

Council Report For the Meeting of June 25, 2015

To: Council

Date: June 11, 2015

From: Susanne Thompson

Subject: Utility Retroactive Adjustment Bylaw Amendments

Executive Summary

On the July 10, 2014 meeting, Council passed the following motion:

1. Utility Billing Retroactive Adjustments

It was moved by Councillor Helps, seconded by Councillor Gudgeon, that Council:

- 1. Instruct the City Solicitor to bring forward necessary bylaw amendments to allow the City to refund over billed utility customers back to the date of error, last ownership change or 5 years, whichever is less.
- 2. Instruct the City Solicitor to bring forward the necessary bylaw amendments to allow the City to charge a \$40 water meter turn on/off fee for new water connections.
- 3. Instruct the City Solicitor to bring forward necessary bylaw amendments to allow the City to charge a \$40 water meter turn on/off fee for existing water connections.
- 4. In the case of City error, do not retroactively bill utility customers.

Bylaw amendments have been completed for the *Sanitary Sewer and Stormdrain Bylaw* 14-071. Bylaw amendments remain outstanding for the *Waterworks Bylaw* 07-030. Proposed *Waterworks Bylaw Amendment Bylaw* (No.9) 15-049 (Appendix A) brings into effect the motion listed above. See attached Appendix B for original Governance and Priorities Report date June 26, 2014.

Recommendation:

That Council Adopt Waterworks Bylaw Amendment Bylaw (No. 9).

Respectfully submitted

Christopher Paine Manager-Revenue

usanne Thompson

Director of Finance

Report accepted and recommended by the City Manager:

Date:

7015

Appendix A:

Proposed Bylaw 15-049 Waterworks Bylaw Amendment Bylaw (No.9)

NO. 15-049

WATERWORKS BYLAW, AMENDMENT BYLAW (NO. 9)

A BYLAW OF THE CITY OF VICTORIA

The purpose of this Bylaw is to amend the *Waterworks Bylaw No. 07-030* by establishing provisions applicable to water meter connections and incorrect utility accounts.

Contents

- 1 Title
- 2 Amendments
- 3 Effective date

Under its statutory powers, including section 194 of the *Community Charter* and the *Local Government Act Additional Powers Regulation*, the Council of the City of Victoria in an open meeting assembled enacts the following provisions:

Title

1 This Bylaw may be cited as the "Waterworks Bylaw, Amendment Bylaw (No. 9)".

Amendments

- 2 Bylaw No. 07-030, the Waterworks Bylaw is amended
 - (1) by adding the following section immediately after section 7:

"Water meter connections

- 7A (1) Subject to subsections (2) to (4), a person must not connect to or disconnect from a water meter.
 - (2) To connect to or disconnect from a water meter, a customer must apply to the Director.
 - (3) If the Director is satisfied that it is necessary to connect to or disconnect from a water meter, the Director may
 - (a) direct how the water meter may be connected or disconnected, and
 - (b) arrange to have an authorized person attend at the water meter to ensure the connection or disconnection occurs in accordance with the Director's direction.
 - (4) While the person authorized by the Director pursuant to subsection (3)(b) is attending at a water meter, the customer, or agent for the customer, may connect or disconnect a water meter in accordance with the Director's direction.

- (5) A customer who had an authorized person attend at a water meter for the purposes of subsection (3)(b) must pay the fee set out in Schedule A.",
- (2) by adding the following section immediately after section 33:

"Incorrect accounts

- 33A (1) If the City renders an incorrect account pursuant to section 30, the City must
 - (a) provide a refund to the owner of any amount overcharged, or
 - (b) forfeit any amount undercharged.
 - (2) The applicable refund pursuant subsection (1)(a) is the amount overcharged since the most recent of the following dates:
 - (a) the date the error occurred;
 - (b) the date of the most recent transfer of ownership of the property;
 - (c) the date that is five years prior to the date upon with the City receives notice of the error."
- (3) in Schedule A, by adding the following section immediately after section 6:
 - "7. Water Meter Activation/Deactivation Fee

To have an authorized person attend at a water meter pursuant to section 7A(5): \$40.".

