the Site



## ANALYSIS

## 2011 CRD HOUSEHOLD ORIGIN-DESTINATION SURVEY

According to the 2011 CRD Household OD Survey<sup>1</sup>, conducted by R.A. Malatest & Associates Ltd., the subject site is within the "District 6 - Victoria North" study area. As can be seen in the excerpt below, this area has 14,233 households. The total estimated vehicle ownership within the district is 16,554 which translates to a vehicle ownership rate of 1.16 vehicles per household and a rate of 0.57 vehicles per person. In contrast, the region as a whole has a vehicle ownership rate of 1.63 vehicles per household. The average household vehicle ownership rates for the survey study areas are shown in Table 1 below.


Table 1: CRD 2011 Household O-D Survey - Vehicle Ownership Rates per Household by District

Sidney	North Saanich	C. Saanich	Downtown	Victoria South	Victoria North	Saanich North	Saanich East	Saanich West	Oak Bay	Esquimalt	View Roya	Highlands	Langford	Cotwood	Metchosin	Sooke
1.5	2.17	1.93	0.77	1.06	1.16	2.08	1.52	1.82	1.57	1.36	1.69	2.73	1.96	1.99	2.2	1.92

#### District 6 - Victoria North

Population	29,167	Actively Tray	elled	24,599
Employed Population	16,181	Number of V		16,554
Households	14,233	Area (ha)		774
Jobs in District	20,188	150 15		
Occupation				
Status (age 11+)	Male	Female	Total	%
Full Time Employed	6,527	5,439	11,961	A556
Part Time Employed	1,468	2,752	4,220	16%
Student	1,913	2,559	4,472	17%
Retiree	2,383	2.891	5.274	20%
Homemaker	47	292	339	1%
Other	1,141	893	2,033	8%
Total:	12,727	13,939	26,666	100%
Traveller Characteristics	Male	remalé	Total	
Licensed Drivers	9,688	10,774	20,462	

Trips made by residents	84,925
Selected Indicators	
Daily Trips per Person (age 11+)	2.91
Vehicles per Person	0.57
Number of Persons per Household	2.05
Daily Trips per Household	5.97
Venicles per Household	1.16
Workers per Household	1,14
Population Density (Popma)	37.68
Employment Density (Jobsma)	26.08
Jobs per Person	0.69



Household Size	Total	56
1 person	5,913	42%
2 persons	5,050	35%
3 persons	1,823	13%
4+ persons	1,446	10%
Total:	14,233	100%
Households by Vehicle Availability	Total	39
0 vehicles	2587	18%
1 vehicle	7884	55%
2 vehicles	2583	21%
3) vehicles	279	5%
Total	14,233	100%

As the Victoria North District covers a broad area with different neighbourhood characteristics, more detailed analysis of the Quadra Village parking trends was undertaken and is described in the following pages.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> https://www.crd.bc.ca/docs/default-source/regional-planning-pdf/transportation/crd-od-survey-dailytravelcharacteristicsreportfinal.pdf?sfvrsn=2

<sup>2560</sup> Quadra Street Jubilee Avenue – Parking Variance Study Adept Project CP -1001 – August 28, 2014




#### CITY OF VICTORIA OFF-STREET PARKING BYLAW

The parking section of the City of Victoria Zoning Regulation Bylaw for the subject site's R3-2 zone stipulates an off-street parking requirement of 1.4 spaces per multiple (rental) dwelling unit of which 10% of the parking supply must be assigned for visitors. Under this scenario, the proposed development would require 25 off-street parking spaces.

### TENANT PARKING DEMAND FOR ADJACENT RENTAL APARTMENTS IN SUBJECT QUADRA VILLAGE AREA

As Adept has done in numerous other 'parking demand' studies, in order to better understand the existing parking trends of similar nearby rental apartment complexes, ICBC was requested to undertake a vehicle ownership search for rental apartments relative to this study along the block of Quadra Street. The results are effective as of February 28, 2014. Exhibit 5 shows the locations of the subject development site and the other 4 rental complexes analyzed as being pertinent to this study, as listed on Table 2. The other two sites marked with an asterisk were analyzed through property management surveys and are discussed in the next section.

Exhibit 5: Pertinent Study Apartment Complexes





**Table 2** summarizes the results of our requested ICBC search of actively insured vehicles by address as of February 28, 2014, relative to this study.