Effective date

3 This Bylaw comes into force upon adoption.

READ A FIRST TIME the	day of	2015
READ A SECOND TIME the	day of	2015
READ A THIRD TIME the	day of	2015
ADOPTED on the	day of	2015

CORPORATE ADMINISTRATOR

MAYOR

Appendix B:

Utility Billing Retroactive Adjustment Governance and Priorities Report, June 26, 2014



Governance and Priorities Committee Report For the Meeting of June 26, 2014

To:Governance and Priorities CommitteeFrom:Susanne Thompson, Acting Director of FinanceSubject:Utility Billing Retroactive Adjustments

Executive Summary

The purpose of this report is to seek direction from Council regarding retroactive billing adjustments for incorrectly billed utility accounts. In some rare occurrences, utility accounts have been erroneously overcharged or undercharged. Factors in each case can vary significantly so each case is given unique consideration. In general, however, the City will back charge a customer for no more than the current year plus one previous year. Similarly, the City will generally only refund an overcharged customer the current year and one previous year. These adjustments are currently applied by administrative policy. However, incorporating these adjustments in applicable bylaws will provide better clarity and greater certainty.

Date:

June 12, 2014

The City conducted a survey of 38 municipalities. Approximately 60% of municipalities surveyed had a policy of refunding no more than three years of overbilled accounts. Approximately 30% of municipalities surveyed had a policy of refunding overbilled accounts back to the last date of error or ownership change, whichever is less.

Approximately 70% of municipalities surveyed had a policy of retroactively billing under billed accounts for no more than one year. In fact approximately 30% had a policy of not retroactively billing whatsoever.

The City is making efforts to ensure that departments work together to serve the customer from beginning to end. Consequently processes have been reviewed to improve utility billing accuracy. In order to implement changes that will improve accuracy, a meter turn on/off fee in the amount of \$40 is recommended for new connections. A turn on/off process for new connections will ensure customers are properly connected and City infrastructure is protected from illegal connection and damage. Additionally a turn on/off fee in the amount of \$40 for existing connections is recommended to recover the cost of providing this service.

Recommendations

- That Council instruct the City Solicitor to bring forward necessary bylaw amendments to allow the City to refund over billed utility customers back to the date of error, last ownership change or 5 years, whichever is less.
- 2. That Council instruct the City Solicitor to bring forward necessary bylaw amendments to allow the City to charge a \$40 water meter turn on/off fee for new water connections.
- 3. That Council instruct the City Solicitor to bring forward necessary bylaw amendments to allow the City to charge a \$40 water meter turn on/off fee for existing water connections.

Respectfully submitted

Christopher Paine Manager-Revenue

Susanne Thompson Acting Director of Finance

Dwayne Kalynchuk Director of Engineering & Public Works

June 17,2014

Report accepted and recommended by the City Manager:

Date:

Governance and Priorities Committee Report Utility Billing Retroactive Adjustments

Purpose

The purpose of this report is to seek direction from Council regarding retroactive billing adjustments for incorrectly billed utility account, and to apply a turn on/off fee for the connection and disconnection from a water service.

Background

The City levies its utilities in accordance with the following bylaws: (1) Waterworks Bylaw 07-030, (2) Sewer User Charge Bylaw 91-234 (3) Solid Waste Bylaw 12-086 and (4) Utility Fees Penalty Bylaw 05-66. In some rare occurrences, utility accounts have been erroneously overcharged or undercharged. This error is most common on new multi-family dwellings where there are numerous water services nearby. Often customers connect to a service assigned to an adjacent lot. Consequently neighbours are billed for each other's consumption.

Factors in each case can vary significantly so each case is given unique consideration. In general, however, the City will retroactively bill a customer no more than the current year plus one previous year. Some factors will include: (1) when a customer complaint was received, (2) when the last ownership change took place, (3) tenancy changes and (4) water leaks. Similarly, the City will generally only refund an overcharged customer the current year and one previous year. These adjustments are currently applied by administrative policy. However, incorporating these adjustments in applicable bylaws will provide better clarity and greater certainty.

Solutions to avoid billing errors are explored below. One solution would be to have Public Works supervise all connections or disconnections from water services. Annually, Public works responds to nearly 200 connection/disconnection requests. There is currently no fee for this service.

Issues & Analysis

The City may consider a number of factors when formalizing a policy for retroactive adjustments. Some factors may include: (1) customer service, (2) fault and due diligence, and (3) financial considerations.

A retroactive billing or refund will likely have a significant impact on the individual ratepayers who have experienced erroneous billings. Conversely, retroactive adjustments have an equal and opposite impact on the general pool of ratepayers. The water and sewer utilities are full cost recovery utilities and thus refunds impact utility rates. Extended refund terms and restricted retroactive billing terms have an impact on ratepayers. Consequently, Council may consider the financial and customer service impact that an adjustment provision in the bylaw would have in both cases.

The City conducted a survey of similar municipalities. Survey results are summarized below. Please see Appendices A & B for full survey results. While most municipalities had general guidelines (formal or informal), discretion was often applied to vary adjustment terms. Additionally, responses were submitted with the assumption that the adjustments were a result of a municipal error. In the cases where a customer was clearly at fault, refund and back billing terms were normally less favourable. Most billing errors would be assumed to be the City's fault unless it can be clearly demonstrated that a customer is at fault.

Refunds

Approximately 60% of municipalities surveyed had a policy of refunding no more than three years of overbilled accounts. Many of these policies were implemented to reduce the impact on the

general pool of ratepayers. Quite often, however, municipalities would exercise discretion and expand these terms.

Approximately 30% of municipalities surveyed had a policy of refunding overbilled accounts back to the date of error or last ownership change, whichever is less.

Retroactive billing

Approximately 70% of surveyed municipalities had a policy of retroactively billing for under billed accounts for no more than one year. In fact approximately 30% had a policy of not retroactively billing whatsoever.

Turn on/off - New Connections

Most recently discovered billing errors have been the result of cross connected meters. Cross connections can occur when a customer connects to the incorrect water meter. On some multi-family properties, it can sometimes be unclear which water service is for which residential unit. Some water connections have occurred without the City's supervision.

To mitigate the risk of error, it is recommended that Public Works supervise the connection to City water meters on new connections (approximately 70 per year). This practice will also serve to protect City infrastructure from illegal or unsafe connection. All new meters are outfitted with a locking mechanism which can be used to ensure unsupervised connections do not occur. This practice would ensure that the new meter and thus correct consumption is assigned to the correct billing account.

A turn on/off fee is proposed to recover the costs of water connection supervision. The connection supervision would include verification that the connection has been made to the correct meter. The cost of the supervision is estimated to be \$40 per connection. Engineering is currently undertaking a development servicing rate review. The \$40 fee would be integrated into the new water service fee.

The City is making efforts to ensure that departments work together as one City to serve the customer from beginning to end. This is one process change suggested that will improve cohesiveness between departments.

Turn on/off - Existing Connections

In 2013, the Public Works staff were called out to turn on/off existing water connections approximately 130 times. This service has an associated cost. It is recommended that the City introduce a \$40 turn on/off fee to recover the cost of this service.

Public works will receive turn on/off requests for existing connections for a variety of reasons. Some reasons may include: (1) a residential customer is having plumbing work done, (2) in progress developments need a temporary service, (3) a water line has been hit and needs to be shut off, or (4) fire protection work is being conducted.

Options & Impacts

Refunds

Option 1 (recommended): In the case of City error, refund over billed utility customers back to the date of error, last ownership change or 5 years, whichever is shorter.

Impact: This policy would be more favourable to individually impacted ratepayers than approximately 60% of municipalities surveyed. Ratepayers may have to fund larger refunds compared to the current administrative policy of current year + one year. It is difficult to predict the financial impact of this change but in the last two years there were nine refunds issued totalling \$6,600 approximately. This represents less than 0.04% of total budgeted sewer and water utility revenues.

Option 2: In the case of City error only, refund over billed utility customers back to the current year plus one additional year.

Impact: This policy would be more favourable to individually impacted ratepayers than approximately 25% of municipalities surveyed. This option would not expand utility ratepayer's financial exposure to refunds. Where the overbilling occurred due to a customer error or error by a third party, the customer would not receive a refund from the City and would have to seek a remedy elsewhere.

Retroactive Billing

Option 1 (recommended): In the case of City error, do not retroactively bill utility customers.

Impact: This policy would be more favourable to individually impacted ratepayers than approximately 70% of municipalities surveyed. It is difficult to predict the financial impact of this change but in the last two years there were six retroactive billings in the amount of \$1,500 approximately. This represents approximately 0.01% of total budgeted sewer and water utility revenues. It can be difficult for a customer to recognize consumption errors and thus this option appears to be the fairest.

Option 2: In the case of City error, retroactively bill under billed utility customers back to the current year plus one additional year.