Table 2: ICBC Vehicle Registration Data Demand for Rental Apartments Directly Adjacent to Subject Site

Postal Code	Address	No. of Rental Suites	Registered Tenant Vehicles	Ownership Rate	Parking Supply / Rate (spaces per unit)
	C 150 Ki Q 1,20 L 1 (195	No disper	Hand Specific	the desired	1. 1. Fe/1. 2 1 1 1 1 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 2 4 1 1 1 1 1
V8R 4N4	2540 Quadra Street	. 22	11	0.50	18 / 0.82
V8R 4N4	2546 Quadra Street	21	10	0.48	18 / 0.86
V8R 4N4	2558 Quadra Street	20	5	0.25	11/0.55
V8R 4N4	2500 Quadra Street	26	19	0.73	32 / 1.23

As shown in the ICBC data, the four surveyed apartment complexes (containing a total of 89 rental apartment units) has a resident-tenant vehicle ownership rate of 0.51 vehicles per suite. Excluding the 2558 Quadra site (since it is a greater Victoria Housing Society building), results in an average resident vehicle ownership rate of 0.58 vehicles per suite for the 3 standard rental apartment complexes.

#### \*ADDITIONAL PROPERTY MANAGEMENT SURVEYS

During field reconnaissance, Adept staff had the opportunity to survey other adjacent rental buildings in more detail.

- 1. The apartment complex at 2533 Dowler Place contains 43 rental units with 56 surface parking stalls. Of these units, 3 are bachelor, 14 have 1 bedroom and 11 contain 2 bedrooms. According to the resident manager, none of the Bachelor unit residents owned vehicles, 11 of the 1 bedroom residents own a vehicle and all 11 of the 2 bedroom unit residents own one vehicle, for an overall demand of 22 spaces (0.51 vehicles per suite). At the time of this survey, 2 suites were vacant. Parking spaces are unbundled and are exclusive of the monthly rental rate. The cost for a dedicated parking space is an additional \$15/month for an uncovered space and \$25 for a covered space. Currently, the excess spaces are available and advertised for rental and 6 spaces are rented to non-residents. 2 spaces have been reclaimed to accommodate refuse/recycling containers. The site provides a secure common bicycle storage room capable of accommodating 25 bicycles. The room is fully utilized.
- 2. The apartment complex at 2536 Wark Street contains 23 rental units and has 24 surface parking stalls. Of these units, 1 is a bachelor, 12 have 1 bedroom and 10 contain 2 bedrooms. Two of the 2 bedroom units were vacant at the time of the interview. According to the resident manager, only 5 residents own a vehicle. Approximately half of the tenants are students and use cycling and transit as their primary travel mode.

The compilation of this information is provided in Table 3. Please note that for these calculations, the vacant rental units were assumed to be occupied and have 1 vehicle.



Table 3: Additional Off-Street Parking Utilization for Rental Apartments


Address	# of units	Bach	1 Bdrm	2 Bdrm	Parking Supply	Parking Utilization / Rate (spaces per unit)
2533 Dowler Place	43	3 10	29	11	. 56	*24/0.56
2536 Wark Street	23	1	12	10	24	*7/0.30

Incorporating this additional data into the vehicle ownership calculation results in an average parking demand of 0.53 vehicles per rental suite in the vicinity of the proposed development.

#### VISITOR PARKING

Should there be any spill-over of visitor parking, there is ample on-street parking within walking distance available for visitors for all of the rental apartment complexes in the vicinity of the subject site along Kings Road and Wark Street as shown in Exhibit 6.

Exhibit 6: Parking Availability Near the Site





#### ON-STREET PARKING SUPPLY

There is partially 'Time Restricted' (2 Hours, Monday-Saturday 8am-6pm) on-street parallel parking along the south side of this block of Kings Road. The same restriction applies to both Wark Street and Dowler Place. Along the Quadra Street frontage, 2hr daytime 'Time Restricted' parking is available with the exception of the periods of 7-9am and 4-6pm Monday - Friday.

#### **BICYCLE PARKING**

The project should provide secure "Class 1" bicycle parking spaces at a rate of 2 per unit as well as "Class 2" six-bike parking racks at each building entrance. The proposed Class 1 parking supply is double the current bylaw requirement, but is deemed more practical in this specific area based on our study findings.

### CONCLUSIONS

The current City of Victoria off-street parking bylaw for the subject site does not recognize the important effect that location has in a reduced 'parking demand' rate for apartments in this specific area. The Bylaw does provide some recognition of reduced parking requirements based on 'site location' and specific land-use in many of their zones.

In conjunction with the site being well serviced by walking, cycling and transit infrastructure, local amenities, and the relative proximity to the post-secondary educational facilities, the requested parking variance for this complex is warranted.

Based on the site location, neighbourhood amenities and our study findings, we suggest an appropriate rate for the subject development would be 0 spaces for Bachelor units, 1 space for each of the two bedroom units for a total supply of 9 spaces plus one space for the commercial use for a total of 10 spaces or a parking supply rate of 0.56 spaces per unit. The commercial unit parking stall should be made available to visitors outside of business hours.

Our recent project specific research findings have determined that a parking variance as per our recommendation is warranted for this project; a reduction in the current 1.4 stalls / per unit rate down to 0.56 stalls / per unit.

The justification for our recommended parking variance is as follows:

- > compatibility with the City of Victoria and the CRD adopted strategies for encouraging travel modes other than the automobile;
- > excellent public transit and pedestrian / cycling infrastructure;
- close proximity to all required amenities (i.e. grocery and other shopping, restaurants / cafes, and medical, including a major Hospital facility and pertinent post-secondary educational facilities);
- proximity to the downtown core area;
- > the provision of double the bylaw requirement for secure bicycle storage lockers; and
- there is ample on-street parking available in the immediate vicinity of the site should there be any visitor parking overflow during peak visitor periods.



## RECOMMENDATIONS

In view of Adept's research, analysis and findings regarding the parking demand for this project, it is recommended that Council approve an off-street parking variance for this project from 1.4 spaces per unit down to 0.56 spaces per unit; a variance of 15 off-street parking spaces. That is, instead of the 25 (18 units X 1.4) spaces required under the current bylaw, that Council approve 10 (18 X 0.56) off-street parking spaces for this building permit application; a provision of 10 spaces for the 18 rental units and commercial use.

## Lucina Baryluk

Lucina baryiuk	
From: Sent: To: Cc: Subject:	nag@quadravillagecc.com Sunday, Jun 28, 2015 4:02 PM nag@blanshardcc.com; Lucina Baryluk Jenny Fraser (jenfraser@islandnet.com) Re: DP for 2560 Quadra - revised plans
Hello Lucina,	
The below excerpt is from the	arker April 13th 2015 as part of a regular NAG meeting. minutes of the meeting and express our understanding of the proposal, and some resented at that meeting. This can be included in the information for council.
4. 2560 Quadra	*
On behalf of the proponent Car	margue Investments/Groupe Denux, architect Eric Barker
presented revised plans and sol	icited feedback. The previous proposal dates from May
2014 and required a rezoning fi	rom C1QV with a permitted FSR of 1.4 to allow for a FSR
1.8. This application has been v	withdrawn and the proponents presented plans for a
building that falls within the zo	oning. They would apply for a development permit which
includes specifications for outs	ide treatment and landscaping.
The building is 4 storeys high,	with the 4th storey stepped back, as required by the

zoning. There are 15 units—7 2-BR and 8 bachelors—with a total building area of 868

m2 and 9 parking stalls. There is also bike parking at ground and on each floor. The entrance would be on the south side. The development would require some variances for parking and setbacks. Substantial landscaping is proposed for the SW corner. The NW corner has been "anchored" with paneling/walls to obscure the underground parking. There is a top floor patio (which will be landscaped). Entrance to the units is via open stairs and walkways. Provision has been made for wider walkways to allow residents to extend their living space while respecting the minimum width required by the building code (for fire safety purposes). Decks are now located on the north side of the building. Meeting attendees had a number of questions about the revised plans. Concerns were expressed about the aesthetics of NW corner of the building. The long high fence presents a very solid mass, which is not very aesthetically pleasing. The proponents said they would look at this issue. Thank you,

Hillside Quadra NAG

Rowena Locklin



		_		7 2	634/30				
					2630		2631		
		x.			2624		Anna T	QV1	
	BLA	NSH)	ARD	2	618/20				
	BLANSHARD SCHOOL		970	2612 2608 2606 2602		2625		FIFTH ST	
				KIN	NGS RD				
	2560		2575	941-975	2590 - 2572	y #14	2563/2571	1026	
			2565	C	2560	į.	2559	2580	
A	2536	W	2529		2558		2553	2570 2566	
2	2530	WARK ST	R3-2	R	2546 3 - 2 -	QUADRA ST	2549 SCH	2560 ARS 100L 2554	
					2540			255	
	2520		2523		2524		2527	254	
V	25 Develo	560 C	Quadra Street of Permit #000	t 0382			R3-2		

#### 4. DEVELOPMENT APPLICATION REPORTS

## 4.1 Development Permit with Variances Application No. 000382 for 2560 Quadra Street

Committee received a report dated August 13, 2015 from Sustainable Planning & Community Development for a development permit for 2560 Quadra Street. The proposal is to construct a four-storey, 15-unit residential building with ground-floor commercial.