Impact: This policy will help mitigate the cost of refunds for cross connected meters and other errors. The City has been following this policy for many years. In 2013, the City recuperated approximately \$1,500 in retroactive billings. It can be difficult for customers to recognize these errors and thus they often feel the policy is unfair.

Turn on/off - New Connections

Option 1 (recommended): Introduce a \$40 water meter turn on/off fee for new connections.

Impact: Public works staff will verify new connections approximately 70 times per year. City meter infrastructure will be better protected from illegal, dangerous or unauthorized connections on new services.

Option 2: Do not require a fee for new water service turn on/off. The cost associated with annual turn on/offs for new connections will continue to be funded through existing user fees.

Turn on/off – Existing Connections

Option 1 (recommended): Introduce a \$40 water meter turn on/off fee for existing connections.

Impact: The City will recover the costs associated with approximately 130 turn on/off requests per year.

Option 2: Do not require a fee for new water service turn on/off for existing connections. The cost associated with annual turn on/offs for existing connections will continue to be funded through existing user fees.

Recommendations

- 1. That Council instruct the City Solicitor to bring forward necessary bylaw amendments to allow the City to refund over billed utility customers back to the date of error, last ownership change or 5 years, whichever is less.
- 2. That Council instruct the City Solicitor to bring forward necessary bylaw amendments to allow the City to charge a \$40 water meter turn on/off fee for new water connections.
- 3. That Council instruct the City Solicitor to bring forward necessary bylaw amendments to allow the City to charge a \$40 water meter turn on/off fee for existing water connections.

Appendix A: Survey Results

MUNICIPALITY

Abbotsford Campbell River Central Saanich Chilliwack Comox Valley **Regional District** Coquitlam Cowichan Valley Regional District Cranbrook Delta Duncan Kamloops Kelowna Ladysmith Lake Cowichan Langley (City) Langley (Township) Maple Ridge Merritt Nanaimo Nelson New Westminster North Saanich North Vancouver (City) North Vancouver (District) Osoyoos Parksville Penticton Port Alberni Port Coquitlam Port Moody Powell River-Prince George Regional District of North Okanagan Richmond Saanich Sidney Surrey Vancouver

Policy Type Formal Informal Informal Informal Informal Informal Informal Informal Informal Council Policy Informal Informal Informal Informal Informal Informal Informal Informal Formal - in bylaw Formal - in bylaw Informal Informal Informal Informal Informal Informal Informal Formal - in bylaw Council Policy Informal Informal Informal Informal Council Policy Informal

Informal

Informal

Formal - in bylaw

Refund Policy Retro Bill Policy Current + 2 Current + 2 1 Year 1 Year Ownership or 5 No Retro Billing 1 Year 1 Year Current Current Current + 1 Current 1 Year 1 Year 1 Year 1 Year Current + 2 No Retro Billing Current + 1 Current + 1 Current No Retro Billing Error/Ownership Current Current Current Current + 1 Current + 1 Current + 1 No Retro Billing Error/Ownership No Retro Billing Current + 7 No Retro Billing Current + 1 Current + 1 10 Years 1 Year Error/Ownership Current+1 Error/Ownership 1 Year Current + 2 1 Year Error/Ownership 1 Year Error/Ownership Varies Current + 1 Current + 1 1 Year 1 Year Error/Ownership No Retro Billing 1 Year 1 Year Current + 2 Current + 2 Error/Ownership 1 Year Current + 1 Current+1

Error/OwnershipNo Retro BillingCurrent + 3No Retro BillingError/OwnershipVaries1 YearNo Retro BillingCurrent + 2Current + 2Current + 21 Year

Varies

Varies

Appendix B: Survey Summary

Refund Term Summary

Retroacti	ve Billin	g Summary
-----------	-----------	-----------

Terms	Count	Percentage
Current	3	7.89%
1 Year	7	18.42%
Current + 1	7	18,42%
Current + 2	6	15.79%
Current + 3	1	2.63%
Current + 7	1	2.63%
10 Years	1	2.63%
Ownership or 5	1	2.63%
Error/Ownershi	ip 10	26.32%
Varies	1	2.63%
Total	38	100.00%

Terms	Count	Percentage	
Current	4	10.53%	×.
1 Year	12	31.58%	
Current + 1	6	15.79%	
Current + 2	3	7.89%	
No Retro Billing	10	26.32%	
Varies	3	7.89%	
Total	38	100.00%	

Governance and Priorities Committee Report Utility Billing Retroactive Adjustments

1