#### Committee discussed:

- Visitor parking requirements for the site, including the provision of one visitor stall.
- Concerns also raised by the adjacent property owner in regards to the lack of visitor parking spaces and if there is a way to mitigate this.
  - One of the residential stalls could be allocated for visitor parking and would not affect the design of the building.

#### Action:

It was moved by Councillor Isitt, seconded by Councillor Thornton-Joe, that Committee recommends that after giving notice and allowing an opportunity for public comment, that Council consider the following motion:

"That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit Application No. 000382 with Variances for 2560 Quadra Street, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped June 11, 2015.
- 2. Development meeting all *Zoning Regulation Bylaw* requirements except for the following variances:
  - a. Schedule C, total number of parking stalls reduced from 21 to 9, with the provision for one visitor parking space.
  - Setback from Quadra Street reduced from 6.0m to 3.2m for the third floor (C1-QV Zone, Section 4.52).
  - c. Setback from the south side yard reduced from 3.55m to nil (C1-QV Zone, Section 4.52).
- 3. Review by Advisory Design Panel.
- 4. The applicant entering into a Car Share agreement with MODO to secure car share membership for each unit of the project.
- 5. Final plans to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Public Works for any works within the Statutory Right-of-Way.
- 6. Final plans to be generally in accordance with the plans identified above to the satisfaction of the Assistant Director of Development Services.
- 7. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

#### Committee discussed the motion:

- If the public feels there is a need for more dedicated residential parking stalls this can be addressed at the public hearing.
- Public comment indicates that this is a significantly better proposal than the previous one.
- Greater density may have been appropriate for this site but there is appreciation for working within the zone.
- Appreciation for the efforts made to work with the residents who raised concerns.
- Redevelopment in Quadra Village is long overdue.
- Concerns that single loaded corridors are not calculated as part of the floor space ratio and how this makes for bulkier buildings.

<u>Amendment</u>: It was moved by Councillor Madoff, seconded by Councillor Loveday, that the motion be amended as follows:

That Committee recommends that after giving notice and allowing an opportunity for public comment, that Council consider the following motion:

"That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit Application No. 000382 for 2560 Quadra Street, in accordance with:

- 1. Plans date stamped June 11, 2015.
- 2. Development meeting all *Zoning Regulation Bylaw* requirements except for the following variances:
  - a. Schedule C, total number of parking stalls reduced from 21 to 9, with the provision for one visitor parking space.
  - Setback from Quadra Street reduced from 6.0m to 3.2m for the third floor (C1-QV Zone, Section 4.52).
  - Setback from the south side yard reduced from 3.55m to nil (C1-QV Zone, Section 4.52).
- 3. Review by Advisory Design Panel with particular attention to the single loaded corridors as a design approach.
- 4. The applicant entering into a Car Share agreement with MODO to secure car share membership for each unit of the project.
- 5. Final plans to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Public Works for any works within the Statutory Right-of-Way.
- 6. Final plans to be generally in accordance with the plans identified above to the satisfaction of the Assistant Director of Development Services.
- 7. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

#### Committee discussed the amendment:

- Significant issue that could be dealt with by the Planning Department in the future rather than addressing it with specific proposals.
- Changing the name of the building to the originally proposed "Seto" instead of the "Urbanite".
- Long record of contribution from the Seto family which is significant to the Chinese culture.
- Concerns of removing a residential parking stall.
- The new design fits better with the neighbourhood.
- Privacy screening for the balconies looking into the works yard.

On the amendment: CARRIED 15/PLUC/189

On the main motion as amended: CARRIED 15/PLUC/190

For: Mayor Helps; Councillors Alto, Coleman, Isitt, Loveday, Madoff, and Thornton-

Joe

Against: Councillors Lucas and Young

PLUC meeting August 27, 2015

#### REPORTS OF THE COMMITTEES

## 2. Planning and Land Use Committee - August 27, 2015

- 3. Development Permit with Variances Application No. 000382 for 2560 Quadra Street

  It was moved by Councillor Alto, seconded by Councillor Thornton-Joe, that after giving notice and allowing an opportunity for public comment, that Council consider the following motion:

  "That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit Application No. 000382 with Variances for 2560 Quadra Street, in accordance with:
  - 1. Plans date stamped June 11, 2015.
  - 2. Development meeting all Zoning Regulation Bylaw requirements except for the following variances:
    - a. Schedule C, total number of parking stalls reduced from 21 to 9, with provision for one visitor parking space.
    - b. Setback from Quadra Street reduced from 6.0m to 3.2m for the third floor (C1-QV Zone, Section 4.52).
    - c. Setback from the south side yard reduced from 3.55m to nil (C1-QV Zone, Section 4.52).
  - 3. Review by Advisory Design Panel with particular attention to the single loaded corridors as a design approach.
  - 4. The applicant entering into a Car Share agreement with MODO to secure car share membership for each unit of the project.
  - 5. Final plans to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Public Works for any works within the Statutory Right-of-Way.
  - 6. Final plans to be generally in accordance with the plans identified above to the satisfaction of the Assistant Director of Development Services.
  - 7. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

Carried Unanimously

#### REPORTS OF THE COMMITTEES

## 2. Planning and Land Use Committee - August 27, 2015

- 1. Development Permit with Variances Application No. 000382 for 2560 Quadra Street
  It was moved by Councillor Alto, seconded by Councillor Thornton-Joe, that after giving notice
  and allowing an opportunity for public comment, that Council consider the following motion:
  "That Council authorize the issuance of Development Permit Application No. 000382 with
  Variances for 2560 Quadra Street, in accordance with:
  - 1. Plans date stamped June 11, 2015.
  - 2. Development meeting all Zoning Regulation Bylaw requirements except for the following variances:
    - a. Schedule C, total number of parking stalls reduced from 21 to 9, with provision for one visitor parking space.
    - b. Setback from Quadra Street reduced from 6.0m to 3.2m for the third floor (C1-QV Zone, Section 4.52).
    - c. Setback from the south side yard reduced from 3.55m to nil (C1-QV Zone, Section 4.52).
  - 3. Review by Advisory Design Panel with particular attention to the single loaded corridors as a design approach.
  - 4. The applicant entering into a Car Share agreement with MODO to secure car share membership for each unit of the project.
  - 5. Final plans to the satisfaction of the Director of Engineering and Public Works for any works within the Statutory Right-of-Way.
  - 6. Final plans to be generally in accordance with the plans identified above to the satisfaction of the Assistant Director of Development Services.
  - 7. The Development Permit lapsing two years from the date of this resolution."

Carried Unanimously

Council minute August 27, 2015

## 3.1 Development Permit No. 000382 for 2560 Quadra Street

The proposal is to construct a four-storey, fifteen-unit residential building with ground-floor commercial.

Applicant Meeting attendees: Mr. Eric Barker, Eric Barker Architecture Inc.

Mr. Xavier Crespo, Eric Barker Architecture Inc.

Mr. Keith Grant, Keith Grant Landscape Architecture Ltd.

Mr. Robin Kelley, Camargue Investments Inc. Ms. Sophie Denux, Camargue Investments Inc.

Ms. Wain provided the Panel with a brief introduction of the Application and the areas that Council and staff are seeking advice on, including the following:

- The use of a single-loaded corridor.
- The location of the single-loaded corridor.
- The appropriateness of the street-wall massing.

Ms. Wain also advised the Panel that since the time of writing the report the applicant has responded to neighbour concerns regarding the fencing treatment on the north elevation and an alternative design solution will be included in the presentation.

Mr. Barker then provided the Panel with a presentation on the outline of the proposal, including the site and context.

Mr. Crespo provided the Panel with detailed presentation of the design and layout of the proposal.

Mr. Grant then provided the Panel with a detailed presentation of the landscape proposal.

#### Panel Members discussed:

- Acceptance for the single-loaded corridor as they are a good fit for narrow lots.
- Ensuring the single-loaded corridor is designed well with appropriate drainage as other buildings have had issues with water pooling.
- Importance of ensuring security measures for the building with the use of the singleloaded corridor.
- Extending the vine towers above the railing height for better security.
- Ensuring the green screens have tight mesh to ensure they cannot be climbed.
- Incorporating texture on the wall where the planters come down and connect with the ground level to discourage graffiti.
- The possibility of heightening the walls where the trees are proposed to hide the pots which are planted for architectural expression.
- The screen proposed on the north side, in between the raised planter area, is supportable.
- The commendable amount of landscaping for such as small site.
- The proposals good fit within the neighbourhood.
- Liveability of the units, with access to lots of light from the single loaded corridor.
- Incorporating a capped roof above the stairs for weather proofing.
- Preference for only one glazing material to be used for the balconies such as the glazing on the open walkway.

- The visual weight of the gray material and the possibility of using a lighter colour to break the massing in a different way.
- Further measures to reduce the compressed look of the first storey, possibly adding some height.

## Action:

### MOVED / SECONDED

It was moved by Mr. Forth, seconded by Mr. Cosgrave, that the Advisory Design Panel recommend to Council that Development Permit Application No. 000382 for 2560 Quadra Street be approved with consideration of the following:

- Extending the green stair towers higher than the adjacent railings and putting a roof on the stair portion.
- Raising the parapet walls around the potted plants section to make them appear to be architectural elements.
- Consideration of a lighter colour scheme.

CARRIED UNANIMOUSLY

ADP Minutes-Sept 23, 2015

November 9,2015

Mayor and Council City of Victoria, 1 Centennial Square, Victoria, BC, V8W 1P6

Re: 2560 Quadra/ Development Variance Permit Application No. 000382

Mayor and Council

I am writing to explain our response to the issues raised at the Advisory Design Panel meeting of September 23, 2015.

- Item No. 1 The panel wondered if providing a roof over each exterior exit stair on the south face of the building would provide weather protection rendering the stairs more functional.

  Roofs have been added over each stair as extensions to the existing, lower eyebrow roof on the south face of L4. An open glass trellis links the stair roof and the eyebrow roof.
- Item No.2 The panel suggested raising the parapet walls to screen the planter pots on L2 would be a cleaner architectural expression . The parapet walls on L2 have been raised to screen the planter pots.
- Item No. 3. The panel felt the treatment on the south –east corner of the building ,where there were two different types of glazed balustrades , should be simplified . The opaque panels used in front of the exterior walkways were replaced with the clear balcony panels typical to the balconies elsewhere on this corner of the building .
- Item No. 4 The panel felt the commercial on the main floor was not as visually evident as it might be . The signage was enlarged to increase the commercial presence rather than raise the height of the commercial in deference to community concerns about building height
- Item No. 5 The commercial neighbor to the north had asked that we increase the screening effect of the fencing on the north property line. Because we had used a metal/glazed fence treatment to match the balconies, we felt we could increase its height without it being overbearing. In addition we added wires to facilitate vine growth to provide more screening. The panel felt this addition was supportable.

The panel had asked that we consider a lighter color palette but we felt that the darker body contrasted nicely with the wood composite finish and grey panel sections .

I hope this explains the revisions made in response to design panel input .

Regards

Eric Barker Architect AIBC / LEED AP



Camargue Properties Inc.

3-772 Bay Street, Victoria, B.C. V8T 5E4

September 21, 2015

Dear Sophie Denux,

### RE: CAR SHARING AGREEMENT AT 2560 QUADRA

This will confirm that MODO has received the following for car sharing at this development:

- A signed car share agreement
- \$7,500 for 15 partnership memberships, plus \$360 in administrative/legal fees.

The memberships are non-refundable and will run with this development in perpetuity. They are available to any resident of the development on first-come first-served basis. Members will have full access to Modo's fleet of more than 400 vehicles throughout Victoria and Vancouver, and reciprocal agreements with a number of car sharing organizations across Canada.

Thank you for working with MODO and supporting car sharing!

Regards,
Pam Hartling
Developer Liaison (Victoria)

Civic Address :2560 Quadra Legal Description:LOT 161, BLOCK 10, SECTION 4, VICTORIA, PLAN 132 Folio: 09661004 PID: 000-472-590

Consultants:

Architect Eric Barker Architect Inc. Xaviar Crespo (IA-AIBC) 727 Pandora Ave. Victoria, B.C. Vaw 1N9 (250)-385-4564

Landscape Architect Keith N Grant Landsca Keith Grant BCLA 2274 Cransnore Rd Victoria, B.C. V8R 123 (250) 598-7872



1 Key Plan No Scale



1 Photomontage from South East

PROJECT INFORMATION TABLE

Unit type, e.g. 3 bedroom Unit type, Bachelor

Ground-oriented units

Minimum Unit Floor Area

C1-QV Zoning 620.24 sm Site Area 78.8 % (473.51 sm) Site Coverage Total floor Area 868.30 sm 1.40 Floor Space ratio Height of Building 14.21 m Number of Storeys 4 **BUILDING SETBACKS** Front yard 3.20 m Rear Yard 0.45 m Side Yard (indicate which side) 0 Side Yard (indicate which side) 0 Combined Side yard 0 Open Site Space % 18.79 % (116.57 m2) Parking stalls (number) on site 9 Bicycle parking -Secured 23 -Rack 6 Total Floor Area 868.30 m2 RESIDENTIAL USE DETAIL Total number of Units 15 Unit type, e.g. 1 bedroom Unit type, e.g. 2 bedroom

8

1 Commercial

37.7 sm

778.78 sm

2 Photomontage from North East

AREA BREAKDOWN

Elec./Garbage/ Sprinkler 29.93 m2 Elevator Shaft 6.21 m2 Commercial Suite 53.68 m2 Unit 1 63.23 m2 Unit 2 39.00 m2 Unit 3 39.01 m2 Unit 4 39.00 m2 Unit 5 37.90 m2 Unit 6 51.09 m2 Unit 7 63.06 m2 Unit 8 38.73 m2 Unit 9 38.68 m2 Unit 10 40.50 m2 Unit 11 37.70 m2 Unit 12 52.03 m2 62.66 m2 Unit 13 Unit 14 71.86 m2 Unit 15 104.33 m2

11/2015 11:22:57 AM

the land of the state of the st ARCHITECT Inc. 727 NWDOSH AVERAE, VETON, B.C. DS2 386-4108 For CHB-4117 VBV 198

100000	Chrowiting Link
Sheet Number	Sheet Name
0000	Urbente Evolution
A-0.0	Cover Sheet
A-0.1	3D Viwes
A-0.2	Exteting Survey
A-0.5	Shadow Study
A-1.0	Side Plan
A-1.1	Ploor Plans
A-1.2	Floor Plans
A-2.0	Destions
A-2.1	Elevatorie
OEA	Sections


Ground plane development conceptual only See landscape plan for detail

Development Permit Application


> Denux Group 2560 Quadra

Cover Sheet

Boy 06 , 2015 Tarter Crespo Checler A-0.0




3 3D View from South East



5 3D View from North West



4 3D View from North East



6 3D View from South West

06/11/2015 11:32:58 AM

CRIC BARKEP mer ARCHITECT Inc.

Development Permit Application

Denux Group 2560 Quadra

3D Views

Sor 66 , 2015 Xavier Crespo Checker

A-0.1



Shadow Study Summer AM
 1 : 400





Shadow Study Spring Noon





6 Shedow Study Summer Noon





T 400 Shadow Study Winter PM

Shedow Study Summer PM

3 Shadow Study Spring PM 1 400



M/11/2015 11:23:09 AM


No.	Dete	Description
RICH	rent drawings Li	13090 Quadra
Diser	Dan elopment fer vgs Wedesign Apr	ndt Submission (Revit 8 2015 (ADP Revisions New CO.
-00151	Submitted not	
	Develor	ment Permit
		nlication


_	1565
	Denux Group
	2560 Quadra


-		 _	_
	~	 CL	. 4

213090
Nov 06 , 201
Tarker Crespo
Orcles
1:48









Paraged Chican

Amonged Chican

Market

Amonged Chican

Market

Amonged Chican

Market

Amonged Chican

Market

2) South 1:100

14/11/2015 3 33:55 PM ERIC BARKER ARCHITECT Inc. 12/ FANDORA AVENUE VICTORA B.C. 2002/28-4000 FM (001-4009 VINA 1018 Keynole Legend 2 Fiber Gement Color 1
Metal Railing
Froated Glass
Clear Glass
Vinyl Windows
Metal Fascia 7 Concrete Column
8 Wood Tone Composite
Parel
9 Fieber Cement Color 2
10 Wire Rod for Vines Development Permit Application Denux Group 2560 Quadra Elevations 213090 Nov 05 , 2015 Xavier Greepe Chechar

A-2.0



